Thermal time indices and yield correlations for hybrid rice in Terai zone of West Bengal

NAKUL MANDAL and MRITYUNJAY GHOSH

Department of Agronomy, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari-736165 Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India

Phenology is the development of a plant through successive growth stages. The duration of each growth phase is a result of crop response to external and environmental factors. In this paper, the phenological developments of four rice hybrids grown under field conditions in Cooch Behar, West Bengal are reported. These data, in conjunction with grain yield, were used to develop and validate a crop model especially for use at Pundibari in terai agro-climatic zone of West Bengal.

A field experiment was conducted during wet season (June-September) of 2000 on a low land sandy loam soil at North Bengal Campus Farm (26°19'N, 89°23'E and 43 m above sea level) of Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India. Treatments replicated thrice, were arranged in a splitplot design with dates of planting (5th July, 20th July and 5th August, with the seedlings sown on 10th June, 25th June and 10th July, respectively) in main plots and cultivars (Pro Agro 6201, PDSH 35, Nardi 111, CNHR 3) including IR 50 as inbred check in subplots. Twenty five days old rice seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 20 x 15 cm. Standard agronomic practices were adopted.

Growing degree days (GDD) for each

phenophase were calculated following Nuttonson (1955) by taking a base temperature of 8°C. For each phenophase, heliothermal units [Σ (GDD x Bright sunshine hour)] following Singh *et al.* (1990) and photothermal units [Σ (GDD x Day length)] following Nuttonson (1948) were calculated. Correlation studies between thermal and photothermal requirements for each phenological stage and grain yield were made. The regression models through step down approach were developed for each cultivar.

The investigation on the development of crop was carried out by considering different phenophases and the number of days taken for completion of each phenophase and it varied with the dates of sowing and cultivars tested (Table 1). The time taken for maturity ranged from 116.2 to 120.8 days for different sowing dates and 105.0 to 127.3 days for different cultivars tested and the data revealed that the period from fourth leaf emergence to flowering primarily determined the differences in number of days for maturity.

GDD during the periods between sowing and first leaf emergence as well as between fourth leaf emergence and flowering was found to increase with successive delay in sowing. However, 10th July sown crop

Table 1: Effect of sowing dates and cultivars on duration and growth environment on hybrid rice

Treatment	Sowing to emergence of first leaf	Emergence of first leaf to fourth leaf	Emergence of fourth leaf to flowering	Flowering to maturity	Sowing to maturity
		(Number o	of days)		
Sowing dates 10 th June 25 th June 10 th July	2.4 2.6 2.8	17.8 19.4 16.2	67.4 68.8 66.4	30.0 30.0 30.8	117.6 120.8 116.2
Cultivars Pro Agro 6201 PDSH 35 Nardi 111 CNHR 3 IR 50	2.0 2.0 2.7 4.3 2.0	18.3 18.3 17.7 17.3 17.3	76.3 69.0 67.7 53.0 71.7	30.7 29.3 30.0 30.3 31.0	127.3 118.7 118.0 105.0 122.0
		(Growing de	gree days)		
Sowing dates 10th June 25th June 10th July Cultivars	45 48 56	317 376 328	1318 1395 1436	691 532 523	2371 2351 2343
Pro Agro 6201 PDSH 35 Nardi 111 CNHR 3 IR 50	38 38 51 83 38	358 358 344 306 338	1583 1385 1362 1089 1496	521 580 590 617 602	2500 2361 2348 2094 2474
		(Heliotherr	nal units)		
Sowing dates 10th June 25th June 10th July Cultivars Pro Agro 6201 PDSH 35 Nardi 111 CNHR 3 IR 50	52 110 365 125 125 208 294 120	1290 1870 1868 1739 1739 1655 1672 1574 (Photother	5969 5819 5648 6834 5877 5731 4356 6262	2931 4107 4340 3961 3828 3886 3281 3994	10242 11905 12220 12658 11568 11481 9604 11955
P. San James		(1 nototile)	This time?		T
Sowing dates 10th June 25th June 10th July	600 643 745	4533 5029 4354	17153 16824 15665°	6575 6224 6011	28860 28721 26776
Cultivars Pro Agro 6201 PDSH 35 Nardi 111 CNHR 3 IR 50	505 505 685 1114 505	4777 4777 4597 4527 4515	18525 16883 16606 13219 17504	6027 6090 6222 6700 6311	29834 28256 28111 25559 28835

