

## Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on growth and yield of winter rice under Jorhat condition

PARISHMITA DAS<sup>1</sup>, R. L. DEKA<sup>1</sup>, J. GOSWAMI<sup>2</sup> and SMRITA BARUA<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agrometeorology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Statistics, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-785013

e-mail: parishmitajrt17@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted during *kharif*, 2018 inside CO<sub>2</sub> Temperature Gradient Tunnels (CTGT) to assess the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature [T<sub>0</sub>: ambient temperature & ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>: elevated temperature (ambient +1°C) & elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (ambient+25% of ambient) and T<sub>2</sub>: elevated temperature (ambient +2°C) & elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (ambient + 50% of ambient)] under three different transplanting dates (D<sub>1</sub>: 25<sup>th</sup> June, D<sub>2</sub>: 10<sup>th</sup> July and D<sub>3</sub>: 25<sup>th</sup> July) on growth and yield of rice in Jorhat district of Assam. The result showed that occurrence of different phenological stages was earlier under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature conditions resulting in reduction of crop duration by about 8-15 days. On the other hand, days to tiller initiation increased whereas days to panicle initiation, flowering and physiological maturity reduced with delay in transplanting. Yield attributing parameters were improved under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature condition. With respect to dates of transplanting, D<sub>2</sub> recorded higher number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> (17.9) and higher filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (156.6). Higher grain yield (55.9g hill<sup>-1</sup>) was found under T<sub>2</sub> which was at par with T<sub>1</sub> and it was significant higher over the ambient. Grain yield was significantly reduced when transplanting was delayed after 10<sup>th</sup> July. The results revealed that the growth and yield of rice was found to be better under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-temperature levels when transplanted on 10<sup>th</sup> July.

**Keywords :** CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature Gradient Tunnels, climate change, dates of transplanting, phenology.

With each decadal warming of the earth's surface, rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature to unprecedented levels has become a major global concern. Anthropogenic activities induced global warming has been estimated to increase global mean surface temperature (GMST) by approximately 1.0°C above pre-industrial levels with a likely range of 0.8°C to 1.2°C and is predicted to reach 1.5°C between 2030 and 2052 if it continues to increase at the current rate (IPCC, 2018) due to emission and accumulation of the greenhouse gases. Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), though present in relatively small concentration in the atmosphere, can persist for a very long time. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration has increased from 280 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1750 to 412 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> in 2019 (NOAA, 2019) and is likely to increase up to 540-970 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> by the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (IPCC, 2014).

Temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration are two of the key factors affecting growth, development and yield of crops. Increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and associated further warming are likely to severely affect the food grain production of tropical and subtropical countries including India (Satapathy *et al.*, 2015). FAO and IPCC have estimated that cereals production in India would go

down up to 125 million tonnes (mt) with an overall increase of 2.0°C in temperature. Typically, doubling the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration above the present day concentration raises the productivity of most of the C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub> and CAM plants (Gebregergis, 2016) with greatest impact on C<sub>3</sub> plants such as rice (Ward, 2007). An increase in ambient CO<sub>2</sub> raises the internal leaf CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and the CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> ratio at the rubisco site, thus favoring carboxylation over oxygenation. With respect to temperature, although rice has a wide physiological adaptability, it has variable temperature preferences over different phenophases. Duration of each phenological stage of rice is influenced by temperature and has direct impact on yield (Rani and Maragatham, 2013). High temperature stimulates grain filling rate but shortens the grain filling duration. Besides, high temperature not only decreases grain weight, but also causes spikelet sterility due to reduced pollen germination, thereby reducing grain yield (Kim and You, 2010). Moreover, impact of climate change on rice production is location-specific, due to the varying temperature sensitivity of the crop in different agroclimatic regions (Cho and Oki, 2012). In general, with the increase in temperature, rice yields in eastern and western

India were less affected, moderately affected in north whereas severely affected in southern India (Aggarwal and Mall, 2002). Within eastern India, considerable differences in yield predictions among different locations were reflected from the findings of Krishnan *et al.* (2007) who found an increasing trend for rice yield in Jorhat but declining trend in Cuttack and Bhubaneswar with an increase in temperature at the current CO<sub>2</sub> level.

