



Journal of Agrometeorology

ISSN : 0972-1665 (print), 2583-2980 (online)

Vol. No. 25 (1) : 98 - 104 (March- 2023)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i1.1847>

<https://journal.agrimetassociation.org/index.php/jam>



Research Paper

Phenology, growth and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under reduced sunlight intensity and foliar nitrogen application

GARIMA¹ and S. S. SANDHU^{2*}

¹Department of Agronomy, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, Punjab

²Department of Climate Change and Agricultural Meteorology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, Punjab

*Corresponding author's email: ssandhu@pau.edu

ABSTRACT

The field experiments related to the study were conducted at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bahawal. There were 20 treatment combinations comprising of four levels of sunlight intensity [control (full sunlight), 50% reduction in sunlight light intensity during 15-45(R₁₅₋₄₅), 46-75(R₄₆₋₇₅) and 76-105(R₇₆₋₁₀₅) DAT] and five levels of foliar nitrogen application [control (only recommended nitrogen, no foliar spray), spray of 3% urea before (N_B), midway(N_M), afterwards(N_A) and midway-afterwards(N_{MA}) the reduction in sunlight intensity in addition to the recommended nitrogen application]. Radiation level of R₁₅₋₄₅ significantly preponed (2-5 days) the occurrence of phenological stages from maximum tillering to physiological maturity. Similarly, R₄₆₋₇₅ significantly preponed (3-9 days) the occurrences of flag leaf initiation to physiological maturity. The nitrogen level of N_B significantly delayed the occurrence of flag leaf initiation to physiological maturity stages (2-5 days), N_M delayed flag leaf initiation to physiological maturity (2-4 days), N_A delayed anthesis to physiological maturity (1-4 days) and N_{MA} delayed panicle emergence to physiological maturity (2-5 days). Plant height of rice was significantly increased due to R₁₅₋₄₅ (5.21-5.26%) and R₄₆₋₇₅ (6.95-7.61%) and also with foliar nitrogen application levels of N_B, N_M, N_A and N_{MA} by 9.95-9.89, 9.65-10.06, 8.06-7.57 and 9.65-9.29 per cent, respectively. Number of tillers m⁻² was significantly reduced (4.71-15.99%) under low light conditions and was increased (5.59-23.34%) with foliar nitrogen application. Yield attributes were affected by the reduced sunlight intensity and foliar nitrogen application. Grain yield was significantly reduced as a result of R₁₅₋₄₅ (9.19-11.41%), R₄₆₋₇₅ (14.29-16.35%) and R₇₆₋₁₀₅ (8.15-10.67%) and foliar nitrogen application levels of N_B, N_M and N_{MA} resulted in significant increase the grain yield by 9.32-10.19, 5.74-6.38 and 4.59-5.74 per cent respectively, as compared to control.

Key words: Rice, growth, yield, sunlight intensity, nitrogen

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important cereal crop in India as well as in Punjab. Yearly variation in crop growth and yield is mainly due to the weather variability (Banerjee *et al.*, 2018). Rice is mainly grown as a rainy season crop in the southeast Asia, and is, therefore, often exposed to reduced sunlight intensity during its life cycle. Low light stress has emerged as a major constraint for rice production in some of the rice-growing regions, especially in southeast. Asia and China In several south Asian countries including India, most of the rice (around 80%) is grown during the monsoon season, when light intensity is about 40-60% less as compared to dry season (Panda *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, during the *kharif* season in Punjab, a decrease in the duration of bright sunshine hours at the rate of 0.04 hr year⁻¹ had been observed at Ballawal

Saunkhri as well as Ludhiana. A significant positive correlation of solar radiation with the grain yield of rice was reported by many studies, such as during the vegetative phase (Karuna and Dhaliwal, 2020), vegetative phase and the entire growing season (Sandhu *et al.*, 2013), during the reproductive phase (Sun *et al.*, 2012), during the ripening phase (Chen *et al.*, 2019) or during both reproductive and ripening phases (Nagarajan *et al.*, 2010). Photosynthetic rate is reduced under low light conditions which leads to reduced tiller number during the vegetative phase, increased male sterility at the time of flowering and reduced starch accumulation during the grain filling stage resulting in poor rice yield and quality (Liu *et al.*, 2014).

