

**Short communication**

**Influence of weather on coconut yield at Bay Islands**

**M. BALKRISHNAN and K. MEENA**

Department of computer science, Shrimathi Indira Gandhi College, Tiruchirapalli Tamil Nadu

An average rainfall of 3000 mm characterizes the region of Bay islands, with a range from 2745 to 3277 mm under even distribution. The mean relative humidity is as high as 70 to 90 per cent both in the morning and evening and the temperature ranges from 23.2 to 30.7 °C. The soil types are highly suitable for plantation crops. Among the plantation crops of the bay islands, coconuts are the major crop and are grown in 24, 996 ha with the annual production of 87.2 million nuts (Rethinam *et.al.*, 2001). The production of coconut depends mainly on weather parameters especially rainfall and temperature. Increase in coconut area was nominal during the last two decades in the Andaman and Nicobar islands, while the production which was 68.35 million nuts in 1981-'82 rose to 87.2 million nuts, recording an increase of 18.85 million nuts in 2000-'01 (Singh, 2003). Sharma and Suryanarayana (2000) had reported that the coconut and areca nut were highly influenced by the weather and soil fertility status in these islands and the influence of weather on the coconut yield was cumulative (Prasada Roa, 1984; Jacob Mathew *et.al.*, 1986). Hence, an attempt has been made to identify coconut - weather relationship in hot humid tropical islands

through simple correlation studies.

Weather variables data such as rainfall, maximum and minimum temperatures, relative humidity both morning and evening and wind speed were collected for a period of 27 years (1975 to 2001) from the basic records of agro met station of Central Agricultural Research Institute, Port Blair and India Meteorological Department, Regional center, located at Port Blair. The coconut production data from 20 ha farm of Central Agricultural Research Institute, Port Blair were also collected from 1975 to 2001 and yearly average was worked out for the convenience of analysis. In order to assess the dependability, weather and crop data were subjected to coefficient of variation analysis and simple correlation studies.

**Weather variables**

The data on weather variables *viz.*, rainfall, relative humidity (morning and evening), and maximum and minimum temperatures and wind speed for the period from 1975 to 2001 along with coconut annual yield data are shown in Table 1. The CV of the above variables indicates high dependability of the data collected for analysis. The coefficient of variations for rainfall and coconut yield is 18 and 27 per

**Table 1 :** Weather variables and annual coconut yield (nuts/tree)

| Year   | Rainfall (mm)<br>Total | Temperature °C  |                 | Relative humidity (%) |                | Wind speed Kmph | No of rainy days | Coconut yield (nuts/tree/year) |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
|        |                        | annual Mean Max | annual Mean Min | annual Mean FN        | annual Mean AN |                 |                  |                                |
| 1975   | 2893.7                 | 29.4            | 22.2            | 79                    | 81             | 10.7            | 140              | 52                             |
| 1976   | 2714.1                 | 29.1            | 20.8            | 76                    | 78             | 11.6            | 138              | 63                             |
| 1977   | 2728.0                 | 29.8            | 21.0            | 78                    | 80             | 10.9            | 124              | 72                             |
| 1978   | 2718.1                 | 29.8            | 21.1            | 78                    | 79             | 11.0            | 130              | 80                             |
| 1979   | 1561.4                 | 30.2            | 23.4            | 76                    | 78             | 10.7            | 117              | 58                             |
| 1980   | 3751.6                 | 30.2            | 23.1            | 77                    | 79             | 10.6            | 127              | 66                             |
| 1981   | 3204.0                 | 29.9            | 23.2            | 76                    | 81             | 10.8            | 127              | 70                             |
| 1982   | 2394.4                 | 29.9            | 22.5            | 77                    | 80             | 10.2            | 119              | 54                             |
| 1983   | 3421.9                 | 30.0            | 23.4            | 76                    | 78             | 8.8             | 180              | 48                             |
| 1984   | 3421.1                 | 29.8            | 23.6            | 77                    | 80             | 9.8             | 124              | 45                             |
| 1985   | 2197.8                 | 29.1            | 23.3            | 77                    | 80             | 10.4            | 53               | 33                             |
| 1986   | 2199.5                 | 29.9            | 22.2            | 76                    | 79             | 10.2            | 173              | 38                             |
| 1987   | 2635.3                 | 30.2            | 22.9            | 77                    | 79             | 9.4             | 181              | 52                             |
| 1988   | 3649.2                 | 29.8            | 21.6            | 78                    | 80             | 9.4             | 144              | 44                             |
| 1989   | 2593.7                 | 29.6            | 20.4            | 78                    | 79             | 9.4             | 164              | 46                             |
| 1990   | 2067.7                 | 29.9            | 24.2            | 79                    | 81             | 8.8             | 124              | 46                             |
| 1991   | 3065.5                 | 30.0            | 23.7            | 77                    | 79             | 9.5             | 125              | 34                             |
| 1992   | 2542.9                 | 29.6            | 23.0            | 76                    | 80             | 9.4             | 120              | 52                             |
| 1993   | 3512.4                 | 30.0            | 24.0            | 75                    | 78             | 7.4             | 152              | 54                             |
| 1994   | 2988.1                 | 30.1            | 23.4            | 77                    | 80             | 9.0             | 120              | 51                             |
| 1995   | 3565.8                 | 30.2            | 23.8            | 78                    | 80             | 7.8             | 140              | 61                             |
| 1996   | 3206.7                 | 30.0            | 23.6            | 78                    | 82             | 7.7             | 188              | 31                             |
| 1997   | 2840.5                 | 30.3            | 23.7            | 76                    | 79             | 8.4             | 111              | 37                             |
| 1998   | 2811.5                 | 27.8            | 21.4            | 73                    | 75             | 6.8             | 192              | 94                             |
| 1999   | 2943.4                 | 29.5            | 22.7            | 79                    | 81             | 7.0             | 209              | 54                             |
| 2000   | 2988.5                 | 29.8            | 23.3            | 78                    | 81             | 7.8             | 154              | 67                             |
| 2001   | 3113.4                 | 30.2            | 22.8            | 78                    | 81             | 7.5             | 159              | 56                             |
| CV (%) | 18                     | 1.7             | 4.7             | 1.7                   | 1.8            | 14.7            | 22.7             | 27                             |

