Early blight management and its effects on tuber yield of two potato cultivars under varied environmental condition*

H. R. PATEL A. M. SHEKH, J. G. PATEL, A. MISHRA, G. B.VALAND, G. C. PATEL, R. N. PANDEY and D. S. MISTRY

Gujarat Agricultural University, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Campus Anand

ABSTRACT

The results of three years field experiment on early blight management of two potato cultivars (Kufri Badshah and K. Lauvker) under varied environmental conditions showed fungicidal (mencozeb @0.2 %) treatment to be significantly effective. One spray of fungicide yielded 19% while two sprays yielded 29% higher tuber yield than no spray. Both the early blight management treatments (one and two spray) found superior over no spray. Correlation study between early blight of potato and preceding seven days mean of various weather parameters showed positive significant association with evaporation rate followed by maximum temperature, relative humidity and bright sunshine hours. Based on significant association linear regression has been developed for prediction of disease intensity (R² =0.532). Based on the early blight initiation (first early blight lesion on potato leaf) mean disease initiation day was computed and thereby prophylactic spraying day was fixed for effective and economic control of early blight of potato under field condition.

Key words: Early blight, weather parameters, spraying

Early blight (Alternaria solani (E&M) Jones and Grout) of potato (Solanum tubersum L.) has been recognized since its discovery causing yield losses up to 40% (Haware, 1968). The incidence of disease is mostly influenced by high moisture which is provided by heavy dews, light rain or irrigation, planting time, variety, age of the crop, inoculums, warm temperature and vigour of the plant (Easton et al., 1975; Harrison et al., 1965; Ohms and Fenwick, 1961). The disease is also favoured by short rotation, continuous potato

cropping and not by burning the tops (Menzer and Merrian, 1974). Tuberization stage of the crop is most critical for disease initiation. Harrison and Venette (1970) suggested protectant application of fungicide than frequent high dose chemical application.

A simple day-degree model was developed by France et al. (1988) to predict appearance of disease in Colorado area.

The scientific information on disease initiation, development, its spread and

^{*}Paper presented in the National Seminar on "Agrometeorological Research for Sustainable Agricultural Production", held at G.A.U., Anand during 27-28 September 2001.

Table 1: Tuber yield of potato and disease index as influenced by planting dates, varieties, and number of mancozeb (0.2%) sprays

Treatments	Tuber yield (t ha ⁻¹)						
	1995-96	1996-97	1998-99	Pooled	Disease index		
Planting dates(D)							
3rd week of Nov.(D,)	18.19	37.57	32,83	29.63	2.745		
Is week of Dec.(D,)	15,59	35.02	28.46	26.32	2.868		
3rd week of Dec.(D)	7.92	26.98	20.88	18,59	2.723		
S. Em.	0.78	1.29	1.08	0.60	0.0371		
CD at 5%	2.70	4.47	3,75	1.79	NS		
CV%	27.59	19.05	19.40	20.58	6.93		
Varieties (V)							
K. Badshah(V,)	15.06	34.39	28.64	26.09	2.466		
K. Lauvker(V ₂)	12.67	31.99	26,14	23.60	3.092		
S. Em.	0.38	0.46	0.43	0.24	0.0276		
CD at 5%	1.09	1.32	1.20	0.69	0.498		
Spraying(S)							
No spray(S _o)	12.51	25.50	22.86	20.38	3.652		
One sprasy(S ₁)	13.81	35.57	26,51	25,39	2.559		
Two spray(S2)	15.28	38.51	32.80	28.87	2.125		
S. Em.	0.47	0.57	0.52	3.17	0.0338		
CD at 5%	1,33	1.61	1.48	NS	0.205		
CV%	16,52	8.35	9.38	10.25	5.97		
Significant interaction	DxV	DxV,DxS	DxS	YxDxV,Yx DxS, YxS, DxS	YxDxVxSxP		

effective and economic spraying schedule under different environmental condition is meager and hence field trial was conducted with three date of planting with two potato cultivars and three early blight management treatments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out in split plot design with four replications during 1995-96, 1996-97 and 1998-99. Main plot comprised of three dates of planting (D₁: 3rd week of Nov., D₂: 1st week of Dec. and D₃: 3rd week of Dec.). In sub plot treatment two local grown cultivars (V₁: Kufri Badshah and V₂: K. Lauvker) with three treatments of early blight management (S₀: No spray, S₁: One spray of recommended fungicide (mencozeb @ 0.2%) at the time of appearance of disease symptoms and S2:

Table 2: Correlation coefficient between early blight of potato and preceding seven days mean of various weather variables

Sr. No.	Weather variables	Year					
		1995-96	1996-97	1998-99	Pooled		
1.	Evaporation	0.56 **	0.87 **	0.79 **	0.73 **		
	Bright Sunshine hours	0.28	0.48 **	0.31	0.35 **		
2. 3.	Wind speed	-0.37	-0.35	0.43 **	-0.05		
4.	Max. temp.	0.56 **	0.82 **	0.70 **	0.67		
5.	Min. temp.	0.20	0.59 **	0.68 **	0.46 **		
6, 7	Mean temp.	0.07	0.77 **	0.70 **	.046 ***		
7.	RH (0738)	-0.47 **	-0.40	-0.47 **	-0.47		
8.	RH (1438)	-0.52 **	-0.68 **	-0.37	-0.52 **		
9_	Mean RH	-0.56 **	-0.72 **	-0,45 *	-0.57 **		
	SHADONOS ASISTA O	N=18	N=18	N=18	N=54		