Nitrogen (N) is an important nutrient, which is applied in most of the rice-producing areas and its application during different

Article info - DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i1.1847>

Received: 15 September 2022; Accepted: 14 December 2022; Published online : 17 February 2023

This work is licenced under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International licence @ Author(s), Publishing right @ Association of Agrometeorologists

growth stages is well documented. The radiation interception by the canopy is increased by increasing the tiller number, which can be increased by nitrogen application and top dressing of nitrogen at tillering stage (San-oh *et al.*, 2002).

Thus, it is evident that reduced sunlight intensity affects performance of rice. The information on foliar nitrogen application to rice as a strategy to mitigate the harmful effects of reduced sunlight intensity is lacking in literature. Therefore, a study was planned to quantify the effects of reduced sunlight intensity on the performance of rice and secondly to evaluate the role of foliar nitrogen application in alleviating the harmful effects of reduced sunlight intensity in rice.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A multilocation field experiment was conducted at Punjab Agricultural University (PAU), Ludhiana (30°54' N latitude and 75°48' E longitude and 247 m above mean sea level) and Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK), Bahawal (31°31' N latitude and 75°54' E longitude and 296 m above the mean sea level), district Hoshiarpur. These sites are situated in two different agro-climatic zones of Punjab, i.e. Ludhiana in the central plain zone and Bahawal in sub-mountain undulating zone.

The soil of the experimental fields was loamy sand in texture and normal in reaction at both the locations. The organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, available potassium, EC and pH of 0-15 cm layer of soil was 0.44%, 302.9 kg ha⁻¹, 20.4 kg ha⁻¹, 169.4 kg ha⁻¹, 0.21 dS m⁻¹ and 6.6 at Ludhiana and 0.84%, 489.3 kg ha⁻¹, 15.5 kg ha⁻¹, 155.0 kg ha⁻¹, 0.51 dS m⁻¹ and 7.8 at Bahawal, respectively. Thus, the soil was medium in organic carbon and available nitrogen at Ludhiana and high in organic carbon and available nitrogen at Bahawal and medium in available phosphorus and potassium at both the locations.

The nursery (variety PR 122) was sown on 23rd and 25th May 2019 at Ludhiana and Bahawal, respectively. The 30 days old seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 20 x 15 cm on 24th and 26th June 2019 at Ludhiana and Bahawal, respectively. The doses of fertilizers as recommended by PAU, Ludhiana were applied at the rate 105 kg N ha⁻¹ for medium fertility soil at Ludhiana and 78.75 kg N ha⁻¹ for high fertility soil at Bahawal. 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 30 kg ha⁻¹ K₂O and 40 kg ha⁻¹ of zinc sulphate monohydrate were applied at both the locations. All the agronomic practices recommended by PAU, Ludhiana were followed during experimentation.

The experiment was conducted in randomized block design with three replications. Sunlight intensity was reduced by covering the plots from top (leaving the sides open) with green shade net capable of reducing 50% of sunlight intensity. The treatments comprised of four levels of sunlight intensity: full sun light intensity (Control), 50% reduction in sunlight intensity during 15-45 (R₁₅₋₄₅), 46-75 (R₄₆₋₇₅) and 76-105 (R₇₆₋₁₀₅) DAT (days after transplanting) and five levels of foliar N application [Control (only recommended nitrogen, no foliar spray), spray of 3% urea before (N_B), midway (N_M), afterwards (N_A) and midway & afterwards (N_{MA}) the reduction in sunlight in addition to the recommended nitrogen application]. The PAR (photosynthetically active radiation) was measured with

Line quantum sensor (Apogee Instrument) at 15 days interval from top of the canopy. The measurements were done from control (full sunlight) as well as from the plots covered with green shade net capable of reducing the PAR by 50 per cent. Average values of PAR above the respective plots were calculated and presented in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Intensity of photosynthetically active radiation

It is evident that, covering the plots with green shade net resulted in almost 50% reduction in incident PAR (Table 1). The reduction in PAR was only for a period of 30 days and during these days PAR values in plots covered with green shade net was about 50% of that under open conditions. Before and after the period of the 30 days the plots received same PAR as received by the uncovered plots.

