

**Research** Paper

## Journal of Agrometeorology

ISSN : 0972-1665 (print), 2583-2980 (online) Vol. No. 25 (4) : 498-502 (December - 2023) https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i4.2366 https://journal.agrimetassociation.org/index.php/jam



### Desperse of *antinum* and *dumum* wheat variatio

## Response of *aestivum* and *durum* wheat varieties to elevated $CO_2$ and temperature under OTC condition

# SHRAVANI SANYAL<sup>1,2</sup>, BIDISHA CHAKRABARTI<sup>1\*</sup>, A. BHATIA<sup>1</sup>, S. NARESH KUMAR<sup>1</sup>, T.J. PURAKAYASTHA<sup>1</sup>, DINESH KUMAR<sup>1</sup>, PRAGATI PRAMANIK<sup>1</sup>, S. KANNOJIYA<sup>1</sup>, A. SHARMA<sup>1</sup>, and V. KUMAR<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012, India <sup>2</sup>ICAR-National Institute of Biotic Stress Management, Baronda-493225, Chhattisgarh, India <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author E-mail: bidisha2@yahoo.com

#### ABSTRACT

An experiment was undertaken during *rabi* season of 2020-2021 and 2021-2022 at experimental field of Division of Environmental Science, ICAR-Indian Agriculture Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi inside Open Top Chambers (OTCs) to study the growth and physiological response of *aestivum* (HD 3226) and *durum* wheat (HI 8627) varieties to elevated temperature and  $CO_2$  concentration. Results showed that days to maturity hastened under elevated temperature condition. Photosynthesis rate, leaf area index and tiller number of wheat varieties reduced in elevated temperature treatment while elevated  $CO_2$  concentration of 550 ppm was able to partially compensate the reduction. In *aestivum* variety of wheat, transpiration rate significantly reduced in elevated  $CO_2$  plus high temperature on aboveground biomass was more in *aestivum* variety than *durum* variety. Elevated  $CO_2$  concentration compensated reduction in aboveground biomass by 5.9% in HD 3226 (*aestivum*) and by 3.6% in HI 8627 (*durum*) varieties under elevated temperature condition. Hence elevated  $CO_2$  concentration will be able to partially compensate reduced crop growth in both *aestivum* and *durum* wheat varieties under high temperature condition.

Keywords: Aestivum wheat, Durum wheat, Elevated CO2, Increased temperature

Meteorological parameter like temperature is an important factor affecting growth and development of crops. Earth's surface temperature is increasing due to the increase in the concentration of atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHG). As per the IPCC 6th assessment report, earth's temperature would rise by 3°C in 2100 due to the rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (IPCC 2021). Growth of agricultural crops will get affected by the changes in different climatic parameters. The changing climate will have adverse effect on the food grain production especially in tropical countries (Satapathy et al., 2015). Temperature directly and indirectly affects the growth parameters by affecting water supply, substrate availability and the soil microbial activity (Wahid et al., 2007). Singh et al., (2013) studied the effect of elevated temperature on several crops and concluded that among different crops studied, wheat was found to be more sensitive. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> enhances crop growth and productivity by stimulating plant photosynthesis

which has been reported in many crop species (Dey *et. al.*, 2017; Jin *et al.*, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2012). But the quality of the crops gets reduced under elevated  $CO_2$  condition (Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2020). Wroblewitz *et al.*, (2014) reported that wheat grains produced under elevated  $CO_2$  level had lower nutritional quality, with lower protein content and more starch and fibres. Increased temperature hastens crop maturity and also reduces photosynthesis rate, leaf area and biomass of the crop (Cai *et al.*, 2016; Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2013; Maity *et. al.*, 2022; Raj *et al.*, 2016).

India ranks second in world wheat production with a production of 112.74 million tonnes during the year 2022-2023 (Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, 2023). Bread wheat is the hexaploid wheat species (*Triticum aestivum*) while *durum* wheat is tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *durum*) and they vary in genomic number, grain composition and quality attributes (Shao *et al.*, 2006). Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) constitutes up

Article info - DOI: https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i4.2366

*Received: 18 September 2023; Accepted: 4 November 2023; Published online : 30 November, 2023 "This work is licensed under Creative Common Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)* © *Author (s)"* 

