

**Short communication**

**Thermal indices in rapeseed grown in the North Bank Plain Zone of Assam**

**PRASANTA NEOG, KUSHAL SARMAH and RIJUMANI RAJBONGSHI**

*Department of Agrometeorology, B.N. College of Agriculture, AAU Biswanath Chariali*

*Sonitpur -784176 (Assam)*

*Email: neogprasanta@rediffmail.com*

The phenothermal index (PTI) was identified to be an important index indicating the thermal unit accumulation per growth day, which decided the rate of growth and development of the crop while, another thermal time based unit, heat use efficiency (HUE) reflects the leaf growth, biomass production and seed yield of the crop in terms of thermal time (Neog and Chakravarty, 2005a). The HUE can be used to assess seed yield in relative terms. In present investigation an attempt has been made to find the effect of PTU and HTU on growth and development of two varieties of rapeseed *Brassica campestris* crop in the North Bank Plain Zone of Assam.

An experiment was conducted in three *rabi* seasons (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12) on the sandy loam soils of research farm area of B.N. College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, Biswanath Chariali, Assam (26°42'22" N, 93°15'2" E and 104 m AMSL). Two cultivars of rapeseed *viz.*, TS-36 and M-27 were sown on six different dates at the interval of seven days starting from 15<sup>th</sup> October to 19<sup>th</sup> November to enable the crop to get exposed to different thermal conditions during its various phenological stages. The crop was grown under *rainfed* conditions during both seasons. Recommended agronomic practices were followed for growing the cultivars. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Based on visual observations, different phenological events *viz.* emergence start, 1<sup>st</sup> flower appearance, 50 percent flowering and physiological maturity were identified following Neog *et. al.*, 2005. Daily data on meteorological parameters were collected from agrometeorological observatory, B.N. College of Agriculture (AAU) located near the experimental field. The thermal time or GDD requirement for attaining different phenological events was computed with 5°C as base temperature following Neog and Chakravarty, 2005b. In present study, Phenothermal index (PTI) expressed as degree days per growth days for vegetative stage (sowing to 1<sup>st</sup> flower appearance) and reproductive stages (1<sup>st</sup> flower

appearance to maturity) of the crop were calculated following Neog and Chakravarty (2005b) as follows

$$PTI = \frac{\text{Accumulated GDD for attain the physiological stage (}^{\circ}\text{C day)}}{\text{Number of days taken}}$$

Efficiency of thermal energy conversion for seed yield depends upon the genetic make up of the plant, flowering time and physical maturity of the genotype (Dhankhar and Singh, 2013). Thermal use efficiency (TUE) defined as the biomass accumulated during the given period per degree-day (Kar and Chakravarty, 1999, Neog and Chakravarty, 2005b) was also computed to compare the relative performance of mustard under various treatments using the formula (Sastry *et. al.*, 1985, Saha and Ghosh 2012, Dhankhar and Singh, 2013):

$$TUE = \frac{\text{Seed or total dry matter yield (kg/ha)}}{\text{Growing degree-days (}^{\circ}\text{C days)}}$$

Biomass at maturity was also taken. Seed yield and total dry matter of toria were correlated with thermal units by regression analysis.

It was observed that the cultivar M-27 matured between 95 to 108 days and variety TS-36 matured between 96 to 110 days in different sowings in all the three seasons. The thermal time expressed in terms of growing degree days (GDD) required for attaining maturity in M-27 ranged from 1353.3 to 1430.0°C day, in different sowing dates. In case of TS-36, the degree day accumulation at maturity were found to be relatively higher and varied from 1387.7 to 1457.5°C day °D (Table 2). These differences could probably due to relatively longer growth duration in TS-36.

**Thermal use efficiency**

Thermal use efficiency (TUE) in TS-36 varied from 0.57 to 1.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C day<sup>-1</sup>, whereas, in M-27 it varied from 0.48 to 1.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C day<sup>-1</sup> in different sowing dates in three crop seasons (Table 3). Therefore these indices can be used to assess seed yield in relative terms. From the Table 3 it is

**Table 1:** Thermal time requirement (days °C) to attain 50% flowering of rapeseed

Variety	DOS	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Mean
TS-36	15 <sup>th</sup> Oct	593.0	587.4	567.7	582.7
	22 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	574.9	560.9	563.3	566.4
	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct	559.3	548.9	571.5	559.9
	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov	560.2	568.5	572.8	567.2
	12 <sup>th</sup> Nov	535.5	557.8	544.4	545.9
	19 <sup>th</sup> Nov	542.2	551.0	523.0	538.7
M-27	15 <sup>th</sup> Oct	576.0	552.4	552.9	560.4
	22 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	575.0	560.9	563.3	566.4
	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct	530.3	564.6	570.2	555.0
	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov	521.5	554.8	560.0	545.4
	12 <sup>th</sup> Nov	522.5	557.8	534.2	538.2
	19 <sup>th</sup> Nov	520.3	551.0	509.9	527.1
Over all Mean	550.9	559.7	552.8	554.4	
SD	25.34	10.55	20.65	15.74	
CV	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	
SE	1.08	0.45	0.88	0.67	

