Short communication Estimation of crop water requirement based on Penman-Monteith approach under micro-irrgation system

S.B.GADGE, S.D.GORANTIWAR, VIRENDRA KUMAR¹ and MAHESH KOTHARI

Department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Dr.A.S.CAE, MPKV, Rahuri. ¹Department of Soil and Water engineering, MPUAT, Udaipur.

The crop water requirement depends on age of the crop, season, location of growing and management strategies to be adopted and their computation needs the information on reference crop evapotranspiration, crop coefficient etc. Absence of this information may lead to either under or over application of water. Amongst different methods for estimating evapotranspiration rates, the climatological based methods are widely used. The study was therefore carried out to estimate crop evapotranspiration for different crops growing under surface and microirrigation methods in the command area. A spreadsheet based computer model was developed to estimate the crop evapotranspiration values and compute the water requirement.

The climatological data obtained from meteorological observatory located at All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Water Management, MPKV, Rahuri for the period from 1975 to 2005 was used for this study. The crops considered in this study were papaya, banana, sugarcane, pomegranate, lime, grapes, *kharif* soybean, *rabi* tomato, *kharif* groundnut, *rabi* onion, cotton, gram, potato, *kharif* brinjal, cabbage, summer brinjal, summer cucumber, summer onion, summer okra, summer groundnut and summer chilli. The agronomical details of these crops are presented in Table 1.

The rotational water supply system is followed in the canal command area of Mula Irrigation Project. The irrigation rotation period was of 14 days. The rotation was based on 7 days "ON" and 7 days "OFF" period. Hence, the water requirement under surface irrigation method was estimated considering an irrigation interval of 14 days i.e. total of 26 irrigation indices per year.

Reference crop evapotranspiration values were calculated using the Penman-Monteith method (Allen *et al.*, 1998). This method is recommended by FAO and many researchers found that this method is close to the actual measurement of ETo compared to other methods (Patil and Gorantiwar, 2009). The crop evapotranspiration (ETc) values were calculated on daily basis using a one dimensional

empirical model as follow:

ETc = ETo * Kc(2)

where,

ETc = maximum crop evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹)

Kc = daily crop coefficient

The water requirement (WR) by the surface irrigation methods is equal to the crop evapotranspiration. However water requirement by the micro irrigation method is less than the water requirement by the surface irrigation methods. This is due to the fact that under microirrigation methods unlike in surface irrigation methods, it is possible to apply water to the effective root zone only. Hence, the daily water requirements for different crop under microirrigation methods were estimated as follows:

$$WR = \frac{ETc * Wa}{100} \tag{3}$$

where,

WR = daily water requirement (mm)

Wa = percentage of the total area the area to be wetted

The wetted area considered for estimation of water requirement of different crops is presented in Table 1.

The stage wise crop coefficient for papaya, banana, sugarcane, cucumber, grape, papaya, brinjal and cabbage were adopted from Allen *et al.*, (1998) and the stage wise crop coefficient for onion, cotton, soybean, potato and tomato were adopted from the Doorenbos and Kassana (1979) since the location specific data on the stage wise crop coefficient for these crops were not available. However for gram, groundnut, chilli and okra, the location specific data were available (Patil and Gorantiwar, 2009) and hence these data were adopted for this study. The crop coefficient equation developed for calculating daily Kc values are presented in Table 2.