Crop phenology

At Ludhiana (Table 2), averaged over nitrogen levels the rice crop took 146 days to reach physiological maturity stage under full sunlight conditions. The physiological maturity was attained in 5 and 9 days earlier as compared to full sunlight, as a result of reduction in sunlight under R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₄₆₋₇₅, respectively. Similarly, averaged over sunlight intensity levels at Ludhiana, rice crop matured in 141, 144, 143, 143 and 144 days under N₀, N_B, N_M, N_A and N_{MA}, respectively (Table 3).

At Bahawal (Table 2), averaged over nitrogen levels the rice crop took 151 days to reach physiological maturity stage under full sunlight conditions. The physiological maturity was attained in 5 and 9 days earlier as compared to control as a result of reduction in sunlight under R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₄₆₋₇₅, respectively. Similarly, averaged over sunlight intensity levels at Bahawal, rice crop matured in 144, 149, 148, 148 and 149 days under N₀, N_B, N_M, N_A and N_{MA}, respectively (Table 3).

Averaged over nitrogen levels at both locations (Table 2), the R₁₅₋₄₅ resulted in statistically significant early occurrence of phenological stages from maximum tillering to physiological maturity stages by 2-5 days as compared to control. Similarly at both locations, the R₄₆₋₇₅ resulted in statistically significant early occurrence stages from flag leaf initiation to physiological maturity 3-9 days as compared to control (Table 2). The effect of R₇₆₋₁₀₅ on occurrence of different phenological stages was found to be statistically non-significant.

At Ludhiana, averaged over sunlight intensity levels, N_B resulted in statistically significant delay in occurrence of phenological stages from flag leaf initiation to physiological maturity by 2-3 days, while N_M delayed the occurrence of these stages by 2 days as compared to the control (Table 3). The N_A resulted in statistically significant delay in occurrence of anthesis, milky and physiological maturity stages by 1, 2 and 2 days, respectively. The N_{MA} resulted in statistically significant delay in occurrence of panicle emergence to physiological maturity stages by 2-3 days.

At Bahawal, averaged over sunlight intensity levels, N_B resulted in statistically significant delay in occurrence of flag

Table 1: Photosynthetically active radiation ($\mu \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) recorded at both locations

| Data recorded at | PAR under unshaded (control) conditions | PAR in plots shaded during 15-45 DAT | PAR in plots shaded during 46-75 DAT | PAR in plots shaded during 76-105 DAT |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Ludhiana | | | | |
| 15 DAT* | 1683 | 781 | 1671 | 1695 |
| 30 DAT | 1660 | 762 | 1678 | 1618 |
| 46 DAT | 1640 | 1662 | 784 | 1662 |
| 60 DAT | 1489 | 1501 | 703 | 1485 |
| 76 DAT | 1330 | 1310 | 1329 | 638 |
| 90 DAT | 1095 | 1090 | 1071 | 558 |
| 105 DAT | 1015 | 1038 | 1025 | 514 |
| Bahawal | | | | |
| 15 DAT | 1151 | 569 | 1131 | 1182 |
| 30 DAT | 796 | 393 | 782 | 773 |
| 46 DAT | 1411 | 1459 | 704 | 1433 |
| 60 DAT | 1403 | 1401 | 678 | 1407 |
| 76 DAT | 1390 | 1385 | 1368 | 688 |
| 90 DAT | 1128 | 1134 | 1129 | 552 |
| 105 DAT | 1066 | 1078 | 1061 | 518 |

*DAT: Days after transplanting

Table 2: Influence of sunlight intensity on days taken to attain different phenological stages from nursery sowing of rice crop

