



Journal of Agrometeorology

ISSN : 0972-1665 (print), 2583-2980 (online)

Vol. No. 26 (4) : 494-496 (December - 2024)

<https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v26i4.2679>

<https://journal.agrimetassociation.org/index.php/jam>



Short communication

Application of discriminant function analysis for forecasting wheat yield in Jaunpur district, Uttar Pradesh

PIYUSH KUMAR SINGH^{1*}, PRATIBHA SINGH² and VISHVA DEEPAK CHATURVEDI³

¹Department of Agricultural Statistics, Acharya Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya 224229, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Department of Biochemistry, Acharya Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya 224229, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CSK HPKV, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India

*Correspondence author email: piyush29jul@gmail.com

The crop yield forecasting faces significant challenges due to the complex interaction between weather variability and technological advancements. Recognizing that both biometrical characteristics and weather factors, such as maximum and minimum temperatures, rainfall, wind velocity, and sunshine hours, the intricate task lies in accurately predicting these yields amidst environmental uncertainties (Singh *et al.*, 2023). However, the dynamic nature of weather conditions and the technological changes impacting agricultural practices necessitate a more refined approach to modeling and forecasting (Ghosh *et al.*, 2014; Chaudhari *et al.*, 2010). There have been inherent limitations of over-fitting in multiple linear regression models when the predictor variables outnumber the observations and the challenge of multicollinearity within these predictors. Past research has extensively analyzed the impact of individual weather factors on wheat yields, leading to the use of discriminant function and principal component analysis to harness weekly weather data to develop robust forecast models (Agrawal *et al.*, 2012; Sisodia *et al.*, 2014; Kumar *et al.*, 2019).

The present study is aimed to use the discriminant function analysis to predict the wheat yield of Jaunpur district of Uttar Pradesh by gathering data from critical stages of the wheat growing season. The time series data on wheat crop yield for Jaunpur district in eastern Uttar Pradesh covering the period from 2000-01 to 2021-22 were obtained from the specified website <http://updes.up.nic.in/spatrika/spatrika.htm>. The time series weather data such as maximum and minimum temperatures, rainfall, wind velocity, and sunshine hours of Jaunpur were obtained from India Meteorological Department, Lucknow. The six models as described

by Sisodia *et al.*, (2014) having different combinations of weighted and un-weighted weather indices were followed and six crop yield prediction equations using two discriminant scores (ds1, ds2) of the following forms were developed.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ds_1 + \beta_2 ds_2 + \beta_3 T + \epsilon$$

Where, Y is wheat yield and T is a trend variable and (ϵ) is the error term.

Following six models were developed following Sisodia *et al.*, (2014) methodology.

Model 1 used five un-weighted weather indices

Model 2 used five weighted weather indices

Model 3 used 30 indices (both weighted and un-weighted, including interaction indices)

Model 4 used five weighted and five un-weighted weather indices

Model 5 used five un-weighted and 10 un-weighted interaction weather indices and

Model 6 used five weighted and 10 weighted weather indices

The weather data of five weather parameters (maximum and minimum temperatures, rainfall, wind velocity, and sunshine hours), from the 44th standard meteorological week (SMW) to the 7th SMW of the following year, for 15 years (2000-01 to 2014-15) were used for developing models. The best performing model was

Article info - DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v26i4.2679>

Received: 01 August 2024; Accepted: 22 August 2024; Published online : 01 December 2024

"This work is licensed under Creative Common Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) © Author (s)"

Table 1: Wheat yield forecast models

Model	Forecast regression equation	R ²	R ² adj
1	Y = 20.314 + 1.651ds ₁ + 1.651ds ₂ + 0.677 T (1.925) (0.514) (0.936) (0.214)	45.7	46.9
2	Y = 23.425N + 1.784ds ₁ + 0.529ds ₂ + 0.329T (1.007) (0.324) (0.458) (0.111)	77.6	77.8
3	Y = 23.425 + 1.784ds ₁ + 0.529 ds ₁ + 0.329 T (1.007) (0.324) (0.458) (0.111)	68.7	68.9
4	Y = 24.903 - 1.170ds ₁ + 0.593 ds ₂ + 0.159 T (1.262) (0.224) (0.561) (0.145)	75.4	75.8
5	Y = 23.437 + 0.870 ds ₁ - 0.949 ds ₂ + 0.343T (1.408) (0.298) (0.413) (0.156)	66.1	67.2
6	Y = 24.168 + 0.830ds ₁ - 0.730 ds ₂ + 0.246 T (0.948) (0.129) (0.355) (0.104)	83.1	84.7