The daily reference crop evapotranspiration values

Crop	Planting date	Spacing	Crop duration , (days)	Wetted area ,%		
Banana	22 nd Jan	15 m x 15m	365	0.0		
Brinjal <i>(kharif</i>)	15 th June	90 cm x75 cm	200	0.0		
Brinjal(Summer)	15 th Jan	90 cm x75 cm	200	0.0		
Cabbage	15 th Sep.	45 cm x 30 cm	80	0.7		
Chilli (Sımmer)	15° Feb.	60 cm x 45 cm	200	0.0		
Cotton	l" April	60 cm x 90 cm	180	0.0		
Cucumber	15 [#] Feb.	100 cm x 50 cm	120	0.0		
Gram	15 th Oct.	30 cm x 10 cm	120	1		
Grapes	15 th June	$3 m \times 1.5 m$	365	2.0		
Ground nut (Rharff)	15 th June	45 cm xl5 cm	110	1		
Groundnut (Summer)	15th Jan.	45 cm xl5 cm	125	1		
Lime	15 th June	6m x6m	365	0.2		
Okra (Summer)	15 th Jan.	30 cm x 20 cm	125	8.0		
Onion (Rabi)	15 th Nov.	12.5cm x 7.5 cm	120	1		
Onion (Summer)	15th Jan	12.5cm x 7.5 cm	120	1		
Рарауа	15 th Jume	225 m x 225m	365	0.4		
Pome granate	15th June	45mx3m	365	0.2		
Potato (Rada)	15 th Oct.	45 cm x 30 cm	110	1		
Soybean (Kharif)	lst June	30 x 10 cm	100	0.0		
Tomato (Rabi)	15 th Sep.	90 cm x 30 cm	160	0.2		

 Table 1: Agronomical details of crop and % wetted area under microirrigation methods

 Table 2: Crop coefficient equations for different crops

Sr No.	Chrop	Equation
1	Banana	$Kc_{t} = -5.5216 \binom{t}{T}^{2} + 9.3442 \binom{t}{T}^{2} - 3.7538 \binom{t}{T}^{2} + 0.9312$
2	Brinjal	$Kc_{t} = -0.0559 \left\{ \frac{l}{T} \right\}^{2} + 0.3221 \left\{ \frac{l}{T} \right\}^{2} - 0.3365 \left\{ \frac{l}{T} \right\}^{1} + 0.6706$
3	Cabbage	$Kc_{t} = -2.5179 \left\{ \frac{t}{T} \right\}^{8} + 3.2709 \left\{ \frac{t}{T} \right\}^{2} = 0.4504 \left\{ \frac{t}{T} \right\}^{4} + 0.6540$
4	Chilli	$Ke_{t} = 18.934 {t \choose T}^{4} - 46.851 {t \choose T}^{2} + 37.563 {t \choose T}^{2} - 10.009 {t \choose T}^{4} + 0.3751$
5	Cotton	$Kc_{t} = -3.531\left\{\frac{L}{T}\right\}^{2} + 3.3511\left\{\frac{L}{T}\right\}^{2} + 0.1907\left\{\frac{L}{T}\right\}^{2} + 0.3283$
6	Cucumber	$Kc_t = -3.0594 \left\{ \frac{L}{2} \right\}^2 + 3.4474 \left\{ \frac{L}{2} \right\}^2 - 0.1636 \left\{ \frac{L}{2} \right\}^2 + 0.5257$
7	Gram	$Kc_{t} = 2.3265 {\binom{t}{r}}^{2} + 8.5508 {\binom{t}{r}}^{2} - 24.573 {\binom{t}{r}}^{2} + 14.708 {\binom{t}{r}}^{2} - 1.8175X {\binom{t}{r}}^{2} + 0.8955$
8	бтаре	$Kc_{t} = -2.4275 \left\{ \frac{L}{T} \right\}^{3} + 1.8015 \left\{ \frac{L}{T} \right\}^{2} + 0.5551 \left\{ \frac{L}{T} \right\}^{4} + 0.2176$
9	Groundnut	$Kc_{t} = -10.010 \left\{\frac{t}{T}\right\}^{5} + 13.509 \left\{\frac{t}{T}\right\}^{4} - 2.909 \left\{\frac{t}{T}\right\}^{8} - 2.6603 \left\{\frac{t}{T}\right\}^{2} + 2.0073 \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{4} + 0.4951$
10	Okra	$Kc_{t} = -6.638 {t \choose t}^{2} + 11.421 {t \choose T}^{2} - 4.0514 {t \choose T}^{2} + 1.0685$
11	Onion	$Kc_{t} = -2.0254 \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \right\}^{3} + 1.6824 \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \right\}^{2} + 0.7475 \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \right\}^{4} + 0.3761$
12	Рарауа	$Kc_1 = -1.8068 \left\{ \frac{t}{m} \right\}^8 + 1.9361 \left\{ \frac{t}{m} \right\}^2 + 0.2567 \left\{ \frac{t}{m} \right\}^4 + 0.6404$
13	Potato	$Kc_{t} = -3.9004 \left\{ \frac{t}{T} \right\}^{0} + 4.3632 \left\{ \frac{t}{T} \right\}^{2} - 0.1176 \left\{ \frac{t}{T} \right\}^{2} + 0.1575$
14	Soybean	$Kc_{t} = 2.7429 {\binom{t}{T}}^{4} - 10.583 {\binom{t}{T}}^{7} + 8.5733 {\binom{t}{T}}^{2} - 0.5305 {\binom{t}{T}}^{3} + 0.2471$
15	Sugarcane	$Kc_t = -1.7221\left\{\frac{1}{77}\right\}^2 - 0.3629\left\{\frac{1}{77}\right\}^2 + 2.7115\left\{\frac{1}{77}\right\}^2 + 0.1231$
16	Tomato	$Ke_1 = -5.2792 \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \right\}^8 + 5.0941 \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \right\}^2 + 0.1121 \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \right\}^4 + 0.3947$