**Table 2:** Thermal time requirement (days °C) to attain physical maturity of rapeseed

Variety	DOS	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Mean
TS-36	15 <sup>th</sup> Oct	1457.5	1429.3	1423.4	1436.7
	22 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	1414.6	1453.9	1387.7	1418.7
	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct	1412.1	1425.8	1397.1	1411.7
	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov	1406.5	1425.6	1408.3	1413.5
	12 <sup>th</sup> Nov	1407.2	1421.2	1416.5	1415.0
	19 <sup>th</sup> Nov	1412.0	1415.3	1425.1	1417.5
M-27	15 <sup>th</sup> Oct	1434.7	1429.3	1401.3	1421.8
	22 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	1427.8	1430.0	1373.5	1410.4
	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct	1399.1	1383.7	1369.8	1384.2
	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov	1363.9	1355.3	1375.0	1364.7
	12 <sup>th</sup> Nov	1361.3	1360.6	1384.6	1368.8
	19 <sup>th</sup> Nov	1353.3	1362.7	1380.0	1365.3
Over all Mean	1404.2	1407.7	1395.2	1402.4	
SD	31.12	33.05	19.72	24.73	
CV	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	
SE	0.81	0.88	0.53	0.66	

**Table 3:** Thermal use efficiency ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C day}^{-1}$ ) in TS-36 and M-27 in different crop seasons

Variety	DOS	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Mean
TS-36	15 <sup>th</sup> Oct	0.87	0.86	0.73	0.8
	22 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	1.02	0.92	0.98	1.0
	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct	1.10	1.23	1.14	1.2
	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov	0.99	0.83	1.05	1.0
	12 <sup>th</sup> Nov	0.81	0.69	0.83	0.8
	19 <sup>th</sup> Nov	0.65	0.57	0.61	0.6
M-27	15 <sup>th</sup> Oct	0.83	0.68	0.68	0.7
	22 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	0.96	0.90	0.94	0.9
	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct	1.03	1.13	1.11	1.1
	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov	0.94	0.81	1.04	0.9
	12 <sup>th</sup> Nov	0.77	0.66	0.75	0.7
	19 <sup>th</sup> Nov	0.60	0.48	0.60	0.6
Over all Mean	0.88	0.81	0.87	0.86	
SD		0.16	0.22	0.20	0.18
CV		0.18	0.27	0.22	0.21
SE		0.17	0.24	0.21	0.20

**Table 4:** Phenothermal index (Degree day/growth day) of vegetative and reproductive stage in TS- 36 and M-27

Phenological events	Year	Sowing dates						Mean
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	
<b>TS -36</b>								
Vegetative stage	2009-10	19.43	18.54	17.59	16.34	15.46	14.42	16.96
(sowing to first flower appearance)	2010-11	20.02	18.79	17.62	16.70	15.33	13.79	17.04
	2011-12	15.08	14.44	14.56	14.77	14.49	13.58	14.49
Reproductive stage	2009-10	13.41	13.03	12.79	12.70	12.49	13.24	12.94
(First flower appearance to maturity)	2010-11	13.08	12.22	12.02	11.89	12.02	12.45	12.28
	2011-12	12.26	12.02	11.97	12.00	12.22	12.70	12.19
<b>M-27</b>								
Vegetative stage	2009-10	19.49	18.48	17.42	16.36	15.33	14.42	16.92
(sowing to first flower appearance)	2010-11	20.13	18.84	17.42	16.34	15.31	13.79	16.97
	2011-12	15.05	14.44	14.56	14.68	14.57	13.58	14.48
Reproductive stage	2009-10	13.53	12.98	12.72	12.63	12.56	12.79	12.87
(First flower appearance to maturity)	2010-11	13.14	12.29	11.86	11.69	11.81	12.24	12.17
	2011-12	12.34	11.99	11.89	11.95	12.11	12.61	12.15

evident that the TUE was more in the plants sown in early dates indicating the maximum TUE to produce a unit of biomass. This sort of relative assessment could be used to decide the optimum dates of sowing based on thermal time in any given locality depending upon the thermal time. The

similar results were obtained by Saha and Ghosh (2012) and Khan *et. al.*, (2010).