#### Vol. 25 No. 4

to 95% of global wheat production, while durum wheat (Triticum turgidum ssp. durum) contributes 5% of global wheat production (Li et al., 2014). The quality of the kernel of durum wheat makes it suitable for pasta making. In recent times there is increasing demand of durum wheat varieties for making different food items like bread, cake, pasta, biscuit as well as other bakery products (Soomro et al., 2014). Wheat crop is sensitive to the changes in climate as temperature affects the growth of the crop (Dubey et al., 2019). Late sowing of wheat and proximity to the equator, exposes wheat crop to high temperature stress in India (Joshi et al., 2007). Phenological behaviour and growth of plants are affected by micrometeorological parameters. Under high temperature, wheat crop completes it life cycle faster while root growth gets reduced and spikelet sterility increases (Chakrabarti et. al., 2021; Pramanik et. al., 2018). A simulation study showed that yield of bread wheat will reduce by 18.1%, 16.1% and 11.1%, respectively in current, 2020 and 2050 scenarios due to terminal heat stress (Dubey et. al., 2020). In general durum wheat is better adapted to high temperatures and to semiarid climates than bread wheat. In spite of its relatively high adaptability to the marginal and drought environments, the production of durum wheat is threatened by the impacts of climate change (De Vita and Taranto, 2019). Barutcular et. al., (2017) reported that, water stress caused significant reduction in grain yield in durum wheat varieties.

However, there is limited knowledge regarding the response of *aestivum* and *durum* wheat varieties under changing climatic conditions. In general *durum* wheat is better adapted to high temperatures than bread wheat. So, the present study was undertaken to study the response of *aestivum* and *durum* wheat varieties in terms of crop phenology, and growth under elevated  $CO_2$  and temperature condition.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research investigation was conducted at the Genetic-H field of the ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), situated in New Delhi situated at 28°35' N and 77°12' E, at an elevation of 228 meters above mean sea level. The region exhibits a semi-arid and subtropical climate, characterized with an average mean temperature of 19°C during the crop growth period.

#### Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was carried out inside the open top chambers (OTC) for two consecutive years (2020-2021 and 2021-2022) by growing one aestivum (HD 3226) and one durum (HI 8627) wheat variety. OTCs were constructed using galvanized iron (GI) pipes with transparent vertical sidewalls made up of polyvinylchloride (PVC) sheet built around metal frames (Singh et al., 2012). In order to maintain the ambient humidity and temperature, and facilitate natural air exchange, the upper portion of the OTCs were kept open. In total there were four OTCs. Inside the OTCs, two levels of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration were maintained, viz. ambient (419 ppm), and elevated (550  $\pm$  25 ppm). Two levels of temperature were also maintained inside the OTCs. In order to maintain elevated temperatures within the OTCs, the top potion of the chambers was partially covered. The OTC with fully open top had ambient temperature while the OTC with partially covered top had elevated temperature. Mean seasonal temperature rise inside the partially covered OTC was 2.5°C higher than the ambient. As plants utilize CO<sub>2</sub> for the photosynthesis process during the daytime, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels were maintained for a period of 8

hrs i.e. 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Elevated  $CO_2$  concentration was maintained inside the OTC by supplying  $CO_2$  from high pressurized cylinders of commercial grade of 30 kg capacity (Maity *et al.*, 2023).  $CO_2$ concentration inside the OTC was measured using infra-red gas analyzer (IRGA), (Fuji, Japan). When the  $CO_2$  concentration dropped below the set value, the solenoid valves automatically opened and released  $CO_2$  enriched air inside the OTC. The daily maximum and minimum temperature values were recorded in each OTC using a digital thermometer and from that the daily as well as seasonal mean temperature values were calculated. Treatment details is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Treatment details

- T1 Ambient temperature and ambient  $CO_{2}$  (419 ppm)
- T2 Elevated temperature  $(+2.5^{\circ}C)$  and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (419 ppm)
- T3 Ambient temperature and elevated  $CO_{2}(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$
- T4 Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and elevated  $CO_2(550 \pm 25)$

#### ppm)

Wheat crop was sown during the third week of November inside the OTCs. Recommended dose of N, P, K (120:60:60) was applied through urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP), and murate of potash (MOP). Full dose of P and K were applied during sowing while nitrogen was applied in three splits (50% during sowing and 25% each at tillering, and flowering stages).

