

Journal of Agrometeorology

ISSN : 0972-1665 (print), 2583-2980 (online) Vol. No. 26 (1) : 128 - 130 (March - 2024) https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v26i1.2381 https://journal.agrimetassociation.org/index.php/jam

Statistics

Trend analysis of agricultural drought and crop yield in Eastern Thrace provinces of Turkey

CAYAN ALKAN

Short Communication

Department of Biosystems Engineering, Bilecik Seyh Edebali University, Bilecik 11000, Turkey Corresponding author email: cayan.alkan@bilecik.edu.tr

Drought is a natural disaster that starts with a decrease in precipitation and negatively affects the hydrological balance, water budget and crops. Various yield estimation models are used to determine crop yield (Boualem, 2023). Various drought indices like Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) and Standard Precipitation Index (SPI) are used to determine drought severity. Gocic and Trajkovic (2013) analyzed the trend of SPI in Serbia. In Turkey, Oguz et al., (2021) examined the trend and drought in Mugla region. Nouri and Homaee (2019) applied gamma and log-logistic distribution in their study for SPI and SPEI and concluded that SPI do not correlate well with SPEI in arid regions. The studies of Ouatiki et al., (2019), Alsafadi et al., (2020), and Naz et al., (2020) show that drought tendency is increasing in the World, especially in the Mediterranean watershed. Dabanli (2019) determined that 5 out of 81 provinces in Turkey have low drought risk, 61 provinces are at medium drought risk and 14 provinces have high drought risk. Thrace is one of the driest regions (500 water falls in Thrace). The Thrace side of the Marmara Region is especially more prone to drought (60% of Thrace is agricultural land). Along the Marmara Sea coast, Mediterrenean climate type (summer seasons are dry and winter seasons are rainy) is seen. Average temperature is 14 °C and monthly total precipitation average is 580 mm. Considering the scarcity of studies on meteorological drought in Thrace, which is one of the arid regions in Turkey, this study was planned to investigate the effect of drought on agriculture in Thrace.

The monthly precipitation data of two stations viz Tekirdag (Lat. 40.95°N, Long. 27.49 °E) for the period of 1941-2020 and Edirne (Lat. 41.67°N, Long. 26.55 °E) for the period of 1953-2020 were obtained from Meteorological General Directorate of Turkey. The yield data of wheat, sunflower and barley crops for two provinces (Edirne and Tekirdag) for the period of 2004 and 2021 were obtained from Turkish Statistical Institute (2023). Standard precipitation index (SPI) was used to determine the meteorological drought. A distrubiton function was fitted to the rainfall data. The gamma distribution is the most suitable for rainfall data. Cumulative probabilities calculated by the distribution function was then converted to SPI values, which has a standard deviation of 1 and a mean of 0 (McKee et al., 2013). The trend analysis was carried out using a non-parametric method (M-K test) and Sen's slope method (Oguz et al., 2021). The SPI values were further related with the yields of wheat, sunflower and barley crops of 2004-2021 using simple regression technique. Suitable statistical distribution for the data was determined in DrinC model. 3-month seasonal analysis (October-November-December, January-February-March, April-May-June, July-August-September, respectively) were produced. Afterwards, drought severity was calculated along with trend analysis. SPI of different scale (1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months) were obtained from the DrinC model. Besides, XLSTAT program was used to obtain for the different scale results of the trend analysis.

The results of the M-K trend and the SPI results are in parallel. If it is accepted that drought will decrease sunflower yield, a parallelism is determined between the SPI results and the crop yield trend. Even in the prone to drought climate in Tekirdag, wheat and barley yields were very high. In this case, it was concluded that grain yields were not adversely affected by drought in Tekirdag conditions. Moreover, the crop most coherent with the drought results in Thrace was sunflower. The trend analysis method that best represents the result of the SPI was M-K (Kendall's tau). The graphs of wheat and barley yields in the grain group were very similar to each other in terms of both shape and count (Table 1).

Article info - DOI: https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v26i1.2381

Received:27 September 2023; Accepted: 24 January 2024; Published online : 1 March 2024 "This work is licensed under Creative Common Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) © Author (s)"

CAYAN ALKAN

Table 1: Drought-trend an	d (crop)	vield) (comparison in	n Tekirdag ai	nd Edirne durin	g 2004 -2021

Province	Drought (SPI)	Crop yield (kg)		g)	Trend of the drought (SPI)		
		Wheat	Sunflower	Barley	Kendall's tau	p-value	Sen's slope
Edirne	0.39 (normal)	37.6	22.3	40.7	0.072 No trend (Increasing)	0.705	0.006
Tekirdag	-0.03 (normal)	41.3	20.7	45.3	-0.150 No trend (Decreasing)	0.405	-0.049

Table 2: Trend analysis of the SPI in Tekirdag and Edirne

		P values	Kendall's tau	Sen's slope
		(α=0.05)		
Edirne	SPI 1	0.940	-0.002 (↓)	0
	SPI 3	0.459	0.017 (†)	0
	SPI 6	0.447	0.018 (†)	0
	SPI 9	0.532	0.015 (†)	0
	SPI 12	0.453	0.018 (†)	0
Tekirdag	SPI 1	0.322	0.021 (†)	0
	SPI 3	0.066	0.040 (†)	0
	SPI 6	0.039		
		(Significant)	0.045 (↑)	0
	SPI 9	0.034		
		(Significant)	0.046 (†)	0
	SPI 12	0.022		
		(Significant)	0.050 (†)	0

In these Thrace Provinces, no trend was observed in the SPI values between 1960 and 2020 years, only in Tekirdag, there was a significant increase trend in SPI 6, 9 and 12 values. This situation shows that an agriculturally wet situation prevails in Tekirdag (Table 2). The studies of Dabanli (2019), Kankal and Akcay (2019), and Oguz *et al.*, (2021) showed that the cities of Turkey were more affected by drought. It was understood that humidity was observed in places where the Black Sea climate was dominant.