Though, a series of experiments had been conducted under both field and controlled environments to assess the impacts of climate change on rice, using OTCs, TGTs, FACE facilities, etc. in India and abroad, information on interactive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in rice crop are rather limited in Assam. Keeping this in view, the present experiment was conducted to assess the impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on growth and yield of winter rice and concurrently evaluate its response under different transplanting windows to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out with the help of a pot experiment in rice in the Carbon dioxide Temperature Gradient Tunnels (CTGTs) at Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam during *Kharif*, 2018. The CTGT of the dimensions 10 m length, 2.5 m breadth and 2 m height, is a sophisticated structure constructed with a series of semi-circular bows anchored to the soil, fitted with MS pipes and covered with polycarbonate sheet or UV stabilized polythene sheet of more than 85% transparency which facilitates the controlled environment required for the experiment. Air inside the CTGT was heated naturally by incident solar radiation together with infrared heating facilities. Thermal gradient was created by sucking in ambient air from one end (inlet) and releasing it from the other end (outlet) of the tunnel with the help of exhaust fans. CO<sub>2</sub> monitors and temperature and humidity sensors were used to record CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, temperature and relative humidity (RH) inside the CTGTs periodically. The system for monitoring and controlling the CO<sub>2</sub> inside the CTGT was fully automatic. The CTGT was connected to a computer monitoring system running Winlog software wherein desired CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were set in different tunnels at the same time. Temperature and RH data were monitored at one minute interval throughout the cropping season with the help of data loggers. CO<sub>2</sub> gas cylinders were used for the supply of CO<sub>2</sub> gas. The CO<sub>2</sub> supply was switched on and temperature was maintained only during the daylight hours (i.e. from 10.00 am to 01.00 pm).

The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) having two factors each with three levels with a total of 9 treatment combinations, each combination replicated four times. The details of the treatments are given below:

Factor A: CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels (T)

T<sub>0</sub>: CTGT-1; Ambient temperature + Ambient CO<sub>2</sub>

T<sub>1</sub>: CTGT-2; Elevated temperature (ambient+ 1°C) + Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (ambient + 25% of ambient)

T<sub>2</sub>: CTGT-3; Elevated temperature (ambient+ 2°C) + Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (ambient + 50% of ambient)

Factor B: Dates of transplanting (D)

D<sub>1</sub>: June 25, 2018

D<sub>2</sub>: July 10, 2018

D<sub>3</sub>: July 25, 2018

The bulk soil was collected from the rice growing plot of Instructional Cum Research (ICR) Farm of AAU, Jorhat. The soil was alluvial, sandy loam in texture (sand, silt and clay content was 61.9%, 26.1% and 12% respectively) with a pH and organic carbon content of 5.1 and 0.82% respectively. Fertilizers at recommended dose (N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O @ 60:20:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were thoroughly mixed with the soil and each pot was filled with 5 kg of soil. Nitrogen was applied through Urea in three splits, half as basal and remaining half top dressed in two equal splits at tillering (37 DAT) and panicle initiation (61DAT) stages respectively. Basal doses of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in the form of SSP and MOP respectively. Seeds of rice variety 'Ranjit' were sown in seedling trays in three different dates (May 26, June 10 and June 25) so that the age of the seedlings became 30 days at the time of transplanting in each date of transplanting (D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>3</sub>). Each batch of transplanted pots (2 seedlings per pot) was shifted to the respective CTGTs after 10 days of transplanting. On an average, 5±2cm of irrigation water was maintained in all the pots from transplanting to flowering and thereafter alternate wetting and drying procedure was followed till the crop reached physiological maturity stage.

Data on plant height and number of tillers were noted down at 5 days interval during the entire crop season whereas yield attributing parameters (number of panicles, total number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, number of filled and unfilled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight) and yield of grain and straw were recorded after harvest. Besides, timely observations of the dates of occurrence of tillers, panicle

**Table 1:** Occurrence of phenological stages (DAT) in rice crop as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature and dates of transplanting during kharif, 2018

Phenological stages	T <sub>0</sub>			T <sub>1</sub>			T <sub>2</sub>		
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>
Tiller initiation	13	17	18	12	16	17	11	15	16
Panicle initiation	71(58)	68(51)	65(47)	65(53)	63(47)	61(44)	61(50)	59(44)	57(41)
Flowering	101(30)	96(28)	93(28)	94(29)	90(27)	87(26)	88(27)	85(26)	82(25)
Soft dough	110(9)	105(9)	102(9)	102(8)	98(8)	94(7)	95(7)	92(7)	89(7)
Physiological maturity	130(20)	124(19)	120(18)	122(20)	117(19)	112(18)	114(19)	109(17)	105(16)

