Impact of elevated temperature and CO₂ on yield of wheat in Bihar

SUNIL KUMAR

Department of Agronomy, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar-813210, India E-mail: sunilkumaragromet@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Temperature and CO_2 are two important parameters related to climate change, which affect crop yield of a particular region. In this study, an attempt was made to assess the impact of these parameters on the productivity of wheat crop in Bihar. For this purpose CROPSIM-CERES-Wheat model 4.6 was used in which weather data of 1981-2010 was used as baseline to assess the change in wheat yield under different climate change scenarios for four locations viz. Pusa (zone I), Purnia (zone II), Sabour (zone III A) and Patna (zone III B) in Bihar. The model was run for the scenarios like 1°, 2°, 3° and 4 ° C increase in mean temperature keeping all parameters normal. Similarly change in yield of wheat at increased CO_2 at 700 ppm and 850 ppm was studied. The effect of different combinations of increased temperature and CO_2 on wheat yield was also studied. Simulated wheat productivity revealed the reduction in grain yield by 7.4 to 38.6 per cent in response to temperature rise up to 4° C at all locations. The elevated CO_2 (from 350 ppm up to 850 ppm) increased the yield by 39.1 per cent. Increased temperature with combination of increased CO_2 concentration reduced the yield by 2.1-16.0 per cent for different combinations at different locations. The maximum reduction in simulated wheat yield was observed at combination of 2 ° C increase in temperature with 450 ppm CO_2 concentration at all locations in Bihar.

Key words: Climate change, CROPSIM Wheat model, temperature rise, CO, conc., wheat

Climate change is one of the primary concerns for humanity in the 21st century. Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report concludes that increasing numbers of warm days and decreasing numbers of cold days have been observed, with the warming trend continuing into the new millennium. Increasing annual mean temperature trends at the country scale in East and South Asia have been observed during the 20th century. Ensemble-mean changes in mean annual temperature exceed 2°C above the late-20th-century baseline over most land areas in the mid-21st-century under RCP8.5, and range from greater than 3°C over South and Southeast Asia to greater than 6°Cover high latitudes in the late-21st century (IPCC, 2014). In the Indo-Gangetic Plains of South Asia there could be a decrease of about 50 per cent in the most favourable and high-yielding wheat area as a result of heat stress at two times CO₂ (IPCC, 2014). High CO₂ and other GHGs tend to warm up the atmosphere, besides affecting other meteorological variables.

Climate change is expected to impact crop yield both in positive and negative ways, though the magnitude may vary from place to place. Significant increasing trend of annual maximum and minimum temperatures have been reported from Bihar (Kumar and Kumar, 2017). Although increase in atmospheric CO₂ has a fertilization effect on crops with C₃ photo synthetic pathway and thus promotes their growth and productivity, on the other hand, it can reduce crop duration. Changes in temperature play a crucial role in determining crop productivity (Fiscus et al., 1997). Small changes in growing season temperature over the years appear to be the key aspect of weather affecting yearly wheat yield fluctuations (Mall et al., 2000). With subsequent rise in temperature reduced the yield of wheat and enhanced CO₂ was unable to counter balance the decline in wheat yield (Vahab et al., 2013). Kumar et al., (2013) reported the reduction in wheat yield in Haryana by 16-18 per cent by increasing the maximum and minimum temperature up to 2.5 °C from baseline temperature. Keeping the above in view, an attempt was made to assess the impact of climate change in respect of temperature and CO₂ concentration on the productivity of wheat by comparing model crop yields simulated with use of weather series representing the present climate and changed climate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sites

Four stations were selected representing different zones viz. Pusa (zone I), Purnea (zone II), Sabour (zone III A), Patna (zone III B). The soil belongs to the major group of Indo-Gangetic alluvium and is sandy loam in texture in zone I and II, whereas sandy clay loam in zone III A and III B.