| Phenological stages | Ludhiana | | | | | Bahawal | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Sunlight intensity levels | | | | CD (0.05) | Sunlight intensity levels | | | | CD (0.05) |
| | Control | R ₁₅₋₄₅ | R ₄₆₋₇₅ | R ₇₆₋₁₀₅ | | Control | R ₁₅₋₄₅ | R ₄₆₋₇₅ | R ₇₆₋₁₀₅ | |
| Transplanting | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | NS | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | NS |
| Start tillering | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | NS | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | Ns |
| Maximum tillering | 81 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 1.33 | 83 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 1.09 |
| Flag leaf initiation | 85 | 81 | 82 | 85 | 0.94 | 87 | 83 | 84 | 87 | 0.54 |
| Booting | 107 | 102 | 101 | 107 | 1.88 | 110 | 105 | 104 | 110 | 2.18 |
| Panicle emergence (50%) | 112 | 107 | 104 | 111 | 2.66 | 115 | 110 | 107 | 114 | 2.72 |
| Anthesis | 116 | 111 | 107 | 114 | 2.11 | 120 | 115 | 111 | 118 | 2.17 |
| Milky | 125 | 120 | 116 | 124 | 2.82 | 129 | 124 | 120 | 128 | 1.80 |
| Soft dough | 134 | 129 | 125 | 134 | 1.44 | 139 | 134 | 130 | 139 | 2.83 |
| Hard dough | 142 | 137 | 133 | 142 | 2.37 | 147 | 142 | 138 | 147 | 1.88 |
| Physiological maturity | 146 | 141 | 137 | 146 | 1.63 | 151 | 146 | 142 | 151 | 2.72 |

leaf initiation to physiological maturity stages by 2-5 days as compared to control (Table 3). While N_M delayed the occurrence of stages from flag leaf initiation to physiological maturity by 2-4 days as compared to the control. The N_A resulted in statistically significant delay in occurrence of anthesis to physiological maturity by 1-4 days, as compared to control. Similarly, the N_{MA} resulted in statistically significant delay in occurrence of panicle emergence to physiological maturity by 2-5 days.

Plant height

Among the solar radiation levels, the R_{46-75} resulted in maximum plant height (Table 4) and it was significantly higher than that under control and was statistically at par with the plant height under R_{15-45} at both the locations. Nag (2017) also observed an increase in the plant height under the low light as compared to

the normal light.

Among nitrogen levels, N_B resulted in maximum plant height and it was statistically at par with all other foliar nitrogen application treatments and was significantly more than control, at both the locations (Table 4). Behera *et al.* (2019) also reported higher plant height under higher doses of nitrogen application.

The relationship between the plant height and sunlight intensity (Table 5) during R_{15-45} and R_{46-75} at Ludhiana within a PAR range of 772-1672 and 744-1565 $\mu \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and at Bahawal within a PAR range of 481-974 and 691-1407 $\mu \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was found to be linear and statistically significant, respectively. The plant height got increased by 0.003 and 0.005 cm at Ludhiana and by 0.006 and 0.006 cm at Bahawal in response to decrease in 1 unit of PAR during R_{15-45} and R_{46-75} , respectively.

Table 3: Influence of foliar nitrogen application on days taken to attain different phenological stages from nursery sowing of rice crop

| Phenological stages | Ludhiana | | | | | | Bahawal | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Nitrogen levels | | | | | CD (0.05) | Nitrogen levels | | | | | CD (0.05) |
| | N ₀ | N _B | N _M | N _A | N _{MA} | | N ₀ | N _B | N _M | N _A | N _{MA} | |
| Transplanting | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | NS | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | NS |
| Start tillering | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | NS | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | NS |
| Maximum tillering | 80 | 81 | 81 | 80 | 80 | NS | 82 | 83 | 83 | 82 | 82 | NS |
| Flag leaf initiation | 82 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 1.15 | 84 | 86 | 86 | 85 | 85 | 1.49 |
| Booting | 103 | 106 | 105 | 104 | 104 | 1.63 | 106 | 109 | 108 | 107 | 107 | 1.69 |
| Panicle emergence 50%) | 107 | 110 | 109 | 108 | 109 | 1.82 | 110 | 113 | 112 | 111 | 112 | 1.88 |
| Anthesis | 110 | 113 | 112 | 111 | 112 | 0.94 | 114 | 117 | 116 | 115 | 116 | 0.81 |
| Milky | 119 | 122 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 1.24 | 123 | 126 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 1.33 |
| Soft dough | 129 | 132 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 2.05 | 132 | 137 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 1.62 |
| Hard dough | 137 | 140 | 139 | 139 | 140 | 2.20 | 140 | 145 | 144 | 144 | 145 | 2.53 |
| Physiological maturity | 141 | 144 | 143 | 143 | 144 | 0.81 | 144 | 149 | 148 | 148 | 149 | 0.94 |

