Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature on growth and yield contributing parameters of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) crop

MEENA KUMARI¹, S.C. VERMA² and S.K. BHARDWAJ¹

¹Department of Environmental Science, College of Forestry, ²Department of Entomology, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni – Solan (H. P.) 173230 India * Corresponding author email: meena.sankhyan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during 2014 and 2015 at Solan, Himachal Pradesh to study the effect of elevated CO_2 (e CO_2) and temperature (eT) on growth and yield contributing parameters of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) crop under four conditions of CO_2 and temperature in open top chambers and open natural condition. The study revealed that pea plants performed better under eCO_2 , with slight changes in development and yield attributing traits, depending on the cultivars. However, the beneficial direct impact of elevated CO_2 (eCO_2) on crop yield can counteract by elevated temperature (eT). Pooled data for two years indicated that growth and yield attributing traits like plant height, days to first harvest of pods, harvest duration, fresh weight and biomass, number of pods per plant, pod length, pod girth, pod yield were improved under eCO_2 . However, responses of these attributes were negated with eT. Pea cultivars PB-89 performed well under eCO_2 and eT conditions as compared to Azad P-1.

Key words: Elevated CO₂, elevated temperature, pea, yield, vegetables, OTC.

Agricultural production system is largely affected due to variability and change in climatic parameters viz. temperatures, rainfall, changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations as induced by global warming. Carbon dioxide is fundamental for plant production and its rising concentrations have the potential to enhance of agroecosystem's productivity and it is estimated that vegetable crop yield increase to 10 per cent for every 100 ppm increase in CO_2 concentration (Miglietta *et al.*, 1998). The rise in carbon dioxide levels is associated with an increase in average global temperature. The availability of suitable growing period in India is likely to be impacted seriously by climate change and global warming.

Vegetable cultivation in Himachal Pradesh has gained significant importance on account of favorable agro-climatic conditions for growing quality off-season vegetables. Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is the most widely produced and consumed vegetable. The mid hill zone of Himachal Pradesh is endowed with highly congenial climatic conditions for vegetable production. During 2015, pea was grown in an area of 23623 hectares with annual production of about 277718 metric tones respectively (DOA, 2016). However increasing level of CO_2 and temperature is affecting the growth and development of pea in the region and these events can cause drastic reductions in commercial yield and

affect the livelihood of farmers.

A large number of studies have been conducted on responses of various types of crop systems to elevated CO_2 and temperature (Jyothilakshmi *et al.* 2017; Singh *et al.* 2013; Mukherjee *et al.* 2015; Kumari *et al.* 2019). The quantification of impact of elevated CO_2 and temperature on growth and yield attributes of pea in Himachal Pradesh has not been investigated. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out to study the effects of increasing CO_2 concentration and temperature on growth and yield contributing parameters of vegetable crop pea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigations was conducted at experimental farm of Department of Environmental Science, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India in year 2014-2015 and 2015-16. Farm is situated at 30°5' N latitude and about 77°11' E longitudes and at an elevation of 1260 m above mean sea level. Circular type open top chambers (OTC) of 4 m diameter were used to raise the crop under elevated carbon dioxide (eCO_2), elevated carbon dioxide (eCO_2) + elevated temperature (eT) and ambient $CO_2(aCO_2)$ and temperature (aT). An automatic CO_2 enrichment and

temperature technology was developed by adapting software Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) to automatically maintain the desired and accurate levels of CO_2 and temperature around crop canopy inside OTCs. Carbon dioxide gas was supplied to the chambers and maintained at set levels using manifold gas regulators, pressure pipelines, solenoid valves, rotameters, sampler, pump, CO_2 analyzer, PC linked Program Logic Control (PLC) and Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA). The concentration of CO_2 in the chamber was monitored by a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) gas analyser. There were four treatments i.e.

 $T_1: eCO_2(550 \pm 10 \text{ ppm}),$

 T_2 : eCO_2 and $eT(CO_2$: 550±10 ppm, temperature: ambient +1°C),

T₃: $aCO_2(381\pm10 \text{ ppm})$ and aT(23.55 °C) (reference) and T₄: natural air (CO₂355±10 ppm) and temperature (21.6 °C).

In each treatment there were two varieties of pea which were replicated thrice. Pea cultivar Azad P-1 and Pb-89 were sown following recommended package of practices of vegetable crops.

