

Assessment of thermal heat requirement, radiation energy, water use efficiency, and yield of mango cv Dashehari using fertigation method

TARUNADAK*, KAILASH KUMAR and VINOD KUMAR SINGH

ICAR- Central Institute for Subtropical Horticulture, Lucknow-226101, Uttar Pradesh, India

*corresponding author: tarunadak@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Thermal heat requirement as well as energy components depend on weather parameters. Critical phenological stages was taken into consideration for the thermal heat requirement during 2013-15 under fertigation treatment (25, 50 and 75 per cent of recommended fertilizer dose) in mango cv Dashehari at Lucknow region. Mango productivity varied from 4.54-11.84 t ha⁻¹ across different fertigation regimes as a result of differential thermal heat accumulation. In the treatment 25 per cent RDF, productivity of 5.23, 11.13 and 6.12 t ha⁻¹ was recorded during 2013, 2014 and 2015 while in 50 per cent RDF, 6.23, 11.84 and 7.76 t ha⁻¹ was observed. The maximum yield reduction (37.5, 14 and 26.1%) was found in control plot. A significant variation in radiation energy received during the field experiment was revealed and a range of 8.97 to 16.27 mm day⁻¹ extraterrestrial radiation was observed at different critical phenological stages. The heat use efficiency (HUE) varied between 2.54-6.78 g m⁻²°Cd⁻¹ across seasons and treatments. Water use efficiency (WUE) of 10.8, 14.1, 13.5 and 11.7 kg fruits m⁻³ was recorded in different fertigated treatments. The highest reduction in WUE was noted in control (23.9%) followed by 17.2 and 4.8% in 25 and 50 per cent as compared to 75 per cent fertigation regimes.

Keywords: Agroclimatic indices, radiation dynamics, water use efficiency, productivity, mango,

Mango (*Mangifera indica*) is economically one of the most important fruit crop in India and UP being the important states in the country for earning good economic returns through mango export. The information on abiotic stresses like temperature, moisture, radiation, evaporation, during fruit developmental stages are the key factors for orchard sustainability and securing farmer's livelihood. The crop is immensely influenced by climatic factors during fruiting season (Rajan, 2012). Differential moisture regimes particularly fertigation plays a pivotal role in improving the productivity of mango and thus responsible for variations in energy use in terms of water use efficiency. Generally, higher evaporation from the soil surface and absence of rainfall, with high wind velocity and temperature makes a drier environment, the obvious results being fruit drop, forced maturity, resulting into low quality fruit production (Ravishankar *et al.*, 2011; Adak *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, extended cold periods, severe cold (-0.2°C to -1.2°C during 2013) also impacted fruit production. Quantification of thermal heat requirements of fruit crop like mango is essentially important to worked out to mitigate abiotic stresses. Daily maximum and minimum temperatures undergoes great variation and seasonal dynamism also influences fruits production, application of heat unit accumulation is a better

option for relating changes in energy use efficiency (Perry *et al.*, 1993; Singh *et al.*, 2000; Umber *et al.*, 2011). Since the information on all these aspects particularly its energy use across seasons and hydrothermal regimes in mango production under subtropical climatic condition is lacking, hence, a detailed study is therefore set out to quantify the energy requirements and its use efficiency using fertigation scheduling method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment on different fertigation scheduling was carried out on a 30 years old mango cv Dashehari (10×10 m spacing) in the experimental farm of Central Institute for Subtropical Horticulture (26.54°N Latitude, 80.45°E Longitude and 127 m above mean sea level), Rehmankhara, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh during 2013, 2014 and 2015 to generate the information on energy use efficiency across fruiting seasons. The area falls under subtropical region and soils were characterized under the category of Indo-Gangetic alluvium. Four treatments were placed in a randomized complete block design using five replications (Table 1). The irrigation was given through drippers having capacity of 8 l h⁻¹ on every alternate days and the quantity was decided on the basis of open pan