Table 4: Effect of sunlight intensity and foliar nitrogen application on plant height and number of tillers m⁻² of rice at harvest

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | Number of tillers m ⁻² | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | Ludhiana | Bahawal | Ludhiana | Bahawal |
| Reduced sunlight intensity | | | | |
| Control | 64.06 | 64.63 | 349.35 | 352.13 |
| R ₁₅₋₄₅ | 67.40 | 68.03 | 321.99 | 335.55 |
| R ₄₆₋₇₅ | 68.51 | 69.55 | 293.50 | 306.80 |
| R ₇₆₋₁₀₅ | 64.95 | 65.05 | 328.47 | 338.89 |
| CD (0.05) | 1.39 | 1.52 | 28.14 | 18.55 |
| Foliar nitrogen application | | | | |
| N ₀ | 61.63 | 62.21 | 285.10 | 300.33 |
| N _B | 67.76 | 68.36 | 351.64 | 358.34 |
| N _M | 67.58 | 68.47 | 343.83 | 348.92 |
| N _A | 66.60 | 66.92 | 302.33 | 317.12 |
| N _{MA} | 67.58 | 67.99 | 333.74 | 342.01 |
| CD (0.05) | 1.55 | 1.69 | 31.46 | 20.73 |

Number of tillers m⁻²

Maximum number of tillers m⁻² at harvest was observed under full sunlight intensity and it was significantly higher than that produced in R₄₆₋₇₅ but was statistically at par with number of tillers m⁻² under R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₇₆₋₁₀₅ at both the locations (Table 4). Reduction in light intensity might have reduced the net photosynthesis resulting in reduced supply of assimilates for tiller emergence and thus the number of tillers decreased.

Among nitrogen levels, N_B resulted in maximum number of tillers m⁻² and it was statistically at par with those under N_M and N_{MA} at both the locations (Table 4). Behera *et al.* (2019) also reported higher number of tillers hill⁻¹ under higher doses of nitrogen as compared to lower doses.

The relationship between the number of tillers m⁻² at harvest and sunlight intensity (Table 5) during R₄₆₋₇₅ at Ludhiana and

Bahawal was found to be linear and statistically significant within a PAR range of 744-1565 and 691-1407 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. The reduction in PAR by 1 unit resulted in a reduction in the number of tillers m⁻² by 0.068 and 0.063 tillers m⁻² 46-75 DAT at Ludhiana and Bahawal, respectively.

Panicle length

Maximum panicle length was observed under full sunlight and it was significantly higher by 8.8 and 5.3% than that under R₄₆₋₇₅ at Ludhiana and Bahawal, respectively, but it was statistically at par with panicle length under R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₇₆₋₁₀₅ (Table 6). Ling *et al.* (1994) observed that 20 days before heading stage is the critical stage of panicle initiation and it affects the panicle length to a great extent and in the present study 46-75 DAT corresponded with this period.

The nitrogen level of N_B resulted in the maximum panicle

Table 5: Linear correlation parameters between crop parameters at harvest and PAR during different treatments of reduction in sunlight intensity