The results of Eroglu (2021) shows parallelism with the results of my study. It is also supported by the literature that Edirne is prone to drought and that there is no significant trend in Thrace in general.

When correlation coefficient to compare with crop yields and drought index for the period between 2004 and 2021 was used, the following data were obtained. The strongest crop yield-drought relationship was in sunflower yield in Edirne and the weakest of the relationship was in wheat yield in Edirne. Generally, in Tekirdag conditions, there were stronger relationships between the effects of drought and crop yields (Table 3). If the R² is less, it could be said that non-climatic factors were more effective on these crops yield for theses cities. This situation is thought to have occurred due to the suitability of climatic condition of these cities for the crop diseases and pests. Therefore, the low R² count is normal (Table 3). Table 3: Relation between crop yields and SPI

Crops	Edirne	Tekirdag
Wheat	$\begin{split} Y &= 0.0026 x + 0.3888 \\ (R^2 &= 0.009) \end{split}$	$Y = -0.0064x - 0.0277$ $(R^2 = 0.084)$
Sunf- lower	$\begin{split} Y &= 0.0107 x + 0.3888 \\ (R^2 &= 0.122) \end{split}$	$Y = -0.0079x - 0.0277$ $(R^2 = 0.042)$
Barley	$\begin{split} Y &= 0.0035 x + 0.3887 \\ (R^2 &= 0.0255) \end{split}$	$Y = -0.0062x - 0.0277$ $(R^2 = 0.1102)$

In this study, the trend analysis method that best represents the result of the SPI was M-K (Kendall's tau). It was understood that an agriculturally wet situation prevailed in Tekirdag. Besides, in Tekirdag conditions, there were stronger relationships between the effects of drought and crop yields. Non-climatic factors such as crop type, fertilization, crop diseases and pests have an extreme impact on crop yield. Therefore, the correlation between drought and crop yield may be weak. For this reason, it is recommended that non-climatic factors also should be improved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study does not require ethics committee approval. The article has been prepared in accordance with research and publication ethics. The author thanks Dr. M. Utku YILMAZ for his contribution.

Funding: The research was conducted without financial support.

Data Availability: The author confirms that the data supporting this study are available in this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Author Contribution: The author did analysis and writing.

Disclaimer: The contents, opinions, and views expressed in the research article published in the Journal of Agrometeorology are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations they belong to.

Publisher's Note: The periodical remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations

REFERENCES

Alsafadi, K., Mohammed, S.A., Ayugi, B., Sharaf, M. and Harsanyi, E. (2020). Spatial–Temporal Evolution of Drought Characteristics Over Hungary Between 1961 and 2010. *Pure Appl. Geophy.*, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-020-02449-5.

Boualem, A. (2023). Estimation of crop water requirement of tomato

in Algeria using CROPWAT model. J. Agrometeorol., 25(4):613-615. https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i4.2376

- Dabanli, I. (2019). Evaluation of Drought Risk across Turkey with the Help of Fuzzy Logic. *DÜMF J. Eng.*, 10 (1): 359-372. https://doi.org/10.24012/dumf.499660.
- Eroglu, İ. (2021). Periodical Trend Analysis for Temperature and Precipitation in the Maritsa River Basin. *Euro. J. Sci. Tech.*, 23: 750-760 pp. https://doi.org/10.31590/ejosat.882937.
- Gocic, M. and Trajkovic, S. (2013). Analysis of precipitation and drought data in Serbia over the period 1980–2010. *J. Hydrol.*, 494: (32–42), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jhydrol.2013.04.044.
- Kankal, M. and Akcay, F. (2019). Trend Analyses of Precipitation of Trabzon Province, Northeastern Turkey. *GUSTLJ*, 9 (2): 318-331. DOI: 10.17714/gumusfenbil.448542.
- McKee, T.B., Doesken, N.J. and Kleist, J. (2013). The relationship drought frequency and duration to time scales. In proceedings of the 8th Conference of Applied Climatology, 17-22

January 2013, Anaheim, California, American Meteorogical Society, p:179-184.

- Naz, F., Dars, G. H., Ansari, K., Jamro, S. and Krakauer, N.Y. (2020). Drought Trends in Balochistan. *MDPI Water*, https://doi. org/10.3390/w12020470
- Nouri M. and Homaee M. (2019). Drought trend, frequency and extremity across a wide range of climates over Iran. *Meteo. Appl.*, https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1899.
- Oguz, K., Pekin, M.A. and Camalan, G. (2021). Drought Analysis of Mugla City for 1960-2018 Period. *J. Nat. Haz.. Env.*, 7 (1): 89-100. https://doi.org/10.21324/dacd.774955
- Ouatiki, H., Boudhar, A., Ouhinou, A., Arioua, A., Hssaisoune, M., Bouamri, H. and Benabdelouahab, T. (2019). Trend analysis of rainfall and drought over the Oum Er-Rbia River Basin in Morocco during 1970–2010. *Arab. J. Geosci.*, 12: 128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-019-4300-9
- Turkish Statistical Institute. (2023). Crops yields data. Date of Access: 09.09.2023. biruni.tuik.gov.tr/medas/?kn=92&locale=tr