Fig. 1: Monthly average temperatures during mango growing season




Fig. 2: Monthly average pan evaporation and total rainfall during mango growing season

evaporation data. Fruit productivity was recorded at full maturity stage by quality parameters like Total Soluble solid, acidity and ascorbic acid. The heat unit indices viz., growing degree days (GDD), heliothermal unit (HTU), and photothermal units (PTU) were calculated to quantify the thermal heat requirements. All these indices were calculated as follows:

$$\text{Growing degree days (GDD)} = \Sigma(T_{\text{Max}} + T_{\text{Min}}) / 2 - T_{\text{Base}}$$

$$\text{Heliothermal units (HTU)} = \text{GDD} \times \text{actual bright sunshine hour (n)}$$

$$\text{Photothermal units (PTU)} = \text{GDD} \times \text{day length (N)}$$

where T_{Max} and T_{Min} are the maximum and minimum temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) of the day and T_{Base} is base temperature which was taken as 15°C (Whiley *et al.*, 1991). N indicates maximum possible bright sunshine hours or day length and calculated as

$$N = (24/\pi) \times Ws$$

$$Ws \text{ is the sunset hour angle (Radian)} = \text{Arc Cosine} [-\tan(\Phi) \times \tan(\sigma)]$$

$$\Phi = \text{Latitude in radian,}$$

$$\sigma = \text{Solar declination in radiation, calculated as follows}$$

$$\sigma = 0.409 \times \text{Sine} [(2 \times \pi \times J)/d - 1.39]$$

Where J= Julian days (1 to 365/366) and d= No. of days in the year

The extraterrestrial radiation (R_a) and incoming short wave radiation (R_s) were estimated using Allen *et al.*, (1998). After harvesting in June-July, during the month of September, post harvest vegetative phases started. The events for phenological stages described as flower bud differentiation (December to January), flowering (Feb to March) and fruit set, development to maturity (April to May-June). The energy use efficiency in terms of heat or water energy was also derived. Heat use efficiency was estimated as productivity (g m^{-2}) per unit of agroclimatic indices and water use efficiency was calculated as productivity per (kg m^3) of water applied. These indices were calculated on daily basis taking 1st September as base for each year since mango is harvested during June-July in northern India. The calculation was considered up to physiological maturity (end of May in each year). The data was statistically analyzed and significance was concluded at 5% level of significance using SPSS version 16.0.


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Meteorological condition during the mango growth cycle

The mean monthly meteorological parameters observed during mango growth and developmental is

Table 1: Details of different fertigation scheduling applied in mango cv Dashehari

Stages	T_1	T_2			T_3			T_4		
		N	P	K	N	P	K	N	P	K
After harvest	100% NPK									
in basin		25	50	15	20	30	10	10	15	5
during flowering	-	20	25	15	20	20	10	10	10	5
marble size stage	-	30	-	45	10	-	30	5	-	15
		75%	75%	75%	50%	50%	50%	25%	25%	25%

**Fig. 3:** Quantification of thermal heat requirements at different critical phenological stages in Dashehari mango (month wise)

presented in the Fig. 1-2. The monthly average maximum and minimum temperatures (T_{max} and T_{min}) varied between 19.4-39.9 and 4.1-24.1°C respectively across three seasons. The pan evaporation ranged from 1.8 to 11.0 mm day⁻¹. A range of 3.7-8.5 mm day⁻¹ pan evaporation was recorded during the initial fruit growth stages across three seasons. Temporal variation in total amount of rainfall received was depicted. During the month of September a total of 243.2, 36.8 and 231.4 mm of rainfall were received. Unseasonal rainfall of 19.4 mm during December 2015 was received. Similarly, unseasonal rainfall was also received during the months of January and February. During 2015 fruiting season, a total of 54.2, 41.8 and 18.0 mm of rainfall were received during fruit developmental stages (pea and marble stages).

Thermal heat requirement at different phenological stages

Different thermal heat accumulation was revealed

with the higher in 2014 than both the years (Fig. 3). During flowering period, 651.8 to 762.4 °Cd while, at fruit growth, 785.0 to 843.0 °Cd heat accumulation was estimated across seasons. The accumulated GDD ranged between 1078.1 and 1527.4, 1110.5 and 1516.9 and 1094.0 and 1509.0 °Cd during marble size stage to fruit maturity stages in 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively. The thermal heat accumulation at different critical crop phenological stages in general indicates the stage-wise heat requirement and also essential for harvesting the economic parts of the crop (Nagarajan *et al.*, 1994; Villordon *et al.*, 2009; Muñoz *et al.*, 2012). Suresh *et al.* (2013) observed that a range of 1567-2780 cumulative GDD from anthesis to maturity in oil palm hybrids under Pedavegi, Andhra Pradesh condition.

