# Effect of weather factors on the population of *Chaetocnema* spp.,*Monolepta signata* Oliver and *Aulacophora foveicollis* (Lucas) on paddy under rainfed lowland conditions in Mizoram, India

# T. BOOPATHI<sup>\*</sup>, S.B. SINGH, T. MANJU, S.K. DUTTA, S. SAHA, A.R. SINGH, Y. RAMAKRISHNA<sup>2</sup>, SAMIK CHOWDHURY, LUNGMUANA, V. DAYAL and S.V. NGACHAN

ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Mizoram Centre, Kolasib–796081, Mizoram, India. <sup>1</sup>ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umroi Road, Umiam-793103, Meghalaya, India. <sup>2</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Ukhrul District, Manipur-795145 \*Corresponding authorE-mail: boopathiars@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

The present study was undertaken during kharif 2013 and 2014 to know the relationship between weather parameters and chrysomelids (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)*viz.*, *Chaetocnema* spp., *Monolepta signata* Oliverand *Aulacophora foveicollis* (Lucas)in rice agro-ecosystem in Mizoram, India.*Chaetocnema* spp., and *M. signata* were found to first invade the crop during last week of August, whereas *A. foveicollis* was recorded during third week of September. The highest populations of *Chaetocnema* spp. and *M. signata* were during September. However, the highest population of *A. foveicollis* was during October. Morning relative humidity (RH) had significant correlation with populations of *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis*. When there was abrupt fall in minimum temperature and coupled with increase in morning RH, rainfall and rainy days a build-up in *Chaetocnema* spp. population was recorded during the pooled year. These studies clearly indicate that weather factors play an important role in *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis* incidence.

Key words : Seasonal abundance, seasons, weather parameters, interaction, Eastern Himalayas, population buildup

Rice, the staple food of over half of the world's population, is grown in more than 110 countries. Most of the world's rice production is from irrigated and rainfed lowland rice fields where insect pests are constraints (Pathak and Khan, 1994). Rice is grown in the warm and humid environment which is also conducive to the proliferation of insects. Modern varieties, high tillering, heavily fertilized and the practice of multi-cropping rice throughout the year favors the buildup of pest populations. Average rice yield loss due to various insect pests was estimated to be 31.5% inAsia (excluding China) and 21 % in North and Central America. The rice plant is subject to attack by more than 100 species of insects (Arora and Dhaliwal, 1996); 20 of them can cause economic damage. Together they infest all parts of the plant at all growth stages, and a few transmit viral diseases.

Climatic conditions largely influence the insect pests number and activity as well as several parasites and predators either directly or indirectly (Arif *et al.*, 2006; Kumar and Gupta, 2016; Saha *et al.* 2016).Boopathi *et al.*, (2012) have shown that besides the availability of new leaves and shoots, weather factors also play an important role in *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis* incidence. The pest status does not remain static throughout the year but changes accordingly based on weather factors. Therefore, a thorough understanding of interaction between weather parameters and pest dynamics is pre-requisite for weather-based pest forecasting model. Hence, the present study was undertaken to know the relationship between weather factors and chewing pests in rice agro-ecosystem in Mizoram, India.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Field experiment

The present study was carried out at the research farm, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Mizoram Centre, Kolasib, Mizoram, India during *kharif* season in both 2013 and 2014 with rice cultivar Gomati. Around 2000 m<sup>2</sup> area was taken to study the population buildup of *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis* in lowland rice. The total area was divided into ten equal segments and

SMW	No./	/10plants±standar	error*			Weather fact	OIS			
	Chaetocnema	Monolepta	Aulacophora	Temperature	(°C)	Relative hum	nidity (%)	Rainfall	Rainy	Total
	spp.	signata	foveicollis	Maximum	Minimum	Morning	Evening	(mm)	days	EP
35	$14.5a \pm 1.2$	$1.0d \pm 0.8$	ı	30.3	22.0	85.9	51.4	21.8	5.0	3.6
36	$16.3a \pm 3.1$	$10.0a \pm 2.8$	ı	30.2	21.6	89.8	50.1	26.5	5.5	3.8
37	$14.8a \pm 1.7$	$5.0bcd \pm 1.5$	ı	29.9	22.3	87.8	54.1	20.8	4.0	2.8
38	$6.0bc \pm 2.3$	$7.2ab \pm 0.6$	$0.2b\pm0.2$	29.7	22.9	86.5	55.1	14.1	3.5	3.8
39	$9.3b \pm 3.1$	$10.3a \pm 1.3$	$1.2a \pm 0.3$	30.2	22.7	85.2	56.0	16.2	4.5	3.4
40	$9.0b \pm 1.0$	$6.5ab \pm 0.8$	$1.0a \pm 0.3$	30.6	23.1	79.4	52.5	2.9	2.5	3.1
41	$0.2d \pm 0.2$	$3.7bcd \pm 1.5$	$1.3a \pm 0.2$	30.5	23.0	72.1	49.4	6.7	2.5	3.9
42	$2.3$ cd $\pm 1.3$	$2.3$ cd $\pm 0.2$	$1.5a \pm 0.5$	29.6	22.5	79.7	45.3	0.6	0.5	3.5

measure was taken throughout the crop season.

June 2017

Observations on the incidence of *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis* were recorded starting from initial appearance to up to harvest. Sampling was done at weekly interval accountinga dults of *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis* by counting number of beetles/ plant/hill from 10 randomly selected plants in 10 hills. The daily weather data for study period was obtained from the Meteorological Unit, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Mizoram Centre, Kolasib, Mizoram, India.