Emission Description scenarios		CO_2 equivalent by 2100 in (ppm)	Projected changes in global mean surface air temperature		SRES equivalent
			2046-2065	2081-2100	-
RCP8.5	Rising radiative forcing pathway leading to 8.5 Wm ⁻² in 2100	1370	2.0(1.4-2.6)	3.7(2.6-4.8)	A_1F_1
RCP6.0	Stabilization without overshoot pathway to 6.0 Wm ⁻² at 2100	850	1.3 (0.8-1.8)	2.2(1.4-3.1)	B_2
RCP4.5	Stabilization without overshoot pathway to 4.5 Wm ⁻²	650	1.4(0.9-2.0)	1.8(1.1-2.6)	B_1
RCP2.6	Peak in radiative forcing at ~3 Wm ⁻² before 2100, afterwards decline	490	1.0(0.4-1.6)	1.0(0.3-1.7)	None

Table 1:Different emission scenarios as per IPCC fourth and fifth assessment report

RCP: Representative Concentration Pathways, specific emission trajectory suggested by IPCC fifth assessment report.

SRES: Specific Report on emission Scenarios, as suggested by IPCC fourth assessment report

Data requirement for DSSAT simulation model

To investigate physiological responses of wheat crop to changes in climate, crop growth model CROPSIM-CERES-Wheat version 4.6 in DSSAT v. 4.6 was used in this study. For calibration and validation of the model, observed weather data were obtained from India Meteorological Department, Pune for Pusa, Purnia, Sabour and Patna stations. The experimental data of wheat cultivar HD2733 were used for the genetic coefficient and crop management. The calibrated genetic coefficient of wheat was used for simulation of baseline and projected yield for different locations in the region.

Climate change scenarios

The yield of wheat under current weather and CO_2 condition as well as under different changing scenarios with rise in temperature and CO_2 was simulated using CROPSIM-CERES-Wheat model. The range of temperature and CO_2 concentrations used in the model, were based on the IPCC fifth assessment report (AR 5, 2013) which is presented in Table 1. Unmodified current climate data of 30 years (1981-2010) was considered as baseline. The model was run for 12 assumed scenarios keeping other factors constant (Table 2). The change in yield of the wheat crop from baseline mean yield was calculated in different scenarios.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Impact of elevated temperature and CO₂

The yield reduction of 7.4 to 38.6 per cent was observed with increase in mean temperature by 1 to 4 °C at all the locations and maximum yield reduction was observed at Sabour (Table 2). The negative effect of rising temperature on yield may be due to the fact that warmer temperatures speed plant development during the earlier part of the season, potentially causing the flowering stage to begin earlier. Pandey *et al.* (2007) have reported that increase in temperature by 1 to 3 °C caused reduction in wheat yield by 8 to 31 per cent in Gujarat.

With doubling the carbon dioxide concentration from 350 to 700 ppm, the yield of wheat was increased by 22 to 27.7 per cent at different locations (Table 2). A doubling of CO_2 concentration generally increases photosynthesis and can lead to a substantial increase in wheat growth and yield (Annette, 2003).

Combined effect of elevated temperature and CO₂

It was observed that by increasing 1°C mean temperature at CO₂ concentration of 400 ppm the wheat yield decreased at all the locations by 6 to 8.8 per cent. The combination of 450 ppm CO₂ and 2 °C temperature increase resulted in reduction of wheat yield by 11.5 to 16.0 per cent. Even the combination of 500 ppm CO₂ and 2 °C increase in mean temperature also resulted in reduction in the wheat yield by 7.8 to 12.2 per cent. Further increase in temperature by 3 °C and CO₂ at 700 ppm reduced the yield by 4.5 to 10.2 per cent. The maximum reduction in simulated wheat yield was observed at combination of 2°C increase in temperature with 450 ppm CO₂ concentration at all locations. Results of simulated yield clearly indicates that the decline in yield due to temperature stress was compensated through increase in CO₂ level at certain level only. This clearly indicates that the negative effects on crop yields of warmer temperatures in the changed climate were stronger than the positive effect of elevated CO₂. The combined simulation of increased temperature and CO₂ on grain yield revealed that at increased temperature and CO₂ decreased the yield in all the scenarios