Energy use efficiency and crop productivity

A range of 10.2-11.8, 5.9-8.04 and 4.5-7.3 t ha⁻¹ productivity across different fertigation regimes were noted




Fig. 4: Changes in productivity and quality parameters under different fertigation regimes in mango cv Dashehari

(Fig. 4a). Mango productivity was higher in 2014 followed by 2015 and lowest in 2013 years. A 25 per cent recommended dose of fertilizer (T₄) exhibited a productivity of 5.2, 11.1 and 6.1 t ha⁻¹ in 2013, 2014 and 2015 season while 50% RDF (T₃), 6.23, 11.84 and 7.76 t ha⁻¹ was observed (Fig. 4). The maximum yield reduction (37.5, 14 and 26.1%) was found in control plot during experimental periods. Yield reduction in T₄ as compared to T₂, was estimated as 28.0, 6.0 and 23.9 per cent in 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively while in case of T₃, 14.2 per cent in 2013 and 3.5 per cent in 2015 was observed. Fruit quality parameters like TSS and acidity did not vary significantly across different fertigation treatments. In case of ascorbic acid content, a significant change was observed and a range of 28.91-36.56, 32.54-37.22 and 29.76-34.86 mg/100g was estimated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 (Fig. Fig. 4b,c,d). Optimum soil moisture is required for obtaining sustainable yield in mango as soil moisture content at fruit set to developmental periods is key factors for determining the quantity as well as quality production. Higher yield and quality in T₂ treatment may be because of higher nutrients applied at critical phenological stages with higher percentage of K during marble stage. Lower yield in other treatments may be because of low fertigation regime.

Such kind findings in productivity variations under different fertigation regimes across different agroecological regions were also observed (Panwar *et al.*, 2007; Bhargavanshi *et al.*, 2012; Adak *et al.*, 2014),

The efficiency with which the mango fruit was produced was studied in terms of its energy use and presented in Fig. 5. The heat use efficiency (HUE) varied between 2.54 and 4.06, 5.83 and 6.78 and 3.38 and 4.57 g m⁻² °Cd⁻¹ in 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively (Fig. 5a). The highest HUE was recorded in T₂. Moreover, the reduction in HUE was also quantified and it was observed that maximum reduction in energy use efficiency was 37.5 per cent in control plot (T₁), followed by 28 and 14.2 per cent in T₄ and T₃ treatments during 2013. Considering HTU, it was inferred that the HUE exhibited 0.30-0.47, 0.68-0.79 and 0.43-0.58 g m⁻² °Cd h⁻¹ in 2013, 2014 and 2015 years across different fertigation treatments (Fig. 5b). The heat use efficiency using PTU as an index showed higher value of 0.50-0.59 g m⁻² °Cd h⁻¹ during 2014 as compared to 2013 (0.22-0.36 g m⁻² °Cd h⁻¹) and 2015 (0.30-0.40 g m⁻² °Cd h⁻¹) years (Fig. 5c). Higher HUE in 2014 indicated higher thermal heat accumulation and better utilization of energy.




Fig. 5: Significant changes in heat use efficiency in mango cv Dashehari using different thermal indices (GDD-a, HTU-b , PTU-c)




Fig. 6: Variations in extraterrestrial radiation and Incoming short wave radiation during mango growing season

Changes in radiation energy and water use efficiency

A significant change in terms of radiation energy received during the field experiments was revealed (Fig. 6). Extraterrestrial radiation (Ra) received during the critical phenological stages ranged from 8.97 to 16.27 mm day⁻¹. Radiation energy was estimated to be 8.97-9.53, 11.23, 13.28, 15.23 and 16.27 mm day⁻¹ during FBD (Flower bud differentiation), flowering, pea stage of fruit, marble size stage and finally at physiological maturity. A critical analysis of Rs (incoming shortwave solar radiation as a function of