Figures in parenthesis indicate duration between two successive stages

initiation, flowering, dough and physiological maturity were recorded from each replication of each treatment in all the three CTGTs. The data were analyzed using SPSS software and significance of treatment means was tested by F-test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Effect on phenology of rice*

As the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 400 ppm to 600 ppm and temperature increased by 1°C and 2°C above the ambient levels, number of days to tiller initiation and panicle initiation reduced significantly which led to a shorter vegetative phase across the three dates of transplanting. Duration of vegetative phase in D<sub>1</sub> was observed to decrease by 6 and 10 days in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> compared to T<sub>0</sub> (Table 1). Similarly, it decreased by 5 and 9 days in D<sub>2</sub> and 4 and 8 days in D<sub>3</sub> under T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> treatments respectively over ambient condition. Unlike the vegetative phase, very little variation was observed in the duration of the reproductive phase and ripening phase due to simultaneous CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature elevation across the three dates of transplanting. The lowest number of days to physiological maturity (PM) (109) was recorded under T<sub>2</sub> while maximum number of days (125) was recorded under ambient condition (Table 2). Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration by 25% and temperature by 1°C than the ambient (T<sub>1</sub>) brought the rice crop to PM stage in about 117 days. On an average, total crop duration was reduced by 8 days and 15 days in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> respectively compared to T<sub>0</sub> (Table 1) irrespective of dates of transplanting which may be due to the fact that high levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and increase in temperature accelerates the growth processes of the crop. Similar results were reported by Satapathy *et al.* (2014) who found that the total crop duration of rice to be shortened by 3-5 days under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature condition in subtropical region of Kharagpur, India. Liu *et al.* (2017) and Baker and Allen (1993) also reported similar findings. With respect to dates of transplanting, number of days required

to attain PM significantly reduced by 5 days and 10 days in 10<sup>th</sup> July (D<sub>2</sub>) and 25<sup>th</sup> July (D<sub>3</sub>) respectively compared to 25<sup>th</sup> June (D<sub>1</sub>) transplanted crop. It is to be noted that mean temperature was found to be higher initially in D<sub>1</sub> but gradually similar mean temperature regime was observed during the vegetative stage across all the three dates of transplanting (~28.1-30°C). Whereas during reproductive and ripening phases, the mean temperature was relatively higher for D<sub>1</sub> (27.2-29.8°C and 23.5-27.3°C) compared to D<sub>2</sub> (26-28.6°C and 22.1-25.7°C) and D<sub>3</sub> (23.9-27.4°C and 20.5-24.8°C) transplanted crop, ultimately resulting in accumulation of required thermal units in a comparatively shorter period in D<sub>1</sub>. Results also showed that days to tiller initiation was increased but days to panicle initiation, flowering and physiological maturity were reduced with delay in transplanting time. These results were in accordance with the findings of Geethalakshmi *et al.* (2017) under Tamilnadu condition.

### *Effect on growth parameters*

**Plant height :** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature levels brought about significant difference in the height of rice plants across different dates of transplanting. Taller plants were observed under T<sub>2</sub> followed by T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>0</sub> at 30, 60 and 90 DAT (Table 3). Maximum plant height was observed at 90 DAT under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels, after which no increase was observed. The plant height at harvest was 8.2% and 4.6% more in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> respectively compared to that observed under ambient condition (T<sub>0</sub>) and the variations were statistically significant at 5% level. This might be due to effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-temperature levels which favoured cell elongation resulting in taller plants. Similar results on increase in plant height under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature environment were reported by Kaur *et al.* (2019) and Dwivedi *et al.* (2015). With respect to dates of transplanting, taller plants were seen in D<sub>2</sub> (142 cm) followed by D<sub>1</sub> (138 cm) and D<sub>3</sub> (131 cm) irrespective of CO<sub>2</sub>-temperature

**Table 2:** Number of days taken to attain different phenological stages of rice as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature and dates of transplanting during *kharif*, 2018