#### Crop observations

Different phenological observations were recorded by taking visual observations in the field. Date on which crop attained 50% flowering and date on which crop attained physiological maturity were noted for all the treatments and from those days to 50% flowering and days to physiological maturity were calculated. The gas exchange parameters (photosynthesis rate and transpiration rate) were measured using a portable photosynthesis system- Infrared Gas Analyzer (LI-6400XT, LiCOR, USA) during the flowering stage of the crop. Observations were recorded on physiologically matured leaves during daytime between 9 AM and 11 AM. Leaf area index (LAI) was recorded using canopy analyzer (LAI-2200C, LiCOR, USA). After harvest plant samples were collected. Growth and yield parameters like number of tillers and above ground biomass was recorded.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was done using SAS software (ver. 9.3) (SAS Institute Inc., CA, USA). The design of the experiment was factorial completely randomized design (CRD). Least square difference (LSD) values were computed at a significance level of 5%.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Crop phenology of wheat as affected by elevated $CO_2$ and temperature

Days to 50% flowering ranged from 96 to 100 days in different wheat varieties while days to physiological maturity ranged from 121 to 128 days (Table 2). Rise in temperature by 2.5°C

Table 2: Crop phenology of wheat varieties as affected by elevated CC	$D_2$ and temperature (mean of 2 years)
---	---

Treatments	Days to 50% flowering		Days to physiological maturity		
	HD 3226	HI 8627	HD 3226	HI 8627	
Ambient temperature and ambient $CO_2$ (419 ppm)	100	100	126	127	
Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and ambient $CO_2(419 \text{ ppm})$	96	98	121	123	
Ambient temperature and elevated $CO_2(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$	100	100	126	128	
Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and elevated $CO_2(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$	99	98	123	124	
LSD ( $p \le 0.05$ )	CO <sub>2</sub> : NS; Temp.: 2; Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Var.: NS; Temp. X Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp. X		CO <sub>2</sub> : NS; Temp.: 3; Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Var.: NS; Temp. X Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp. X Var.: NS		
	Var.: NS	-	2		

Table 3: Gas exchange parameters in wheat varieties as affected by elevated CO, and temperature (mean of 2 years)

Treatments	Photosynthesis rate ( $\mu$ mol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		Transpiration rate (mmol H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		
	HD 3226	HI 8627	HD 3226	HI 8627	
Ambient temperature and ambient CO <sub>2</sub> (419 ppm)	24.3	26.5	5.1	4.8	
Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and ambient $CO_2(419 \text{ ppm})$	21.5	22.4	4.1	4.2	
Ambient temperature and elevated $CO_{2}(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$	28.3	28.7	4.3	4.2	
Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and elevated $CO_2(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$	24.9	24.6	3.6	4.5	
LSD ( $p \le 0.05$ )	$CO_2$ : 2.1; Temp.: 2.1; Var.: NS; $CO_2$ X Temp.: NS; $CO_2$ X Var.: NS; Temp. X Var.: NS; $CO_2$ X Temp. X Var.: NS		CO <sub>2</sub> : NS; Temp.: NS; Var.: NS;		
			CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Var.: NS;		
			Temp. X Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp. X		
			Var.: NS		

Table 4: Growth and biomass of wheat varieties as affected by elevated CO, and temperature (mean of 2 years)

Treatments	Number of tillers m <sup>-2</sup>		m <sup>-2</sup> LAImax		Above ground bio- mass (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	
	HD 3226	HI8627	HD 3226	HI8627	HD 3226	HI8627
Ambient temperature and ambient $CO_2$ (419 ppm)	405	427	5.6	5.9	1252	1296
Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and ambient $CO_2(419 \text{ ppm})$	363	370	5.0	5.3	1102	1199
Ambient temperature and elevated $CO_2(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$	428	443	5.8	6.1	1405	1462
Elevated temperature (+2.5°C) and elevated $CO_2(550 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$	392	398	5.3	5.5	1175	1246
LSD ( $p \le 0.05$ )	$CO_2$ : 21; Temp.: 21; Var.: NS; $CO_2$ X Temp.: NS; $CO_2$ X Var.: NS; Temp. X Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp. X Var.: NS		CO <sub>2</sub> : 0.21; Temp.: 0.21; Var.: 0.21; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Var.: NS; Temp. X Var.: NS; CO <sub>2</sub> X Temp. X Var.: NS		$CO_2$ : 40; Temp.: 40; Var.: 40; $CO_2$ X Temp.: NS; $CO_2$ X Var.: NS; Temp. X Var.: NS; $CO_2$ X Temp. X Var.: NS	