PAR) indicated wide variations across different phenological stages of the mango fruit. It was also found that highest Rs was received in 2013 fruiting season while 2014 and 2015 fruiting season received comparatively lower value (Fig. 6). This information is very crucial to have an idea about how the fruit crop responses under low radiation conditions. Highest and lowest value was estimated as 6.97 and 28.43 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹. The Rs assessed at different critical crop phenological stages (month wise) during three consecutive mango fruiting seasons revealed that during the initial




Fig. 7: Dynamics of water use efficiency in mango cv Dashehari under different fertilization system

month a value of 18.8-20.08 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹ in the month of September was recorded across the seasons. The lowest value was observed to be 10.04-12.22 with a mean value of 10.75 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹ during winter months from December-January. The maximum possible sunshine hours during the critical mango growth period was calculated and it was found in the range of 10.34 to 13.55 hours with a standard deviation of 0.98 and coefficient of variation of 8.5 per cent. It decreased from September to December and after that started increasing with the advancement of summer seasons (clear sky). Differential solar and micro environmental regimes are reported to affect on productivity of different crops (Adak *et al.*, 2012 and 2013). Highest water use efficiency (WUE) was noted in T₂ across three consecutive seasons. In other fertilization treatments (T₃ and T₄), WUE of 8.2-9.7, 17.4-18.5 and 9.6-12.1 kg fruits m⁻³ was recorded during 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively (Fig. 7). A pooled value of 10.8, 14.1, 13.5 and 11.7 kg fruits m⁻³ was inferred in T₁ to T₄ respectively. The WUE decreased in other fertilization treatments as compared to T₂. Maximum reduction observed in T₁ (23.9%) followed by T₄ (17.2%) and T₃ (4.8%) treatments. Variations in WUE under different hydro thermal regimes across different agroecological regions were also observed by Spreer *et al.*, (2007) and Bhriguvanshi *et al.*, (2012). Such variations may be due to irrigation regimes applied coupled with weather parameters dynamics particularly pan evaporation, radiation and evapotranspiration (Adak *et al.*, 2014; Mehta and Pandey, 2015).

CONCLUSION

Wide variations in thermal heat requirement in mango cv Dashehari at different critical phenological stages during 2013, 2014 and 2015 fruiting season was inferred. Higher heat accumulation was observed in 2014 mango growing

season. The highest productivity was recorded in 2014 followed by 2015 and lowest in 2013. A range of 10.18-11.84, 5.94-8.04 and 4.54-7.26 t ha⁻¹ productivity across different fertilization regimes was noted in the respective years. Higher heat and water use efficiency were recorded in the treatment where 75 per cent of recommended fertilizer doses were applied at critical phenological stages. A range of 2.54 to 6.78 g m⁻² °Cd⁻¹ HUE and 8.2 to 18.5 kg fruits m⁻³ was estimated across three seasons with higher value in 2014 seasons. Lower heat and water use efficiency was recorded in the treatments wherever 25 and 50% of recommended fertilizer doses were applied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledged the support given by the Director, CISH and PC, AICRP (Fruits) for providing necessary facilities needed to complete the research.

REFERENCES

- Adak, T., Kumar, G. and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (2013). Agroclimatic models as a tool to predict biophysical variables and productivity in oilseed Brassica (*Brassica juncea*) under semiarid subtropical environment. *Ind. J. Soil Conser.*, 41(2): 141-150.
- Adak, T., Kumar, K and Singh, V.K. (2014). Fertigation regime impacting productivity, moisture and nutrient distribution in mango under subtropical condition. *Indian J. Soil Cons.*, 42(3): 282-292.
- Adak, T., Ravishankar, H., Kumar, K. and Shukla, R. P. (2013). Vulnerability of Mango Production to Weather Dynamics in Lucknow belt of Uttar Pradesh - a Case Study. In: Proceedings of International Conference on "Impact of Technological Tools on Food Security under Global Warming Scenario", held during May 11-12, 2013 at Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India, pp 4-5.
- Adak, T., Kumar, G., Narjary B. and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (2012). Micrometeorological dynamics within mustard (*Brassica juncea*) crop canopy under semi-arid conditions of northern India. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 14(1): 45-49.
- Allen, R., G, Pereira., Luis, S., Raes, D. and Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56: 15-78.
- Bhat, T., Ahmad, L and Kotru, R. (2015). Relation between agrometeorol indices, crop phenology and yield of rice