Treatments	Days to tiller initiation	Days to panicle initiation	Days to flowering	Days to physiological maturity
<b>(A) CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature treatment</b>				
T <sub>0</sub>	16.0	68.0	96.7	124.7
T <sub>1</sub>	15.0	63.0	90.3	117.0
T <sub>2</sub>	14.0	59.0	85.0	109.3
SEd	0.46	0.67	0.59	0.69
CD (0.05)	0.95	1.38	1.21	1.43
<b>(B) Date of Transplanting (DOT)</b>				
D <sub>1</sub>	12.0	65.7	94.3	122.0
D <sub>2</sub>	16.0	63.3	90.3	116.7
D <sub>3</sub>	17.0	61.0	87.3	112.3
SEd	0.46	0.67	0.59	0.69
CD (0.05)	0.95	1.38	1.21	1.43
<b>Interaction (A×B)</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS

treatments due to better environmental conditions experienced by 10<sup>th</sup> July transplanted crop during vegetative phase resulting in good growth and produced taller plants with higher number of tillers.

**Tiller number :** Number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> gradually increased with the advancement of crop growth and reached maximum at about 60 DAT after which it declined due to tiller degeneration during reproductive stage, irrespective of CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature treatment and dates of transplanting. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature significantly produced more number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>. Highest tiller number was recorded under T<sub>2</sub> (17.8) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (16.8) over ambient (15.1) at all the dates of observation (Table 3). This increment was mainly attributed to the production of additional carbohydrates due to enhanced photosynthesis which resulted in higher accumulation of biomass under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition. Similar results on increase in tiller number under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature conditions were reported by Jitla *et al.* (1997) and Kim *et al.* (2003). No significant difference was found between dates of transplanting in terms of tiller number hill<sup>-1</sup> at 30 and 60 DAT (Table 3). However, at 90 DAT, D<sub>2</sub> significantly recorded the highest number of tillers (17.9) followed by D<sub>1</sub> (16.8) and D<sub>3</sub> (15.0). Tiller number was increased by up to 42% at 30 DAT, 16% at 60 DAT and 18% at 90 DAT when CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was elevated up to 600 ppm and temperature increased by 2°C above the ambient level. Geethalakshmi *et al.* (2017) also reported that earlier transplanted crop (1<sup>st</sup> July) produced more

tillers m<sup>-2</sup> (1126) compared to those transplanted on 15<sup>th</sup> July under Tamilnadu condition of India.

#### **Effect on yield and yield attributing parameters**

**Number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> :** Number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> was the highest in T<sub>2</sub> (17.8) which differed significantly from T<sub>0</sub> (15.1) and at par with T<sub>1</sub> (16.8). Among the dates of transplanting, significantly higher number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in D<sub>2</sub> (17.9) followed by D<sub>1</sub> (16.8) and D<sub>3</sub> (15.0). Number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> affected by CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature treatment and dates of transplanting is depicted in Table 4.

**Length of panicle :** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature brought about statistically significant difference in the length of the panicle irrespective of dates of transplanting. Length of panicle was the highest in T<sub>2</sub> (24.9 cm) and was found to be significantly higher over T<sub>0</sub> (23.7 cm). Length of panicle under T<sub>1</sub> (24.1 cm) was statistically at par with T<sub>0</sub> (Table 3). Similarly, a significant difference in length of panicle was observed in different dates of transplanting. Longest panicle (25.2 cm) was produced in 2<sup>nd</sup> transplanted crop (D<sub>2</sub>) whereas D<sub>3</sub> recorded the shortest (22.6 cm) panicle (Table 4).

**Filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> :** Table 4 indicated that number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>1</sub> was 156.8, which was at par with T<sub>2</sub> (153.3) and significantly more compared to T<sub>0</sub> (135.8). On the other hand, highest number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was produced under D<sub>2</sub> (156.6) followed by D<sub>1</sub> (150.6) and D<sub>3</sub> (138.7) with respect to dates of transplanting.