#### **Phenothermal index**

In all the three crop season, Phenothermal index (PTI)

varied from 13.58 to 20.13<sup>0</sup>D/growth day during the vegetative growth period of both the cultivars and in all the sowing dates while, in the reproductive growth stage, it was comparatively lower and ranged from 11.69 to 13.53<sup>0</sup>D/growth day in both the cultivars and crop seasons (Table 4). In the vegetative crop growth stage, the PTI was maximum in the crop sown on 15<sup>th</sup> October and gradually decreased as sowing was delayed. The similar results were also reported by Neog and Chakravarty (2005b). The prevailing high day and night temperatures during early growth stages of plants sown on early dates resulted in higher PTI.

#### **Above ground biomass production**

The above ground biomass *i.e.*, totals dry matter production at the maturity in TS-36 varied from 24.59 to 81.59 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, where as in M-27, it varied from 15.92 to 74.45 q/ha in various sowing dates in the entire three crops seasons. The biomass production was higher in TS-36 as compared to M-27 being highest in the crop sown on 29<sup>th</sup> October which may due to higher leaf area index and lowest in the crop sown on 19<sup>th</sup> November. The biomass decreased gradually as the sowing was delayed in both the cultivars and seasons. Relatively shorter vegetative period in case of late sown crops might have caused significant reduction of biomass production in both the cultivars and seasons. The similar results were obtained by Roy and Chakravarty (2007) and Khushu *et. al.*, (2008). The biomass was relatively higher in plants sown during second season in TS-36 as compared to the other seasons. In the second season, more rainfall caused early maturity in both the cultivars.

The seed weight (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was maximum in the first season as compared to second and third season irrespective of the cultivars and date of sowings. The seed yield was maximum in TS-36 as compared to M-27 due to higher TUE and PTI. Lower TUE and PTI in the crops sown in late conditions was reflected in lower biomass production and lower seed yield in those sowings.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Dhankhar, S.K. and Singh, Surender (2013). Thermal requirement for flowering and fruit yield attainment in advance lines of okra. *J. Agromet.*, 15(1): 39-42.
- Kanth, R.H., Shah, M.H. and Bali, A.S. (2000). Effect of different sowing dates on heat unit requirement for different phenophases of brown sarson (*Brassica campestris*) varieties and dynamics of aphids (*Lipaphis erysimi*) population. *Indian J. Agron.*, 45(1): 170-173.
- Kar, G and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (1999). Thermal growth rate, heat and radiation utilization efficiency of *Brassica* under semiarid environment. *J. Agromet.*, 1(1): 41-49.
- Khan S. A., Marak H. C., Gupta A. and Sarmah Kushal (2010): Effects of dates of sowing on phenology, thermal and radiation regimes, and yield of wheat. *J. Agromet.* 12(1):53-57
- Khushu, M.K., Rahman, N.U., Singh, M., Prakaash, A., Tiku, A.K. and Bali, A.S. (2008). Thermal time indices for some mustard genotypes in the Jammu region. *J. Agromet.* 10(2):224-227.
- Neog, P. and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (2005 a). Thermal time and phenological model for *Brassica Juncea*. *J. Agromet.*, 7(2):174-181.
- Neog, P., and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (2005 b). Thermal indices in *Brassica* grown under a semi-arid environment. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series.* 26(2): 291-296.
- Neog, P., Chakravarty, N.V.K., Srivastava, A.K., Bhagawati, G., Katyar, R.K. and Singh, H.B. (2005). Thermal time and its relationship with seed yield and oil productivity in *Brassica* cultivars. *Brassica*, 7(1 & 2): 63-70.
- Roy, S. and Chakravarty, N.V.K. (2007) Phenological developments and biomass partitioning in *Brassica* as influenced by weather conditions. *J. Agromet.* 9(2):216-222.
- Saha R and Ghosh P K. (2012). Relationship between growth and yield of toria (*Brassica rapa* var *napus*) with thermal indices under residue management and sowing practices in hill ecosystem of North-eastern India. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.* 82(8):686-91
- Saha, Gautam and Khan, S.A. (2008). Predicting yield and yield attributes of yellow sarson with agrometeorological parameters. *J. Agromet (Special Issue – Part I)*: 115-119
- Sastry P S N, Chakravarty N V K and Rajput R P (1985). Suggested index for characterization of crop response to thermal environment. *Int. J. Eco. Env. Sc.* 11: 25-30
- Singh, R., Rao, V.U.M. and Singh, D. (2004). Effect of thermal regime on growth and development of Indian *Brassicacae*. *J. Agromet.*, 6(1): 55-61.