For recording data five plants were selected randomly from each treatment in each replication. Significance (P=0.05) of each treatment was calculated. The observations were recorded on plant height, days to first picking pods, harvest duration, plant fresh weight, biomass, number of pods per plant, pod length, pod girth, number of grains per pod, average pod weight and pod yield. The pooled analysis was done from two years data to assess the effect. The data recorded on different parameters were analyzed statistically with the help IBM SPSS Statistics 21.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters and phenology

Analysis of data revealed that the growth parameters and phenology of pea were significantly (P=0.05) influenced by eCO_2 and eT (Table 1). Higher plant height of pea (97.8 cm) was recorded under eCO_2 which differed statistically with eCO_2 and eT (91.7cm), aCO_2 and aT (85.9 cm) and natural condition (82.4 cm). Azad P - 1 cultivar recorded higher plant height (94.2 cm) at maturity which differed significantly with PB - 89 (84.7cm) cultivar. The maximum plant height recorded under eCO_2 as compared to aCO_2 and aT may be due to increased cell division, cell expansion, cell differentiation and high vegetative growth which resulted in stimulation of internode elongation under the influence of increased CO_2 . Similar to present investigations, Pilumwong *et al.* (2007) reported that eCO_2 resulted greater plant height in mung bean at different growth stages.

The lowest number of days to first picking of pods (92.7 days) were recorded under eCO₂ and eT and maximum days were recorded under open natural condition. Days to first picking of pods under eCO, were 97.1 days, while under aCO_2 and aT were 102.9 days and natural condition took 114.9 days (Table 1). In case of varieties, PB - 89 recorded significantly lower days to first picking of pods (95.9 days) compared to Azad P - 1 (107.9 days). In the present study, lower days to first picking of pods were recorded under eCO_2 and eT which may be due to the effect of higher temperature and CO₂ that might hasten the reproductive development of plants and ultimately shortened the fruit maturation time which leads to early maturity of fruits. The present findings are in confirmation with the findings of Rao et al. (2010) who reported that due to eCO_2 and temperature rate of reproductive development got accelerated which shortened the fruit maturation period and also resulted in rapid maturation of fruits.

Similarly, harvest duration was recorded lowest (22.2 days) under eCO_2 and eT which differed statistically from rest of the treatments. Maximum harvest duration (42.5 days) was recorded under natural condition followed by aCO_2 and aT (39.3 days) and eCO_2 (28.0 days). In case of varieties, significantly higher harvest duration (7 days) was recorded in PB - 89 i.e. 36.6 days as compared to Azad P - 1 (29.5 days). As longest harvest duration was recorded under natural condition which may be due to long duration of crop growth while duration got reduced under eCO_2 and eT which may be due to early maturity under the influence of higher temperature and CO_2 . Wheeler *et al.* (1996) also reported that increased in temperature reduced the duration of crop growth.

Plant fresh weight was found significantly higher (72.8 g plant⁻¹) under eCO_2 followed by eCO_2 and eT (67.4 g plant⁻¹), aCO_2 and aT (61.0 g plant⁻¹) and natural condition (56.3 g plant⁻¹) (Table 1). Natural condition recorded lowest plant fresh weight. Azad P-1 cultivar recorded significantly (P=0.05) higher plant fresh weight (67.8 g plant⁻¹) as compared to PB - 89 (60.9 g plant⁻¹) cultivar. The results in present investigations revealed that pea plants grown under eCO_2 as well as eCO_2 and eT produced significantly higher plant fresh weight as compared to aCO_2 and aT and natural condition. Similarly, plant dry weight or biomass (36.2 g

Table 1: Effect of elevated CO, and temperature on plant height (cm), days taken to first picking of pods, harvest duration, plant fresh weight (g), dry weight (g/