cent respectively.

***Relationship between weather variables and coconut yield***

The correlation between rainfall and coconut yield and between maximum temperature and coconut yield (Table2) were significant at 5 per cent level

**Table 2 :** Correlation coefficient between weather variables and coconut production

|  | Rainfall (mm) | T.Max (°C) | T.Min (°C) | Morning RH (%) | Evening (RH%) | Wind speed (kmp h <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|---------------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| Coconut production (nuts tree <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.1286*       | 0.3531*    | -0.6060**  | 0.1247         | -0.0804       | 0.5563**                          |

\*Significant at 5 % level

\*\*Significant at 1 % level

indicating their influence on coconut productivity. Wind speed and minimum temperature showed positive and negative correlation respectively at 1 percent level. Role of wind speed on coconut yield could be explained through its effect on pollination. Similar finding was also reported by (Vijay Kumar *et.al*, 1987).

Due to cumulative drought that occurred 15 to 16 months before opening of inflorescence and prevailed drought during 1985 led to abortion of spa dices. The particular year had lesser rainfall from January to April and this had cumulative impact production of nuts during 1985-1986. During the period from 1994 to 1997, there was a heavy rainfall. It is observed that heavy rainfall may interfere with pollination and also affect root oxygen need and thus affect the nut yield.

Two years prior to 1998 there was optimum rainfall with enough rainy days. There was also low wind speed. This might be the reason for enhanced production during 1998.

## REFERENCES

- Jacob Mathew, Amarnath, C.H., Vijayakumar, K., Yusuf, M and Balakrishnan,
- T.K 1986. Yield of coconuts relation to pattern of rain fall and duration of dry spell. Abst.Workshop on 'Impact of drought on plantation crops', CPCRI, Kasaraogod Pp 7-9.
- Prasada Rao, GSLHV, (1984). Rainfall and coconut yield in the Pilicode region. North Kerala, PLACROSYM V: Pp 388-393,
- Rethinam, P., Rajeev P.George, P.T.Thomas and Remany Gopalakrishnan (2001). Problems and prospects of coconut industry in Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Indian Coconut J.* Vol.33 (2) : 2-11.
- Singh, R.K. and Sugata Ghose 2003. Coconut development in Andaman & Nicobar Islands, *Indian Coconut J.*, 35 : 1-4.

- Sharma, T.V.R.S., Attri, B.L., Suryanarayana, M.A. and Singh, D.B. 2000. Improving the coconut productivity by genetic manipulation. *India Coconut J.*, 36(3): 10-13,
- Vijayakumar Jacob Mathew, K., Amarnath, C.H., Nambiar, P.T.N., Jose, C.T. and Balakrishnan, T. K. 1987. Influence of weather on coconut yield, Agro meteorology of Plantation Crops. Proc. National Seminar, Kerala Agricultural University, Regional Agricultural Research station, Pilicode - Pp.124-132.