| Reduced sunlight intensity | Parameter | Plant height (cm) | | No of tillers m ⁻² | | Panicle length (cm) | | Wt. of grains panicle ⁻¹ (g) | | Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹) | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | | LDH | BAH | LDH | BAH | LDH | BAH | LDH | BAH | LDH | BAH |
| R ₁₅₋₄₅ | Slope | -0.003 | -0.006 | 0.03 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0.007 | 0.011 |
| | R ² | 0.919 | 0.796 | 0.458 | 0.608 | 0.372 | 0.98 | 0.079 | 0.178 | 0.983 | 0.944 |
| | F-value | 17.01 | 5.85 | 1.26 | 2.32 | 0.89 | 73.5 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 86.73 | 25.28 |
| | P | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.497 | 0.0028 | 0.883 | 0.745 | 0.0022 | 0.013 |
| | PAR range* | 772-1672 | 481-974 | 772-1672 | 481-974 | 772-1672 | 481-974 | 772-1672 | 481-974 | 772-1672 | 481-974 |
| R ₄₆₋₇₅ | Slope | -0.005 | -0.006 | 0.068 | 0.063 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0.011 | 0.013 |
| | R ² | 0.952 | 0.883 | 0.977 | 0.902 | 0.889 | 0.949 | 0.266 | 0.473 | 0.829 | 0.86 |
| | F-value | 29.75 | 11.32 | 63.71 | 13.806 | 12.01 | 27.91 | 0.54 | 1.35 | 7.27 | 9.21 |
| | P | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.003 | 0.03 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.628 | 0.382 | 0.071 | 0.052 |
| | PAR range | 744-1565 | 691-1407 | 744-1565 | 691-1407 | 744-1565 | 691-1407 | 744-1565 | 691-1407 | 744-1565 | 691-1407 |
| R ₇₆₋₁₀₅ | Slope | -0.001 | 0 | 0.036 | 0.021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.008 |
| | R ² | 0.239 | 0.047 | 0.83 | 0.462 | 0.361 | 0.327 | 0.686 | 0.795 | 0.99 | 0.823 |
| | F-value | 0.47 | 0.07 | 7.323 | 1.288 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 3.28 | 5.82 | 148.5 | 6.97 |
| | P | 0.66 | 0.93 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.552 | 0.175 | 0.092 | 0.001 | 0.074 |
| | PAR range | 570-1147 | 586-1195 | 570-1147 | 586-1195 | 570-1147 | 586-1195 | 570-1147 | 586-1195 | 570-1147 | 586-1195 |

*PAR ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) range used for developing the respective equations

Table 6: Effect of sunlight intensity and foliar nitrogen application on the yield attributes and yield of rice

| Treatments | Panicle length (cm) | | Weight of grains per panicle (g) | | Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹) | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | Ludhiana | Bahawal | Ludhiana | Bahawal | Ludhiana | Bahawal |
| Reduced sunlight intensity | | | | | | |
| Control | 24.75 | 25.28 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 58.22 | 62.35 |
| R ₁₅₋₄₅ | 24.14 | 24.68 | 3.33 | 3.47 | 51.58 | 56.62 |
| R ₄₆₋₇₅ | 22.73 | 23.99 | 3.21 | 3.31 | 48.70 | 53.44 |
| R ₇₆₋₁₀₅ | 24.06 | 24.77 | 3.07 | 2.90 | 52.01 | 57.27 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 2.63 | 2.98 |
| Foliar nitrogen application | | | | | | |
| N ₀ | 22.79 | 24.16 | 2.80 | 2.95 | 50.53 | 55.04 |
| N _B | 24.96 | 25.37 | 3.59 | 3.61 | 55.68 | 60.17 |
| N _M | 24.54 | 24.81 | 3.44 | 3.48 | 53.43 | 58.55 |
| N _A | 23.39 | 24.46 | 3.10 | 3.15 | 50.65 | 55.14 |
| N _{MA} | 23.91 | 24.61 | 3.33 | 3.42 | 52.85 | 58.20 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.96 | 0.72 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 2.94 | 3.33 |

length and it was significantly higher than control by 9.5 and 5.0% at Ludhiana and Bahawal, respectively, and it was statistically at par with the panicle length under N_M (Table 6). This might be due to the beneficial effect of nitrogen on the vegetative growth of rice resulting in increased source leading to an increase in accumulation of photosynthates and transfer to the sink, resulting in increased panicle length.

The relationship between the panicle length and sunlight intensity (Table 5) during R₄₆₋₇₅ at Ludhiana within a PAR range of 744-1565 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ and at Bahawal during R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₄₆₋₇₅ within a PAR range of 481-974 and 691-1407 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ was found to be linear and statistically significant, respectively. The panicle length at Ludhiana got decreased by 0.002 cm in response

to decrease in 1 unit of PAR during R₄₆₋₇₅ and by 0.001 cm at Bahawal in response to decrease in 1 unit of PAR during both R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₄₆₋₇₅, respectively.