**Table 3:** Plant height and tiller number in rice crop as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature and dates of transplanting during *kharif*, 2018

Treatment	Plant height (cm)			Tiller number (hill <sup>-1</sup> )		
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT
<b>(A)CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature treatment</b>						
T0	53.3	91.2	131.4	11.8	20.7	15.1
T1	60.4	108.8	137.4	13.8	22.7	16.8
T2	67.8	118.6	142.2	16.8	24.0	17.8
SEd	1.82	3.57	0.53	1.21	1.60	0.81
CD(0.05)	3.76	7.36	1.09	2.49	NS	1.67
<b>(B)Date of Transplanting</b>						
D1	60.5	106.0	138.0	14.5	22.3	16.8
D2	61.9	110.3	142.0	15.1	24.0	17.9
D3	59.1	102.4	131.0	12.7	21.0	15.0
SEd	1.82	3.57	0.53	1.21	1.60	0.81
CD(0.05)	NS	NS	1.09	NS	NS	1.67
<b>Interaction (A×B)</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

**Unfilled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>:** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels recorded significantly higher number of unfilled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> over the ambient condition. Number of unfilled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was the lowest (58.3) under T<sub>0</sub> and highest (102.1) under T<sub>2</sub> (Table 4). No significant effect of dates of transplanting was observed on unfilled grain number panicle<sup>-1</sup>. An average maximum temperature of 28.1 to 29.3°C in T<sub>1</sub> and 29.7 to 30.6°C in T<sub>2</sub> in contrast to the corresponding ambient temperature of 25.7 to 28.1°C was observed during the grain filling period which might be the main cause behind poor grain filling rate under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature condition. Similar to our findings, Satapathy *et al.* (2015) reported that average day time temperature of 28.1 to 30.4°C during the grain filling period, against the ambient temperature of 27.3 to 29.6°C decreased the grain filling rate in rice under West Bengal situation.

**1000-grain weight :** The 1000-grain weight was significantly higher under T<sub>2</sub> (20.5g) compared to T<sub>0</sub> (19.2 g) and was at par with T<sub>1</sub> (Table 4). Similarly, averaging over all the CO<sub>2</sub>-temperature levels, 1000-grain weight was highest (20.3 g) in D<sub>1</sub> which was at par with D<sub>2</sub> (20.1 g) but was found to differ significantly from D<sub>3</sub> (19.4 g). Similar to the present study, Rosalin *et al.* (2018) and Dwivedi *et al.* (2015) reported about 11% and 3% increase in 1000 grain weight when rice plants were exposed to 25% higher CO<sub>2</sub> and 2°C higher temperature than ambient.

**Grain yield hill<sup>-1</sup> :** A perusal of the data presented in Table 4

indicated a significant difference in grain yield hill<sup>-1</sup> due to different CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels and dates of transplanting. On an average, T<sub>2</sub> produced the highest grain yield (55.9 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) due to more number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>1</sub> (53.1 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>0</sub> (39.2 g hill<sup>-1</sup>). The per cent increment of grain yield over ambient condition (T<sub>0</sub>) was 42.6% and 35.5% in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> respectively due to more tillering, grain-bearing panicles, filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and individual grain weight. These results are in accordance with the findings of Krishnan *et al.* (2007), Satapathy *et al.* (2015) and Madan *et al.* (2012). Among the dates of transplanting, the lowest grain yield (40.6 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in 3<sup>rd</sup> transplanted crop (D<sub>3</sub>) and the highest (56.2 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) being recorded in 2<sup>nd</sup> transplanted crop (D<sub>2</sub>). The per cent increase in grain yield in D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>1</sub> over D<sub>3</sub> were 38.4% and 26.6% respectively. Rice plants under D<sub>2</sub> were exposed to a relatively higher temperature regime during the vegetative stage followed by D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>3</sub>. The favourable thermal regime combined with CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect were congenial for good growth and resulted in better accumulation of biomass and increased tiller number, which consequently increased grain and straw yield of rice in 10<sup>th</sup> July (D<sub>2</sub>) transplanted crop. Assessing the impacts of climate change on rice under different dates of transplanting, Geethalakshmi *et al.* (2017) reported marked increase in grain yield of rice planted on 10<sup>th</sup> July than the 15<sup>th</sup> July planted crop and referred this increasing yield to the prevalence of favourable weather conditions during different phenophases of crop growth.