^{* :} Significant at 5% level **: Significant at 1% level

Table 3: Disease initiation period from date of planting

Year	Days from date of planting				
	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃		
1995-96	35	29	36		
1996-97	34	30	27		
1998-99	30	29	28		

Mean disease initiation date: 31

first spray same as S1 and second spray 15 days later in a plot size of 4.00 x 2.25m. Planting distance was kept 45x20 cm. Crop was fertilized with 220 + 110 + 220 NPK kg ha⁻¹ uniformly. Transplanting was carried out by wet method. Weekly weather data from the Agro - Met observatory adjacent to the experimental site have been used. The periodic disease index (0 to 9 scale) was recorded at 10 days interval starting from

36 DAP (days after planting) to 86 DAP during tuber formation stage of the crop. First disease initiation date in all the plantings was also recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Treatment effect on tuber yield

Individual as well as pooled analysis (Table 1) shows that significantly highest tuber yield in D₁ followed by D₂ and D₃. In case of varieties, K. Badshah (V₁) gave significantly higher tuber yield as compared to K. Louvker (V₂).

Fungicidal spraying schedule was found significant in individual and in pooled analysis under YxDxS interaction. One spray of fungicide (S₁) yielded 19 % and two sprays (S₂) yielded 29 % higher tuber yield than no spray (S₀) treatment. On an average S₂ yielded 12 % higher tuber than

S₁ fungicidal spraying treatment. This shows that early blight management treatment (S₁ and S₂) superior in getting higher tuber yield than no spray (S₀).

Treatment effects on disease index (0 to 9 scale)

The results of three years pooled data on periodic disease index (36,46,56,66,76 and 86 DAP) showed (Table 1) nonsignificant difference amongst different dates of planting, while it differed significantly due to varieties and spraying schedule. Nearly 20 % higher disease index was recorded in K. Lauvker than K. Badshah which seems to be comparatively more tolerant to early blight disease than K. Lauvker. Significant differences were also observed in number of spraying treatments. About 29 and 42 % less disease index was recorded in S1 and S2 treatment s as compared to S0 respectively. This suggests the superiority of fungicidal spray for early blight management over no spray.

Early blight-weather relationship

The periodic disease index during yield formation stage of the crop and preceding seven days mean of effective weather variables have been correlated to ascertain the effective weather parameter responsible for disease development (Table 2). Based upon significance of weather parameters in individual as well as combined analysis, the stepwise regression study has been performed for development of prediction model.

DI =-1.238 3 + 1.1540**EP.... (R2=0.532)

where,

DI: disease index and

EP: weekly evaporation rate

Thus, the inoculums load of pathogen could be predicted by knowing disease intensity, which helps the growers for early warning for fungicidal spray.

Early blight spray management

The disease initiation (first early blight lesion on leaf) time was recorded in all the plantings and years (Table 3). Based upon first initiation average disease initiation date was computed to be 30th DAP. This simple information suggests that prophylactic spraying should be carried out earlier to this period. Keeping close watch from the 25th day such prophylactic management based on actual field experiments might prove very useful in management of early blight of potato by reducing number of sprays due to effective control of pathogen and thus minimize the input costs to great extent.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded from foregoing results that considering the effectiveness in controlling the infection and yield obtained by fungicidal spraying treatment it is advised to plant potato cv. K. Badshah in third week of November in the study region and to go for prophylactic spray of mancozeb @0.2 % at 25 DAP followed by second spray after 15 days for higher tuber yield through effective and economical control of early blight of potato compared to potato cv. K.

Lauvker.

REFERENCES

- Easton, G. D., Nagle, M. E. and Bailey, D. L. 1975. Lack of foliar protection from early blight by aircraft-applied fungicides on sprinkler-irrigated potatoes. *Plant Dis. Rep.*, 59: 910-914.
- France, G. D., Harrison, M. D. and Lehman, L. K. 1988. A simple daydegree (DD) model for intiating chemical control of potato early blighting Colorado. *Plant Disease*, 72: 851-854.
- Harrison, M. D., Livingston, C. H. and Oshima, N. 1965. Epidemiology of potato early blight in Colorado. I. Initial infection, disease development and the

- influence of environmental factors. Am. Potato J., 42:279-291.
- Harrison, M. D., and Vennete, J. R. 1970. Chemical control of early blight and its effects on potato yield. Am. Potato. J., 47: 81-86.
- Haware, M. P. 1968. Assessment of losses due to early blight (*Alternaria solani*) on potato. *JNKVV Res. J.*, 2:67-68.
- Menzer, F. E. and Merriam, D. C. 1974. Importance of over wintered early blight-infected potato vines in Maine. Am. Potato J., 51:419-420.
- Ohms, R. E.and Fenwick, H. S. 1961. Potato early blight –symptoms, cause and control. Idaho. Agric. Exten. Serv. Bull., 346.