significantly reduced days to 50% flowering by 4 days in *aestivum* variety (HD 3226) of wheat. In the *durum* variety (HI 8627), days to 50% flowering got hastened by 2 days. Same trend was followed in case of days to physiological maturity where elevated temperature shortened the maturity period by 5 days in HD 3226 variety (Table 2). In HI 8627 variety the maturity period reduced by 4 days. However, in elevated  $CO_2$  plus temperature interaction treatment, days to physiological maturity reduced by 3 days in different varieties of wheat crop. An important factor affecting the duration of major crop growth stages is temperature (Bahuguna and Jagadish 2015). Earlier studies also showed that elevated temperature reduces crop growth period in different crops (Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2021; Raj *et al.*, 2016). Chakrabarti *et al.*, (2013) reported that a rise in temperature of 1.8 to 2.9°C resulted in a decrease in the length of anthesis period by approximately 4 to 5 days in wheat crop.

Physiology of wheat as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature

Photosynthesis rate of both *aestivum* and *durum* wheat varieties significantly increased under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition while elevated temperature reduced the photosynthesis rate. In elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plus temperature interaction treatment, photosynthesis rate was more than elevated temperature treatment (Table 3). This shows that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was able to reduce the negative effects of elevated temperature. Photosynthesis rate was more (26.5 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in *durum* wheat variety (HI 8627) than *aestivum* variety (HD 3226) (24.3 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in ambient treatment. Several experiments conducted under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition showed that photosynthesis rate gets stimulated under increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Dey *et. al.*, 2017; Sanyal *et al.*, 2022). Transpiration rate was highest under ambient conditions. Transpiration rate of *aestivum* wheat variety (HD 3226) ranged from 3.6-5.1 mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> while that of *durum* 

#### Vol. 25 No. 4

variety (HI 8627) ranged from 4.2-4.8 mmol  $H_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}$  (Table 3). In *aestivu*m variety of wheat, transpiration rate was less in elevated  $CO_2$  plus high temperature interaction treatment than ambient while transpiration rate of *durum* variety remained unaffected in both the years. In the case of C3 crops, such as wheat, it is probable that an elevation in carbon dioxide concentration would result in an augmentation in the rate of photosynthesis, while simultaneously decreasing stomatal conductance. There are reports of reduced stomatal conductance as well as transpiration rate under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plus temperature interaction treatment.

#### Growth of a estivum and durum wheat as affected by elevated $CO_2$ and temperature

In HD 3226 variety, tiller number was 405 per m<sup>2</sup> in ambient treatment while in HI 8627 it was 427 per m<sup>2</sup> (Table 4). Elevated temperature reduced the tiller number in wheat varieties than ambient treatment in both the years. In elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatment number of tillers was more than ambient in wheat varieties. In the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plus high temperature interaction treatment, tiller number of HD 3226 variety was 392 per m<sup>2</sup> while in HI 8627 variety it was 398 per m<sup>2</sup>.

Maximum leaf area index (LAI<sub>max</sub>) significantly reduced in elevated temperature treatment (Table 4). LAI<sub>max</sub> of *durum* variety (HI 8627) was more (5.9) than that of *aestivum* variety (HD 3226) (5.6) in ambient treatment. In elevated temperature treatment, LAI<sub>max</sub> of HI 8627 was 5.3 while in HD 3226 it was 5.0. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 550 ppm was able to partially compensate the reduction in leaf area of wheat crop. In elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plus high temperature interaction treatment, LAI<sub>max</sub> was 5.5 in HI 8627 and 5.3 in HD 3226 wheat variety. Chakrabarti *et al.*, (2021) also reported that, LAI of wheat crop is negatively affected by elevated temperature during anthesis stage of the crop.

Above ground biomass (grain plus straw weight) of the wheat varieties also reduced by high temperature while elevated  $CO_2$  alone increased aboveground biomass of the crop (Table 4). Above ground biomass of *durum* variety (HI 8627) was found to be more than *aestivum* variety (HD 3226). In elevated  $CO_2$  plus high temperature interaction treatment, aboveground biomass of HD 3226 wheat variety reduced by 6.1% than ambient treatment. In case of HI 8627 wheat variety aboveground biomass reduced by 3.9% than ambient treatment. This shows that negative effect of elevated temperature on crop biomass was more in *aestivum* variety of wheat than *durum* variety. In our study, elevated  $CO_2$  could compensate the reduction in aboveground biomass by 5.9% in HD 3226 and by 3.6% in HI 8627 wheat varieties. Singh *et al.*, (2013), also reported that elevated  $CO_2$  level could reduce the harmful effect of temperature rise up to 1.5°C in wheat crop.