**Table 4:** Yield and yield attributing parameters in rice crop as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature and dates of transplanting during *kharif*, 2018

Treatment	Number of panicles hill <sup>-1</sup>	Panicle length (cm)	Filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Unfilled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	1000 grain weight(g)	Grain yield hill <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Straw yield hill <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Biological yield hill <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Harvest Index
<b>(A) CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature treatment</b>									
T <sub>0</sub>	15.1	23.7	135.8	58.3	19.2	39.2	94.2	133.4	0.29
T <sub>1</sub>	16.8	24.1	156.8	87.2	20.2	53.1	101.1	154.1	0.34
T <sub>2</sub>	17.8	24.9	153.3	102.1	20.5	55.9	102.9	158.8	0.35
SEd	0.81	0.24	2.75	4.57	0.24	1.83	3.35	3.18	0.013
CD (0.05)	1.67	0.50	5.67	9.43	0.50	3.77	6.92	6.56	0.027
<b>(B) Date of Transplanting</b>									
D <sub>1</sub>	16.8	24.9	150.6	86.6	20.3	51.4	104.2	155.6	0.33
D <sub>2</sub>	17.9	25.2	156.6	83.4	20.1	56.2	106.7	162.9	0.34
D <sub>3</sub>	15.0	22.6	138.7	77.5	19.4	40.6	87.2	127.8	0.32
SEd	0.81	0.24	2.75	4.57	0.24	1.83	3.35	3.18	0.013
CD (0.05)	1.67	0.50	5.67	NS	0.50	3.77	6.92	6.56	NS
<b>Interaction (A×B)</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

**Straw yield hill<sup>-1</sup>:** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature brought about significant increase in straw yield in rice. Straw yield was significantly higher in T<sub>2</sub> (102.9 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) over T<sub>0</sub> (94.2 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with T<sub>1</sub> (101.1 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 4). The increase in straw yield hill<sup>-1</sup> over the ambient (T<sub>0</sub>) was 9.2% and 7.3% in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> respectively. With respect to dates of transplanting, straw yield was significantly higher in D<sub>2</sub> (106.7 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) and D<sub>1</sub> (104.2 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) compared to D<sub>3</sub> (87.2 g hill<sup>-1</sup>).

**Biological yield:** Data pertaining to the biological yield (Table 4) indicated that it was highest (158.8 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) in T<sub>2</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>1</sub> (154.1 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest (133.4 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) under ambient condition. A significant variation among dates of transplanting was observed in terms of biological yield, irrespective of CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature treatment. It was highest in D<sub>2</sub> (162.9 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) followed by D<sub>1</sub> (155.6 g hill<sup>-1</sup>) and D<sub>3</sub> (127.8 g hill<sup>-1</sup>).

**Harvest Index (HI):** A significant difference in HI was observed due to the different CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels. T<sub>2</sub> recorded the highest HI (0.35) and it was statistically at par with T<sub>1</sub> (0.34), while T<sub>0</sub> showed the lowest HI (Table 4.10). The per cent increase in HI in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> over T<sub>0</sub> was about 20.7% and 17.2% respectively. No significant difference in HI was observed with respect to dates of transplanting (Table 4).

## CONCLUSION

The study revealed that occurrence of different phenological stages in rice, duration of vegetative,

reproductive and ripening phases as well as plant morphological, yield attributes and yield were markedly influenced by varying levels of CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature enrichment and dates of transplanting. Total duration of the rice crop was reduced with elevation in CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels which was mostly due to distinct reduction in the duration of vegetative phase over the ambient. Similarly, delay in transplanting dates of rice from 25<sup>th</sup> June led to reduction of vegetative phase by 2.4-4.7 days irrespective of CO<sub>2</sub>-Temperature levels, consequently advancing the subsequent dates of occurrence of different phenological stages. Rice plants performed better under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-temperature conditions, however, number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was slightly reduced under T<sub>2</sub> due to exposure of the reproductive and maturity phase to a comparatively higher temperature regime resulting in spikelet sterility. Hence, elevation of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration up to 600 ppm and temperature up to 2°C will have no negative influence on growth and yield of rice crop under the agroclimatic condition of Jorhat.

## REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, P. K. and Mall, R. K. (2002). Climate change and rice yields in diverse agro-environments of India. II. Effect of uncertainties in scenarios and crop models on impact assessment. *Clim. Change*. 52(3): 331-343.
- Baker, J.J. and Allen, L. H. (1993). Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on rice: a summary of five growing seasons. *J. Agric. Meteorol.*, 48 (5): 575-582.