Treatment	Ţ	Plant height	ght	Days	to first	Days to first picking	Har	Harvest duration	ation	Fresh v	weight c	ofplant	Dryw	reight (b	Fresh weight of plant Dryweight (biomass)		Number of pods	pods
		(cm)			(day	tys)		(days)			(g)			(g pli	(g plant ⁻¹)		per plant	nt
	V.	V_2	Mean V	N_	V_2	Mean	N ¹	V_2	Mean	N.	V_2	Mean		V_2	Mean	N.	V_2	Mean
T_1 :	102.4	93.2	93.2 97.8 103.5 90.6	103.5	90.6	97.1	25.5	30.5	28.0	75.6	75.6 69.9	72.8	40.7 31.6	31.6	36.2	41.1	49.9	45.5
T_2 :	98.7	84.8	91.7		99.5 85.9	92.7	19.4	24.9	22.2	70.7	64.1	67.4	36.9	26.9	31.9	37.6	45.8	41.7
T_3 :	90.06	81.7	85.9	108.2 97.6	97.6	102.9	34.5	44.2	39.3	63.6	58.4	61.0	29.5	23.8	26.6	34.9	41.7	38.3
T_4 :	85.7	79.2		82.4 120.3 109.6	109.6	114.9	38.4	46.7	42.5	61.4	51.2	56.3	26.2	21.1	23.6	29.8	37.3	33.5
Mean	94.2	84.7	89.5	89.5 107.9 95.9	95.9	101.9	29.5	36.6	33.0	67.8	60.9	64.4	33.3	25.8	29.6	35.8 43.7	43.7	39.8
CD (p = 0.05)																		
Treatment (T):			5.0			2.0			1.7			3.5			2.0			1.9
Variety(V):			3.6			1.4			1.2			2.5			4.0			3.8
Treatment x Variety (Txv):	iety(Txv)		NS			NS			SN			SN			NS			NS

plant⁻¹) was higher in pea plants grown under eCO_2 followed by eCO_2 and eT (31.9 g plant⁻¹), aCO_2 and aT (26.6 g plant⁻¹) and natural condition (23.6 g plant⁻¹). Lowest plant dry weight was obtained under natural condition (i.e. control). In case of varieties, Azad P-1 recorded significantly (P=0.05) higher plant dry weight (33.3 g plant⁻¹) as compared to PB -89 (25.8 g plant⁻¹). In present study highest dry weight was recorded in plants grown under eCO_2 followed by eCO_2 and eT which may be due to effect of higher CO_2 and temperature which stimulated higher vegetative growth of plant and resulted increased total fresh weight as well as biomass accumulation under enriched carbon and eT.

Yield and yield attributes

The number of pods (45.5 pods plant⁻¹) were higher in pea plants grown under eCO, which differed statistically with rest of treatments (Table 1). Under eCO, and eT number of pods were 41.7 pods plant⁻¹, followed by aCO_2 and temperature (38.3 pods plant⁻¹) and natural condition (33.5 pods plant⁻¹). PB – 89 cultivar produced higher number of pods per plant (43.7 pods plant⁻¹) as compared to Azad P -1(35.8 pods plant⁻¹). In our findings, maximum number of pods were produced in pea plants grown under eCO_2 , which may be due to higher photosynthetic rate under enriched CO, which resulted more production of soluble proteins, sugars like carbohydrates and amino acids. These compounds are then used as building blocks and form the main structural component of the plant which ultimately affect yield in the form of increased number of pods per plant. Similarly Ackerson et al. (1984) reported more number of pods and seeds per plant under CO2 enrichment which attributed the high seed yield.

Higher pod length (10.1 cm) was recorded in pea plants grown under eCO, which was statistically at par with eCO₂ and eT (9.7 cm) and differed statistically from aCO_2 and temperature (9.1 cm) and natural condition (8.4 cm) (Table 2). Comparatively higher pod length was recorded in PB-89(10.4 cm) variety as compared to Azad P-1(8.3 cm). Similarly maximum pod girth (13.7 mm) recorded under eCO, was significantly different from eCO₂ and temperature (12.7 mm), aCO₂ and aT (11.9 mm) and natural condition (11.8 mm). Lowest pod girth (11.8 mm) was recorded under natural condition i.e. control. Significantly (P=0.05) higher pod girth was recorded in PB - 89(13.4 mm) as compared to Azad P-1 (11.7 mm). Higher pod size i.e. pod length and pod girth was recorded under eCO_2 in comparison to aCO_2 and aTwhich may be due to carbon enrichment/fertilization effect which caused higher photosynthesis and resulted more Table 2: Effect of elevated CO, and temperature on pod length (cm), pod girth (mm), number of grains/pod, average pod weight, pod yield per plant (g) and total