Weight of grains panicle⁻¹

The weight of grains panicle⁻¹ is directly related to grain yield of rice. Maximum weight of grains panicle⁻¹ was observed under full sunlight intensity and it was significantly higher by 10.75 and 24.14 % as compared to that under R₇₆₋₁₀₅ at Ludhiana and Bahawal respectively, but was statistically at par with weight of grains panicle⁻¹ under R₁₅₋₄₅ and R₄₆₋₇₅ (Table 6). Reduction in grain weight panicle⁻¹ might be attributed to the reduced supply of current photosynthates due to reduction in sunlight intensity. Similar results in rice were also reported by Sandhu *et al* (2012).

At both locations, the N_B resulted in maximum weight of grains panicle⁻¹ and it was significantly higher than control and N_A , however, it was statistically at par with N_M and N_{MA} (Table 6). This might be due to the reason than foliar nitrogen application might have increased the photosynthetic rate which might have increased the weight of grains panicle⁻¹.

The relationship between the weight of grains panicle⁻¹ and sunlight intensity at both locations was found to be statistically non-significant at 5% level of significance (Table 5).

Grain yield

Grain yield was significantly reduced with reduction in sunlight intensity (Table 6). Full sunlight resulted in highest grain yield and it was significantly higher as compared to all the treatment with reduced sunlight intensity at both the locations. The reduction in sunlight under R_{15-45} , R_{46-75} and R_{76-105} resulted in 11.41, 16.35 and 10.67 per cent reduction in grain yield at Ludhiana and by 9.19, 14.29 and 8.15 per cent at Bahawal, as compared to control, respectively. The foliar nitrogen application levels of N_B , N_M and N_{MA} resulted in an increase in the grain yield at Ludhiana by 10.19, 5.74 and 4.59 per cent and at Bahawal by 9.32, 6.38 and 5.74 per cent as compared to control, respectively. Panda *et al.* (2019) also found a significant reduction in the grain yield in rice under low light stress. Sattar *et al.* (2017) reported that daily bright sunshine hours of 7 to 8 hours during flowering phase is required for higher rice productivity. Kumar *et al.* (2017) also reported from Uttar Pradesh that in rice, an increase in sunshine duration and solar radiation led to an increase in grain yield. Reduction in grain yield might be attributed to the decrease in the number of effective tillers m⁻², grain weight and number panicle⁻¹.

Maximum grain yield was observed under N_B and it was significantly higher as compared to control and N_A but was statistically at par with N_M and N_{MA} at both the locations (Table 6). The nitrogen application levels of N_B , N_M and N_{MA} resulted in a significant increase in grain yield by 10.19, 6.38 and 5.74 per cent at Ludhiana and by 9.32, 5.74 and 4.59 per cent at Bahawal as compared to control, respectively. Higher grain yield with foliar application of nitrogen might be due to higher values of growth parameter which might have resulted in higher capture of solar energy and hence led to enhanced values of yield attributing characters, that ultimately resulted in higher grain yield. Similar results were also reported by Behera *et al.* (2019).

The relationship between the grain yield and sunlight intensity during R_{15-45} and R_{76-105} at Ludhiana was found to be linear and statistically significant ($p=0.05$) within a PAR range of 772-1672 and 570-1147 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively (Table 5). At Ludhiana, the grain yield got decreased by 0.007 and 0.010 q ha⁻¹ in response to decrease in 1 unit of PAR during R_{15-45} and R_{76-105} , respectively. At Bahawal, the relationship between the grain yield and sunlight intensity during R_{15-45} was found to be linear and statistically significant ($p=0.05$) within a PAR range of 481-974 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. At Bahawal, the grain yield got decreased by 0.011 q ha⁻¹ in response to decrease in 1 unit of PAR during R_{15-45} .

CONCLUSION

The present study, revealed that reduced sunlight intensity and foliar nitrogen application significantly increased the plant height of rice, whereas, other parameters, i.e. number of tillers m⁻², yield attributes including panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹ and weight of grains panicle⁻¹ and grain yield were significantly reduced under low light but were increased with the foliar application of nitrogen. Maximum reduction was caused when the sunlight intensity was reduced during R_{46-75} and in case of foliar application, highest increase was observed when foliar nitrogen was applied before the reduction in the sunlight intensity (N_B). So, the present study revealed that rice productivity is significantly reduced due to reduction in the sunlight intensity and this decrease can be compensated with the foliar application of nitrogen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) for financial support provided through All India Coordinated Research Project on Agrometeorology (AICRPAM), ICAR-CRIDA, Hyderabad for conducting this study.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer: The contents, opinions, and views expressed in the research article published in the Journal of Agrometeorology are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations they belong to.