#### CONCLUSION

Rise in temperature by  $2.5^{\circ}$  C significantly decreased crop growth duration period in both *aestivum* and *durum* varieties of wheat crop. Photosynthesis rate, leaf area and tiller number reduced in elevated temperature treatment while elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 550 ppm was able to partially compensate the reduction in these crop growth parameters. The negative effect of elevated temperature on crop biomass was more in *aestivum* variety than *durum* variety. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration compensated reduction in aboveground biomass by 5.9% in HD 3226 (*aestivum*) and by 3.6% in HI 8627 (*durum*) varieties under elevated temperature condition.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, for providing the opportunity and facilities for carrying out the study. Authors are also thankful to UGC for providing fellowship to the first author during Ph.D. programme. Facilities used during this research work were partially funded by the National Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture, ICAR.

*Funding:* The first author received UGC fellowship during her Ph.D. programme. Facilities used in this research work were partially funded by the National Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture, ICAR

*Conflict of interest statement:* No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

*Data availability statement:* Data available on reasonable request from the authors.

Author's Contribution: S. Sanyal: Conducting the experiment, data collection, sample analysis, and manuscript writing;
B. Chakrabarti: Planning the experiment, interpretation of data, and manuscript writing; A Bhatia: Facilitating the experiment and data interpretation; S Naresh Kumar: Facilitating the experiment;
T. J. Purakayastha: Data interpretation; D. Kumar: Editing of manuscript; P. Pramanik: Editing of manuscript; S Kannojiya: Conducting the experiment, sample analysis; A Sharma: Recording field data and sample collection; V Kumar: Conducting the experiment.

**Disclaimer:** The contents, opinions, and views expressed in the research article published in the Journal of Agrometeorology are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations they belong to.

*Publisher's Note:* The periodical remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### REFERENCES

- Bahuguna, R. N. and Jagadish, S. V. K. (2015). Temperature regulation of plant phonological development. *Environ. Exper. Bot.*, 111: 83-90.
- Barutcular, C., Sabagh, A.E., Koc M. and Ratnasekera, D. (2017). Relationships between grain yield and physiological traits of durum wheat varieties under drought and high temperature stress in Mediterranean environments. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.*, 26(6): 4282-4291.
- Cai, C., Yin, X., He, S., Jiang, W., Si, C., Struik, P. C. and Pan, G. (2016). Responses of wheat and rice to factorial combinations of ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in FACE experiments. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 22(2), 856-874.
- Chakrabarti, B., Singh, S. D., Kumar, V., Harit, R. C. and Misra, S. (2013). Growth and yield response of wheat and chickpea crops under high temperature. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, 18, 7-14.

- Chakrabarti, B., Singh, S.D., Bhatia, A., Kumar, V., Harit, R.C. (2020). Yield and Nitrogen Uptake in Wheat and Chickpea Grown Under Elevated Carbon Dioxide Level. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.*, 43, 109-113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40009-019-00816-y
- Chakrabarti, B., Bhatia, A., Pramanik, P., Singh, S. D., Jatav, R. S., Saha, N. D. and Kumar, V. (2021). Changes in thermal requirements, growth and yield of wheat under the elevated temperature. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 91(3): 435-439.
- Dey, Sumit Kr., Chakrabarti, B., Prasanna, R., Singh, S.D., Purakayastha, T.J., Datta, A. and Pathak, H. (2017). Productivity of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) with elevated carbon dioxideat various phosphorus levels and cyanobacteria inoculation. *Legume Res.*, 40(3): 497-505.
- De Vita, P. and Taranto, F. (2019). Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *durum*) breeding to meet the challenge of climate change. *Plant Breed.*, 5, 471-524.
- Dubey, R., Pathak, H., Singh, S. D., Chakravarti, B., Thakur, A. K. and Fagodia, R. K. (2019). Impact of sowing dates on terminal heat tolerance of different wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett.*, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40009-019-0786-7.
- Dubey, R., Pathak, H., Chakrabarti, B., Singh, S.D., Gupta, D.K. and Harit, R.C. (2020). Impact of terminal heat stress on wheat yield in India and options for adaptation. *Agric. Syst.*, 181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102826
- IPCC. (2021). Summary for Policymakers, In: Climate Change, The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte V P *et al.*, (eds)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, p 3–32.
- Joshi, A.K., Mishra, B., Chatrath, R., Ferrara, G.O. and Singh, R.P. (2007). Wheat improvement in India: present status, emerging challenges and future prospects. *Euphytica*, 157(3): 431-446.
- Jin, Z., Ainsworth, E. A., Leakey, A. D. and Lobell, D. B. (2018). Increasing drought and diminishing benefits of elevated carbon dioxide for soybean yields across the US Midwest. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 24(2): 522-533.
- Li, Y., Mao, X., Wang, Q., Zhang, J., Li, X., Ma, F. and Li, K. (2014). Overexpression of Puroindoline a gene in transgenic durum wheat (Triticum turgidum ssp. durum) leads to a medium– hard kernel texture *Mol.*, 33(3): 545-554.
- Maity, P.P., Chakrabarti, B., Bhatia, A., Kumar, S.N., Purakayastha, T.J., Chakraborty, D., Sharma, A., Kannojiya, S. and Kumar, V. (2022). Elevated Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and Temperature Interaction Effect on Growth of Rice Crop. J. Agric. Phy., 22(2), 208-214.
- Maity, P.P., Chakrabarti, B., Bhatia, A., Kumar, S.N., Purakayastha, T.J., Chakraborty, D., Adak, S., Sharma, A. and Kannojiya, S. (2023). Co-elevation of atmospheric CO, and temperature