Table 2: Grain yield of rice hybrids as influenced by trsnsplanting dates

Transplanting date (Sowing date)	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)					
	Pro Agro 6201	PDSH 35	Nardi 111	CNHR 3	IR 50	Mear
5th July (10th June)	5.37	3.51	3.54	3.25	3.67	3.87
20th July (25th June)	5.87	5.17	4.80	3.56	4.83	4.85
5th August (10th July)	5.93	5.68	5.27	4.88	5.01	5.36
Mean	5.72	4.78	4.54	3.90	4.50	
C.D. at 5% T	ransplanting date Cultiavar (0.15) (0.14)		Transplanting date X Cutiv (0.25)			/ar

Table 3: Correlation coefficients of rice grain yield with growing degree days (GDD), heliothermal units (HTU), photothermal units (PTU) and summation of bright sunshine hours (ΣBSH) during various phenophases at different sowing dates

				Carried Strategic Property	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Transplanting date (Sowing date)	Phenophase	GDD	HTU	PTU	ΣBSH
5th July (10th June)	S-1L	-0.405	-0.405	-0.405	-0.405
	1L-4L	0.444	-0.159	0.089	-0.315
	4L-F	0.702	0.533	0.695	0.715
	F-M	-0.999 **	0.752	-0.484	0.181
20th July (25th June)	S-1L	-0.877	-0.875	-0.877	-0.871
	IL-4L	0.651	-0.011	0.654	0.092
	4L-F	0.860	0.908 *	0.951 *	0.901 *
	F-M	-0,483	0.760	-0.754	0.782
5th August (10th July)	S-1L	-0.652	-0.648	-0.652	-0.924
	1L-4L	0.917 *	-0.933	0.914 *	0.962 **
	4L-F	0.656 *	0.640	0.650 *	0.638
	F-M	-0.698	-0.481	-0.779	0.385

^{*,**} significant at 5% and 1% level of probability

accumulated lower GDD (2343) during the life period as compared to 10th June (2371)

and 25th June (2351) sowings, mainly because of lower GDD in ripening period (flowering

S-1L= Sowing to emergence of first leaf; 1L-4L= Emergence of first to fourth leaf;

⁴L-F= Emergence of fourth leaf to flowering; F-M= Flowering to maturity; S-M= Sowing to maturity

to maturity). The differences in total GDD as noticed in this study is consistent with the findings of Pandey et al. (2001).

The cumulative effect of variation in HTU as observed during emergence phase (sowing to first leaf emergence), seedling phase (first to fourth leaf emergence) and ripening phase (flowering to maturity) determined the differences in total HTU. It is also evident from the data that accumulation of total HTU increased with delay in sowing from 10th June to 10th July, showing a linear relationship with increasing average bright sunshine hour/day (data not shown).

As day length showed a linear decreasing trend after 21st June (summer solstice), the crops raised from sowing 25th June and 10th July met successively lower day length throughout the growing period than early sown (10th June) crop. Accumulation of total PTU decreased with delay in sowing owing to a complex interaction between mean air temperature and day length prevailing during fourth leaf emergence to maturity.

There was an increasing trend in grain yield for each cultivar with delay in planting from 5th July to 5th August (Table 2). This could be explained by the fact that low temperature (25.0° C) with more bright sunshine (357.3) hours prevailed during the ripening period for late (5th August) planted crop as opined by Robertson and De Weille (1973). Correlation studies for summation of BSH and GDD during the ripening period (flowering to maturity) had positive and negative effects (non-significant in most of the cases), respectively on grain yield (Table 3). It is also evident that grain yield

was positively correlated with GDD, HTU, PTU, and summation of BSH during the period between fourth leaf emergence and flowering for all three planting dates, however, significant correlation was found in a few cases.

REFERENCES

- Nuttonson, M.Y. 1948. Some preliminary observations of phenological data as a tool in thestudy of photoperiod and thermal requirements of various plant materials. In: Proceedings of a symposium on Vernalization and photoperiodism (A.E. Murneek and P.R. Whyte. eds.). Chronica Botanica Publishing Co. Walthani, M.A., U.S.A.
- Nuttonson, M. Y. 1955. Wheat climatic relationship and use of phenology in ascertaining the thermal and photothermal requirements of wheat. Am. Inst. Crop Ecol. Washington D.C.
- Pandey, N., Verma, A.K. and Tripathi, R.S. 2001. Affects of planting time and nitrogen on tillering pattern, dry matter accumulation and grain yield of hybrid rice (Oryza sativa). Indian J. Agri, Sci., 71(5): 337-338.
- Robertson, G.W. and De Weille, G.A. 1973. Rice and weather, W.M.O. Bull. pp 16-24.
- Singh, G., Narwal, S. S., Rao, V.U.M. and Dahiya, D.S. 1990. Effect of sowing date on requirement of growing degree days, heliothermal units and photothermal units and phenology of winter maize (Zea mays). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 60 (11): 723-731.