#### Data analysis

Population of Chaetocnema spp., and Aulacophorafoveicollis (Lucas) on paddy in Mizoram

The weekly data on chrysomelids incidences were subjected to correlation analyses with average weekly weather factors with pooled data of two years (2013 and 2014) to find out the influence of abiotic factors on insects infestation. Data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA for seasonal incidence of *Chaetocnema* spp., *M. signata* and *A. foveicollis* during two seasons.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Chaetocnema spp.

The seasonal fluctuation of *Chaetocnema* spp. varied from  $0.2\pm0.2$  to  $16.3\pm3.1$  per 10 plants in the pooled data (average of 2013 and 2014) (Table 1). The population increased reaching a peak on  $36^{th}$ standard meteorological week (SMW) i.e. first week of September( $16.3\pm3.1$  per 10plants). There was not significant difference in its population due to season/year, where as its population significantly varied due to weekly distribution (Table 2).

Correlation coefficient between *Chaetocnema* spp. population and weather factors revealed that *Chaetocnema* spp. population had positive significant correlation with morning RH (r = 0.831), rainfall (r = 0.832) and rainy days (r = 0.809) in the pooled data (Table 3), while minimum temperature (r = -0.723) had negative significant correlation with *Chaetocnema* spp. population. Maximum temperature, evening RH and total EP showed non-significant correlation with *Chaetocnema* spp. population. Earlier, Boopathi *et al.* (2012) reported that *Chaetocnema* spp. had positive significant correlation with morning relative humidity.

Source	df	Chaetocnema spp.		M. signata		A. foveicollis	
		Fvalue	P value	Fvalue	<i>P</i> value	Fvalue	P value
Season (S)	1, 30	0.056	0.815ns	1.171	0.288ns	0.042	0.839
Week (W)	7, 30	11.676	< 0.001	6.754	< 0.001	5.354	< 0.001
Interaction (S ×	«W) 7, 30	0.770	0.616ns	0.469	0.849ns	0.912	0.511ns

 Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

ns, non-significant.

 Table 3: Correlation between weather parameters and population of Chaetocnema spp., Monolepta signata and Aulacophora foveicollison paddy under rainfed lowland condition (Pooled data of 2013 and 2014)

Weather parameters	Chaetocnema spp.	M. signata	A. foveicollis
Maximum temperature	0.104ns	0.066ns	0.119ns
Minimum temperature	-0.723*	-0.007ns	0.608ns
Morning relative humidity	0.831*	0.720*	-0.793*
Evening relative humidity	0.377ns	0.537ns	-0.397ns
Rainfall(mm)	0.832*	0.328ns	0.840**
Rainy days (d)	0.809*	0.444ns	-0.750*
Total EP	-0.351ns	0.031ns	0.034ns

ns,\*,\*\* non-significant or significant at P $\leq$ 0.01 or P $\leq$ 0.05

#### Monolepta signata

The seasonal fluctuation of *M. signata* varied from  $1.0\pm0.8$  to  $10.3\pm1.3$  (Table 1). There was two peaks in its population first peak during  $36^{th}$ SMW ( $10.0\pm2.8$  per 10plants) and second during  $39^{th}$ SMW ( $10.3\pm1.3$  per 10plants), there after it decreased. Boopathi *et al.* (2012) reported that *M. signata* population was found more during September (16.25 per 10 plants). As observed in case of *Chaetocnema spp.*, the population of *M. signata*also did not vary between the years, however, within the season, weekly variation was significant (Table 2). *M. signata* population had positive significant correlation with morning RH (r=0.720) (Table 3). However, other weather parameters showed non-significant correlation with *M. signata* population.

#### Aulacophora foveicollis

The seasonal fluctuation of *A. foveicollis* varied less in comparison to others pests (Table 1). The activity of pumpkin beetle started from third week of September and the population reached a peak on second week of October i.e. 42 SMW( $1.5\pm0.5$  per 10plants). In contrast to other two pests, the population of *A. foveicollis* varied significantly between the season/years as well as during the weeks (Table 2). Correlation coefficient between A. foveicollis and weather factors revealed that A. foveicollis population had positive significant correlation with rainfall (r = 0.840) (Table 3), while morning RH (r = -0.793) and rainy days (r =-0.750) had negative significant correlation with pumpkin beetle population. However, other weather parameters showed non-significant correlation with pumpkin beetle population.

### REFERENCES

- Arif, M.J., Gogi, M.D., Mirza, M., Zia, K. and Hafeez, F. (2006). Impact of plant spacing and abiotic factors on population dynamics of sucking pests of cotton. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, 9:1364-1369.
- Arora, R. and Dhaliwal, G.S. (1996). Agroecological changes and insect pest problems in Indian agriculture. *IndianJ. Ecol.*, 23:109-122.
- Boopathi, T., Pathak, K.A., Ramakrishna, Y. and Verma, A.K. (2012). Influence of weather factors on the population dynamics of chewing pests of lowland paddy. *Oryza*, 49(3):200-204.
- Kumar, M. and Gupta, A. (2016). Effect of weather variables on whitefly (Bemisia tabaci Gennadius) population in development of potato apical leaf curl virus disease. J. Agrometeorol., 18(2):288-291.

- Pathak, M.D. and Khan, Z.R. (1994). "Insect Pests of Rice". International RiceResearch Institute, Manila, Philippines.
- Saha, S., Chakraborty, D., Singh, S. B., Chowdhury, S., Syiem, E. K., Dutta, S. K., Lungmuana, Choudhury B. U.,

Boopathi, T., Singh, A. R., Ramakrishna, Y. and Roy, A. (2016). Analyzing the trend in thermal discomfort and other bioclimatic indices at Kolasib, Mizoram. *J. Agrometeorol.*,18(1):57-61.

Received :July 2016; Accepted : March 2017