affect instantaneous and intrinsic water use efficiency of rice varieties. *J. Agrometorol.*, 25(3): 404-409. https://doi. org/10.54386/jam.v25i3.2243

- Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare. (2023). Annual Report 2022-2023. Government of India. https://agricoop.nic.in/ annual-report-2022-2023
- Pramanik, P., Chakrabarti, B., Bhatia, A., Singh, S. D., Maity, A., Aggarwal, P. and Krishnan P. (2018). Effect of elevated temperature on soil hydrothermal regimes and growth of wheat crop. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 190: 217 https://doi. org/10.1007/s10661-018- 6576-8
- Raj, A., Chakrabarti, B., Pathak, H., Singh, S. D., Mina, U. and Mittal, R. (2016). Growth, yield components and grain yield response of rice to temperature and nitrogen levels. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 18(1): 1-6. https://doi.org/10.54386/jam. v18i1.839
- Sanyal, S., Chakrabarti, B., Prasanna, R., Bhatia, A., Kumar, S. N., Purakayastha, T. J., and Sharma, A. (2022). Influence of cyanobacterial inoculants, elevated carbon dioxide, and temperature on plant and soil nitrogen in soybean. *J. Basic Microbiol.*, 62(10): 1216-1228.
- Satapathy, S. S., Swain, D. K., Pasupalak, S. and Bhadoria, P. B. S. (2015). Effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and nutrient management on wet and dry season rice production in subtropical India. *Crop J.*, 3(6): 468-480.
- Shao, H. B., Liang, Z. S., and Shao, M. A. (2006). Osmotic regulation of 10 wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.) genotypes at soil water deficits. Colloids and Surfaces. *Biointerfaces*, 47: 132-139.
- Singh, S.D., Chakrabarti, B., Kumar, S.N. and Pathak, H. (2012). Impact of elevated carbon dioxide concentration on crop and soil. In Climate Change Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation in Agriculture: Methodology for Assessment and Application. Ed: H Pathak, PK Aggarwal and SD Singh pp. 79-87.
- Singh, S. D., Chakrabarti, B., Muralikrishna, K. S., Chaturvedi, A. K., Kumar, V., Mishra, S. and Harit, R. (2013). Yield response of important field crops to elevated air temperature and CO,. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 83(10): 1009-12.
- Soomro, A. Fatah, Nizamani, Fateh Khan, Ahadkolachi, A., Soomro, Kamran Baksh, and Solangi, Khalil Ahmed. (2014). Evaluation of different durum wheat varieties under stress conditions (Brackish water & coarse textured soil). *Int. J. Curr. Res. Aca. Rev.*, 2(7): 11-17.
- Wahid, A., Gelani, S., Ashraf, M. and Foolad, M.R. (2007). Heat tolerance in plants: an overview. *EEB*.,61(3):199-223.
- Wroblewitz, S., Hüther, L., Manderscheid, R., Weigel, H. J., Wätzig, H. and Dänicke, S. (2014). Effect of rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration on the protein composition of cereal grain. J. Agric. Food Chem., 62(28): 6616-6625.