- genotypes as influenced by real time N management. *J. Agromet.*, 17(1): 90-97.
- Bhriuvanshi, S.R., Adak, T., Kumar, K., Singh, V.K., Singh A and Singh, V.K. (2012). Impact of fertigation regimes on yield and water use efficiency of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) under subtropical condition. *Indian J. Soil Conser.*, 40(3):252-256.
- Mehta, R. and Pandey, V. (2015). Reference evapotranspiration (ET₀) and crop water requirement (ET_c) of wheat and maize in Gujarat. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 17(1): 107-113.
- Muñoz, C., Ávila, J., Salvo, S., Huircán, J. I. (2012). Prediction of harvest start date in highbush blueberry using time series regression models with correlated errors. *Scientia Horticulturae* 138: 165-170.
- Nagarajan, S., Sukumaran, N.P. and Sastry, P.S.N. (1994). Biomass production in Potato in relation to evapotranspiration and energy summation indices in Northern Hills of India. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 172: 352-357.
- Panwar, R., Singh, S.K., Singh, C.P. and Singh, P.K. (2007). Mango fruit yield and quality improvement through fertigation along with mulch. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 77(10): 680-684.
- Perry, K.B., Sanders, D.C., Granberry, D.M., Garrett, T., Decoteau, D.R., Nagata, R.T., and McLaurin, W.J. (1993). Heat units, solar radiation and day length as pepper harvest predictions. *Agric. Forest Meteorol.*, 65:197-205.
- Rajan, S. (2012). Phenological responses to temperature and Rainfall: A Case Study of Mango. In: "*Tropical Fruit Tree Species and Climate Change*": Sthapit BR, Ramanatha Rao V, Sthapit SR. (Eds), Bioversity International, New Delhi, India, pp. 71-96.
- Ravishankar, H., Adak, T., Kumar K. and R.P. Shukla. (2011). Some aspects of weather dynamics influencing production and sustainability of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) in Malihabad belt of Uttar Pradesh. In: "International Conference on Issues for Climate Change, Land Use Diversification and Biotechnological Tools for Livelihood Security", October 8-10, 2011, SVPUA&T, Meerut, U.P., India, p 208-209.
- Ruml, M., Vukovic, A., Milatovic, D. (2010). Evaluation of different methods for determining growing degree-day thresholds in apricot cultivars. *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, 54:411-422.
- Singh, D., Rao, V.U.M., and Bishnoi, O.P. (2000). Energy indices and phenophase development in *Brassica*: Evaluation of various energy indices in relation to phenophases development of *Brassica* species. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.*, 34:23-28.
- Spreer W., Naglea, M., Neidharth, S., Carleb, R., Ongprasert, S. and Mullera, J. (2007). Effect of regulated deficit irrigation and partial rootzone drying on the quality of mango fruits (*Mangifera indica* L., cv. 'Chok Anan'). *Agric. Water Manage.*, 88:173-180.
- Srivastava, A. K., Adak, T. and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (2011). Quantification of growth and yield of oilseed *Brassica* using thermal indices under semi-arid environment. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 13 (2): 135-140.
- Suresh, K., Prasanna Lakshmi, R. Kiran Kumar, M and Lakshmi Kantha D. (2013). Growing degree days and reproductive phenology of four oil palm hybrids in India under irrigated conditions. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 15(Special issue I): 108-111.
- Umber, M., Paget, B., Hubert, O., Salas, I., Salmon, F., Jenny, C., Chillet, M., Bugaud, C. (2011). Application of thermal sums concept to estimate the time to harvest new banana hybrids for export. *Sci. Hortic.* 129 (1): 52-57.
- Villordon, A., Clark, C., Ferrin, D., LaBonte, D. (2009). Using growing degree days, agrometeorological variables, linear regression, and data mining methods to help improve prediction of sweet potato harvest date in Louisiana. *Hort Technol.*, 19 (1): 133-144.
- Whiley, A.W., Rasmussen T.S., Wolstenholme B.N., Saranah J.B., Cull B.W. (1991). Interpretation of growth responses of some mango cultivars grown under controlled temperature. *Acta Hortic.*, 291: 22-31.