Table 2: Actual yield and the yield forecasted by Model 6 during 2015-16 to 2021-2022

Period	Actual yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Forecasted yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Deviation (%)	RMSE
2015-16	35.40	30.58	13.62	3.35
2016-17	26.61	28.11	-5.64	
2017-18	32.30	29.38	9.04	
2018-19	37.11	38.47	-3.66	
2019-20	35.95	39.45	-9.74	
2020-21	36.98	42.45	-14.79	
2021-22	40.10	39.23	2.17	

used to predict the wheat yield of Jaunpur for seven years (2015-16 to 2020-21). The coefficient of determination (R²) and the adjusted coefficient of determination (R²_{adj}) were computed to compare the six models' performance.

The models thus developed are presented in Table 1. The effectiveness of regression models for forecasting wheat yield using discriminant scores and a trend variable as predictors were evaluated based on their goodness of fit, represented by R² and adjusted R² values. Model 1 shows moderate fit, with R² and adjusted R² values of 45.7 and 46.9, respectively. Model 2 significantly improved, achieving the higher R² (77.6) and adjusted R² (77.8). Model 4 also achieves a high level of explanatory power, with R² and adjusted R² values of 75.4 and 75.8, respectively. Model 6 achieves the highest adjusted R² (84.7), suggesting that the predictors used comprehensively explain the variance in wheat yield, making it a strong candidate for practical forecasting applications. Models 6 performed the best, followed by model 4 and model 2 (Table 1). The analysis indicates that discriminant scores and the trend variable are valuable predictors for wheat yield forecasting.

Based on the performance criteria, the model 6 was selected to predict the wheat yield of Jaunpur for seven years (2015-16 to 2021-22). The RMSE of 3.35 reflects a generally good predictive accuracy, though with some notable errors in year 2015-

16 and 2020-21. The varying accuracy across models and years underscores the challenge of capturing the complex and dynamic factors influencing agricultural production (Table 2).

This study demonstrates the utility of discriminant function analysis in forecasting wheat crop yields for the Jaunpur district of eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. By analyzing weather variables from 2000-01 to 2021-22, various regression models were developed and evaluated for their predictive accuracy. The results indicated that the best provided the most comprehensive explanation of yield variance, achieving the highest adjusted R² (84.7). These findings underscore the importance of accurate model specification and the effective use of discriminant scores and trend variables for reliable yield forecasting. Despite these advances, there are areas for improvement. The models showed variable performance across different years, suggesting the need for continuous refinement and validation of predictive tools. Additionally, integrating additional environmental, economic, and agronomic variables could enhance forecast accuracy and provide a more nuanced understanding of yield determinants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

Data availability: The data supporting this study's findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.

Author's contribution: **P. K. Singh:** Conceptualized, data analysis, and drafted the manuscript; **P. Singh:** Data collection and interpretation of the analysis; **V. D. Chaturvedi:** Reviewing, editing and final manuscript.

Disclaimer: The contents, pinions, and views expressed in the research communication published in the Journal of Agrometeorology are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations they belong to.

Publisher's Note: The periodical remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, R., Chandrahas and Aditya K. (2012). Use of discriminant function analysis for forecasting crop yield. *Mausam*, 36 (3): 455-458
- Chaudhari, K. N., Tripathy, R. and Patel, N. K. (2010). Spatial wheat yield prediction using crop simulation model, GIS, remote sensing, and ground observed data. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 12(2): 174-180. <http://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v12i2.1300>
- Ghosh, K., Balasubramanian, R., Bandopadhyay, S., Chattopadhyay, N., Singh, K.K. and Rathore L.S. (2014). Development of crop yield forecast models under FASAL- a case study of kharif rice in West Bengal. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 16(1): 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v16i1.1479>
- Kumar, S., Attri, S. D. and Singh, K. K. (2019). Comparison of Lasso and stepwise regression technique for wheat yield prediction. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 21(2): 188-192. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v21i2.231>
- Singh, K. K., Kripan Ghosh, S. C. Bhan, Priyanka Singh, Lata Vishnoi, R. Balasubramanian, S. D. Attri, Sheshakumar Goroshi and R. Singh. (2023). Decision support system for digitally climate informed services to farmers in India. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 25(2): 205-214. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i2.2094>
- Sisodia, B.V.S., R. R. Yadav, Sunil Kumar, and M. K. Sharma. (2014). Forecasting of pre-harvest crop yield using discriminant function analysis of meteorological parameters. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 16(1): 121-125. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v16i1.1496>