pod y	ield (k	g ha-1) j	pod yield (kg ha-1) in pea (two years pooled data)	o years j	pooled	data).												
Treatment		Pod length	gth	P	Pod girth		Number of grains/pod	of grain	s/pod	Average	w pod a	Average pod weight(g) Pod yield per plant (g)	Pod yi	eld per p	lant (g)	Total p	Total pod yield (kg ha-1)	kg ha-1)
	N	\mathbf{V}_2	Mean	V	V_2	Mean	V	V_2	V_2 Mean	V	\mathbf{V}_2	V_2 Mean	N	V_2	V_2 Mean	V,	V_2	Mean
T_1 :	9.1	11.2	10.1	12.8 14.7	14.7	13.7	8.8	9.7	9.2	7.8	9.5	8.6	132.9	171.6	8.6 132.9 171.6 152.3		26587 34320 30453	30453
T_2 :	8.3	11.0	9.7	12.1	13.4	12.7	7.5	8.2	7.8	7.6	8.6	8.1	108.2	145.4	8.1 108.2 145.4 126.8	21639	21639 29073	25356
T_3 :	8.1	10.1	9.1	10.6 13.1	13.1	11.9	6.9	7.5	7.2	5.7	6.5	6.1	97.6	130.8	97.6 130.8 114.2		19515 26153	22834
T_4 :	7.7	9.2	8.4	11.3	12.3	11.8	6.4	7.2	6.8	4.7	5.9	5.3	66.1	98.1	82.1	13213	13213 19620 16417	16417
Mean	8.3	8.3 10.4	9.3	11.7 13.4	13.4	12.5	7.4	8.1	7.8	6.5	7.6	7.0	101.2	136.5	7.0 101.2 136.5 118.8		20238 27292 23765	23765
CD(p=0.05)																		
Treatment:			0.8			0.8			0.6			0.7			12.4			2479
Variety:			0.6			0.4			0.4			0.5			8.8			1753
Treatment × Variety:	'ariety:		NS			NS			NS			NS			NS			NS
$V_1 = Azad P-1$, $V_2 = PB-89$; $T_1 = eCO_2$, $T_2 = eCO_2 + eT$, $T_3 = aCO_2 + aT$, $T_4 = natural condition$	$, V_2 =]$	PB-89;	$T_1 = eCO_1$	$_{2}$, $T_{2} = eC$	O ₂ +eT,	$T_3 = aCC$	$\mathbf{D}_2 + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}$	$_{4}^{} = nati$	ural cond	ition								

production of carbohydrates, proteins and amino acids. The abundance of these structural compounds in plant system lead to better growth of pods and affect size positively. The results are in consonance with findings of Hartz *et al.* (1991) who reported that carbon dioxide enrichment significantly increased fruit size in vegetable crops.

Pea plants grown under eCO₂ resulted maximum number of grains per pod (9.2) which was statistically different with eCO₂ and eT (7.8), aCO₂ and aT (7.2) and natural condition (6.8) (Table 2). Lowest number of grains per pod was recorded under natural condition. PB - 89 recorded significantly higher number of grains per pod(8.1)as compared with Azad P-1 (7.4). Average pod weight (8.6 g pod⁻¹) was higher in plants grown under eCO₂ which was statistically at par with eCO₂ and eT (8.1 g pod⁻¹) and differed significantly from aCO_2 and $aT (6.1 \text{ g pod}^{-1})$ and natural condition (5.3 g pod⁻¹). Significantly higher average pod weight was recorded in PB - 89 (7.6 g pod-1) as compared with Azad P - 1(6.4 g pod⁻¹) (Table 2). Higher average pod weight obtained in plants grown under eCO, may be due to higher photosynthetic rate under enriched CO₂ which resulted formation of structural compounds in abundance like soluble proteins, amino acids and sugars. The present results are in line with the findings of Stanciel et al. (2000) who reported that pod weight of peanut was significantly higher at 1200 mmol.mol⁻¹CO, than ambient, reflecting both an increase in pod number as well as assimilate partitioning to the pods.

Pod yield per plant (152.3 g plant⁻¹) was highest under eCO_2 which was significantly (P=0.05) superior over eCO₂ and eT (126.8 g plant⁻¹) and aCO₂ and aT (114.2 g plant⁻¹) and lowest yield per plant (82.1 g plant⁻¹) was obtained in natural condition (Table 2). All the treatments were significantly different from each other. Significantly maximum pod yield was recorded in PB-89 (136.5 g plant-¹) cultivar as compared with Azad P - 1 (101.2 g plant⁻¹). Similarly highest pod yield (30453 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under eCO, which was significantly superior over eCO, and eT (25356 kg ha⁻¹), aCO, and aT (22834 kg ha⁻¹) and lowest pod yield (16417 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in natural condition. PB - 89 recorded significantly higher pod yield (27292 kg ha⁻¹) as compared to Azad P - 1 (20238 kg ha⁻¹). In present findings, increases in pod yield at eCO, were mainly attributed to increases in number of pods and grains from improved branching and greater number of pods on branches. The present results are in agreement with the findings of Ainsworth et al. (2002) who reported that yield of soybean increased by 24 per cent due to effects of doubled CO_2 over ambient CO_2 .