Climate change scenarios	Pusa	Purnea	Sabour	Patna	
Mean temperature + 1°C	-7.4	-8.8	-10.4	-7.6	
Mean temperature +2°C	-16.3	-18.4	-21.2	-15.3	
Mean temperature +3°C	-24.4	-27.0	-30.9	-21.6	
Mean temperature +4°C	-31.1	-34.9	-38.6	-26.9	
No change in temperature $\&CO_2700$ ppm	22.0	23.1	27.7	26.0	
No Change in temperature &CO ₂ 850 ppm	28.7	30.0	39.1	35.0	
Mean temperature $+1^{\circ}$ C& CO ₂ 400 ppm	-6.0	-7.3	-8.8	-6.2	
Mean temperature $+1^{\circ}C\&CO_{2}450$ ppm	-2.4	-3.7	-4.9	-2.7	
Mean temperature +2°C&CO ₂ 450 ppm	-11.5	-13.5	-16.0	-10.8	
Mean temperature +2°C&CO ₂ 500 ppm	-7.8	-9.6	-12.2	-7.3	
Mean temperature + $3^{\circ}C\&CO_{2}700$ ppm	-4.5	-6.1	-10.2	-2.4	
Mean temperature +4°C&CO ₂ 850 ppm	-6.0	-9.1	-13.2	-2.1	

 Table 2: Change in yield (%) of wheat crop in different scenarios of climate change at different stations representing different agro-ecological zones of Bihar

but loss of yield is less as the \rm{CO}_2 concentration is increased with increased temperature.

CONCLUSIONS

Future climate change is expected to impact wheat production in Bihar to a greater extent. Wheat yields are expected to decline by 7.4 to 38.6 per cent in response to temperature rise by 1° to 4° C for all locations. In contrast, the doubling of CO_2 (from 350 ppm) may increase the yield by 22-27.7 per cent. The negative effect of higher temperature could not be fully compensated by increase in CO_2 concentration. The maximum reduction in simulated wheat yield was observed at combination of 2 ° C increase in temperature with 450 ppm CO_2 concentration at all locations. Among all the zones, zone III B (Patna) is more vulnerable for the same which needs more attention.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is thankful to Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour for providing platform for the research. The author is also thankful to the India Meteorological Department, Pune for providing weather data. The author is thankful to the reviewer who provided valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Annette, F. (2003). Regionalized inventory of bioorganic greenhouse gases emissions from European agriculture. *Eur. J., Agron.,* 19: 135-160.

- Fiscus, E. L., Reid, C. D., Miller, J. E. and Heagle, A. S. (1997). Elevated CO_2 reduces O_3 flux and O_3 induced yield losses in soybeans: possible implications for elevated CO_2 studies. *J., Exp. Bot.*, 48: 307-313.
- IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, IIand III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change[Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, PP: 151.
- Kumar, S. and Kumar, S. (2017). Impact of aerosol on climate and crop productivity of rice and wheat crop in Bihar. J., Agrometeorol. 19 (1): 23-28.
- Kumar, K., Singh, S. and Singh D. (2013). Seasonal climatic variability and its impact assessment on wheat productivity using crop modelling techniques in Haryana. J., Agrometeorol. 15 (Special issue-1): 25-29.
- Mall, R. K. and Singh, K. K. (2000). Climate variability and wheat yield progress in Punjab using the CERES wheat and WTGROWS models. *Vayumandal*. 30: 35-41.
- Pandey, V., Patel H. R. and Patel V. J. (2007). Impact assessment of climate change on wheat yield in Gujarat using CERES-wheat model. *J., Agrometeorol.* 9(2): 149-157.
- Vahab, A., Haris, A., Biswas, S., Chhabra, V., Elanchezhian, R. and Bhatt, B. P. (2013). Impact of climate change on wheat and winter maize over a sub-humid climate environment, *Current Sci.*, 104 (2): 206-214.