- Cho, J. and Oki, T. (2012). Application of temperature, water stress, CO<sub>2</sub> in rice growth models. *Rice*, 5: 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1939-8433-5-10>
- Dwivedi, S. K.; Kumar, S.; Prakash, V.; Mondal, S. and Mishra, J. S. (2015). Influence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and temperature on morpho-physiological traits and yield of rice genotypes in sub humid climate of Eastern India. *Am. J. Plant Sci.*, 6(14): 2239.
- Gebregergis, Z. (2016). Effect of Elevated Carbon Dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub> and Temperature on Crop Production: A Review. *J. Natl. Sci. Res.*, 6(7): 42-46.
- Geethalakshmi, V.; Bhuvanewari, K.; Lakshmanan, A. and Sekhar, N. U. (2017). Assessment of climate change impact on rice using controlled environment chamber in Tamil Nadu, India. *Curr. Sci.* 112(10): 2066-2072.
- IPCC (2014). Climate Change: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R. K. and Meyer, L. A.), Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, p. 151.
- IPCC (2018). Summary for Policymakers. *In*: Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H. O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla *et al.*, (eds.)]. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, p. 32.
- Jitla, D. S.; Rogers, G. S.; Seneweera, S. P.; Basra, A. S.; Oldfield, R. J. and Conroy, J. P. (1997). Accelerated early growth of rice at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>—is it related to developmental changes in the shoot apex? *Plant Physiol.*, 115(1): 15-22.
- Kaur, P.; Kaur, H.; Singh, H. and Sandhu, S. S. (2019). Effect of elevated temperature regimes on growth and yield of rice cultivars under temperature gradient tunnel (TGT) environments. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 21(3):241-248.
- Kim, H. Y.; Lieffering, M.; Kobayashi, K.; Okada, M.; Mitchell, M. W. and Gumpertz, M. (2003). Effects of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and nitrogen supply on the yield of temperate paddy rice crops. *Field Crops Res.*, 83(3): 261-270.
- Kim, H. and You, Y. (2010). The effects of the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and increased temperature on growth, yield and physiological responses of rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Junam). *Adv. Bio Res.*, 1(2): 46-50.
- Krishnan, P.; Swain, D. K.; Bhaskar, B. C.; Nayak, S. K. and Dash, R. N. (2007). Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on rice yield and methods of adaptation as evaluated by crop simulation studies. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 122(2): 233-242.
- Liu, S.; Waqas, M. A.; Wang, S. H.; Xiong, X. Y. and Wan, Y. F. (2017). Effects of increased levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and high temperatures on rice growth and quality. *PLoS One*. 12(11): e0187724. [journal.pone.0187724](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187724)
- Madan, P.; Jagadish, S. V. K.; Craufurd, P. Q.; Fitzgerald, M.; Lafarge, T. and Wheeler, T. R. (2012). Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and high temperature on seed-set and grain quality of rice. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 63(10): 3843-3852.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Department of Commerce, Global carbon dioxide growth in 2018 reached 4<sup>th</sup> highest on record, 22 March 2019; [www.noaa.gov](http://www.noaa.gov)
- Rani, B. A. and Maragatham, N. (2013). Effect of elevated temperature on rice phenology and yield. *Indian J. Sci. & Technol.*, 6(8): 5095-5097.
- Rosalin, B. P.; Pasupalak, S. and Baliarsingh, A. (2018). Effect of elevated carbon dioxide (eCO<sub>2</sub>) on yield and yield components of different rice cultivars in Odisha. *J. Pharmacogn & Phytochem.*, 7(1): 1398-1400.
- Satapathy, S. S.; Swain, D. K. and Herath, S. (2014). Field experiments and simulation to evaluate rice cultivar adaptation to elevated carbon dioxide and temperature in sub-tropical India. *Eur J Agron.*, 54: 21-33.
- Satapathy, S. S.; Swain, D. K.; Pasupalak, S. and Bhadoria, P. B. S. (2015). Effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and nutrient management on wet and dry season rice production in subtropical India. *The Crop J.*, 3(6): 468-480.
- Ward, R. M. (2007). Potential impact of temperature and carbon dioxide levels on rice quality. A Ph. D thesis submitted to School of Chemistry, University of Sydney.