Publisher's Note: The periodical remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Banerjee, S., Ghoshand, M. and Mazumder, D. (2018). Phenology, thermal indices and yield prediction models of indigenous Aromatic rice of West Bengal, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 7(3): 1645-1650.
- Behera, U. K., Singh, S., Sarangi, S. K., Behera, S. K., Bishoyi, B. S., Srivastava, A. K. and Singh, U. S. (2019). Post-submergence nitrogen fertilizer management for enhancing rainfed lowland rice productivity in eastern India. *Int. J. Bio-resource Stress. Manag.*, 10(4): 419-428.
- Chen, H., Li, Q., Zeng, Y., Deng, F. and Ren, W. (2019). Effect of different shading materials on grain yield and quality of rice. *Sci. Rep.*, 9: 1-9.
- Karuna, and Dhaliwal, L. K. (2020). Variability in meteorological parameters during *kharif* season and its impact on rice crop at Ludhiana, Punjab, India. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 22(1): 92-96. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v22i1.135>
- Kumar, A., Tripathi, S. K. and Tripathi, P. (2017). Impact of climatic parameters on simulated yield of rice at Faizabad of U.P. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 19(4): 363-365. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v19i4.609>

- Ling, Q. H., Zhang, H. C., Su, Z. F. and Ling, L. (1994). The New Theory of Rice Cultivation - The Leaf Age Model of Rice. Science Press, China.
- Liu, Q., Wu, X., Chen, B., Ma, J. and Gao, J. (2014). Effects of low light on agronomic and physiological characteristics of rice including grain yield and quality. *Rice Sci.*, 21(5): 243-251.
- Nag, B. (2017). Physiological evaluation for low light tolerance on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). M. Sc. thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, India.
- Nagarajan, S., Jagadish, S. V. K., Prasad, A. S. H., Thomar, A. K., Anand, A., Pal, M. and Agarwal, P. K. (2010). Local climate affects growth, yield and grain quality of aromatic and non-aromatic rice in northwestern India. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 138: 274-281.
- Panda, D., Biswal, M., Behera, L., Baig, M. J., Dey, P., Nayak, L., Sharma, S., Samantaray, S., Ngangkham, U. and Kumar, A. (2019). Impact of low light stress on physiological, biochemical and agronomic attributes of rice. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, 8(1): 1814-1821.
- Sandhu, S. S., Mahal, S.S., Vashist, K.K., Buttar, G. S., Brar, A. S. and Singh, M. (2012). Crop and water productivity of bed transplanted rice as influenced by various levels of nitrogen and irrigation in northwest India. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 104: 32-39.
- Sandhu, S. S., Prabhjyot-Kaur, Gill, K. K. and Bala, A. (2013). Effect of inter and intra seasonal variability in meteorological parameters on rice productivity in central Punjab. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 15(2): 147-151. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v15i2.1463>
- San-oh, Tomizawa, Y., Mano, Y., Ookawa, Y. T. and Hirasawa, T. (2002). Characteristics of dry matter production in rice plants, cultivar Takanari, sown directly in submerged paddy field compared with conventionally transplanted plants. *Japanese J. Crop Sci.*, 71: 317-327.
- Sattar, A., Kumar, M., Kumar, P. V. and Khan, S. A. (2017). Crop weather relation in *kharif* rice for North-west Alluvial Plain Zone of Bihar. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 19(1): 71-74. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v19i1.760>
- Sun, Y. J., Ma, J., Sun, Y. Y., Xu, H., Yang, Z. Y., Liu, S. J., Jia, X. W. and Zheng, H. Z. (2012). The effects of different water and nitrogen managements on yield and nitrogen use efficiency in hybrid rice of China. *Field Crops Res.*, 127: 85-98.