CONCLUSION

Present study revealed that eCO₂ has positive effect on plant growth, phenolgy, yield and yield attributes of pea crop. However, under interactive effect of eCO, and eT, rising temperature negated the positive effects of eCO_2 in crop. While it is observed that with increase in temperature along with increasing CO₂ does not harm that much extent if compared with ambient and natural open conditions in cool season crop. Similarly, plant growth parameters, yield and yield attributes under study were higher and better in pea cultivar PB-89 as compared to Azad P-1 under the influence of eCO_2 and interactive effect of eCO_2 and eT. Hence, Pea cultivar PB-89 was more adaptable to climate change as compared with Azad P-1 under the influence of eCO₂ and interaction effect of eCO₂ and temperature. Though, there is a significant effect of climate change on pea crop, yet the tested cultivars of crop showed differential reaction to climate change.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful for the financial support granted by the Department of Environmental Science, Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni – Solan (Himachal Pradesh) India.

REFERENCES

- Ackerson, R.C., Havelka, U.D. and Boyle, M.G. (1984). CO₂ enrichment effects on soybean physiology. II. Effects of stage-specific CO₂ exposure. *Crop Sci.*, 24: 1150– 1154.
- Ainsworth, E.A., Davey, P.A., Bernacchi, C.J., Dermody, O.C., Heaton, E.A., Moore, D.J., Morgan, P.B., Naidu, S.L., Yoo, R.H.S., Zhu, X.G., Curtis, P.S. and Long, S.P. (2002). A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on soybean (*Glycine max*) physiology, growth and yield. *Global Change Biol.*, 8:695-709.
- DOA. (2016). Area and production of vegetable crops in Himachal Pradesh. State Department of Agriculture, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.
- Hartz, T.K., Baameurl, A. and Holt, D.B. (1991). Carbon dioxide enrichment of high-value crops under tunnel culture. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci., 116 (6): 970-973.

- Jyothi Lakshmi, N., Vanaja, M. Yadav, S. K., Maheswari, M., Archana, G., Patil, A. and Rao, Ch. S. (2017). Effect of CO₂ on growth, seed yield and nitrogen uptake in sunflower. J. Agrometeorol., 19(3): 195-199.
- Kumari, M., Verma, S.C. and Bhardwaj, S.K. (2019). Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature on crop growth and yield attributer of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J. Agrometeorol., 21(1): 1-6.
- Miglietta, F., Magliulo, V., Bindi, M., Cerio, L., Vaccari, F. P., Loduca, V. and Peressotti, A. (1998). Free air CO₂ enrichment of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.): development, growth and yield. *Global Change Biol.*, 4: 163-172.
- Mukherjee, J., Singh, S. S., Kumar, S. and Indris, Mohd. (2015). Radiation use efficiency and yield of wheat grown under elevated CO_2 and temperature in open top chamber at Patna, Bihar. J. Agrometeorol., 17(2): 158-164.
- Pilumwong, J., Senthong, C., Srichuwong, S. and Ingram, K.T. (2007). Effects of temperature and elevated CO₂ on shoot and root growth of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) grown in controlled environment chambers. *Sci. Asia*, 33:79-87.
- Rao Srinivasa, N.K., Laxman, R.H. and Bhatt, R.M. (2010). Impact of climate change on vegetable crops. In: Challenges of climate change - Indian Horticulture. Singh, H.P., Singh, J.P., Lal, S.S. Westville Publishing House, 114-123p.
- Rogers, H.H. and Dahlman, R.C. (1993). Crop responses to CO₂ enrichment. *Vegetation*, 104/105:117-131.
- Singh, S.S., Mukherjee, J., Kumar, S. and Idris, Mohd (2013). Effect of elevated CO_2 on growth and yield of rice crop in open top chamber in Sub humid climate of eastern India. J. Agrometeorol., 15(1): 1-10.
- Stanciel, K., Mortley, D.G., Hileman, D.R., Loretan, P.A., Bonsi, C.K. and Hill, W.A. (2000). Growth, pod and seed yield, and gas exchange of hydroponically grown peanut in response to CO₂ enrichment. *Hort. Sci.*, 35(1): 49-52.
- Wheeler, T.R., Batts, G.R., Ellis, R.H., Hadley, P. and Morison, J.I.L. (1996). Growth and yield of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) crops in response to CO₂ and temperature. J. Agri. Sci., 127:37-48.