Where,

 $Kc_t = crop \text{ coefficient of } t^{th} day.$

t = day considered.

T = total period of crop growth from sowing to harvesting (days).

 $a_0, a_1, a_2 \dots =$ constants of equations.

(Note: R^2 value for above equation is greater than 0.8)

	8.CháTh	•	•	•	20,23	27.08	50 50	22.4.5	90 9 0	ß ‡	33,88	1033	50.05	31.76	€ 280	202	8 2	35.03	1622	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		c
*	Grout		31.45	61 14	56.39	82 M.	内あ	100.97	117.68	123.02	05'33											•				•	•		<u></u> %
6 6	٩Þ							26.82																		•			52
	8 Onion	•	22.25	32.04	≋ ‡	0115	8 7	20	10117	105.56	3137	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		9
і С Р					1631	25,42	3311	+3.0 ∔	55.04	66	66	<i>163</i>	36.04																G
Brink			20.37	22.13	24.11	20.34	1162	3139	あま	3819	3815	38.75	30.20	26.63	24.02	22.77	5	•				•	•		•	•	•		3
	Cabbage	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17.92	2798	ጽ ጆ	3826	888	24.47	219	•		G
	Potato (006+	±13	1188	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10 %	23.09	28.54	ጽ ጽ	11.14	616 +		q
	Gam	3635	21.86	8.02				•														25.87	+8.03	51.32	54.22	54.47	3137		8 4
4	Omion	+0.33	2019	59.22	62.23	E S	13.04	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	333	21.82	26.77	R ⊼		5
	Iomato	89 X	E X	3139	8.4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11 29	18.76	2410	27.69	8 8	82	33.09	38.41		G
	Brinjal	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	53	30.07	23.68	Б	22.54	22.66	51.67	21.44	20.27	20 52	19.07	17.74	1636	13.86	1688		R
	Cotton	•	•	•	•			10.62	23.45	32.22	39.60	+18+	+3.43	910	+2.07	41.59	1214	8.9	34.02	30.41	į	•	•	•		•	•		3
Я.	Groat	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		8.6	86.05	56.04	58.93	ň	8 R	73.29	1269	24.76	•	•			•	•		Ŧ
5	Soytean	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3.47	14.07	22.47	3137	38,49	÷135	38,00	22.66	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		g
		1943	22.14	2510	2815	8.1X	34.42	3640	3824	39,88	3624	32.26	1923	56 6	9.6	10.40	11.59	1331	14.45	1616	16.6	18.75	18.56	1825	17.6	17.72	1922		۴٩
	Iime	7.40	825	925	1036	11.81	13.06	14 30	15.88	17.73	17.71	18.04	14.00	12.27	10.95	10.24	10.01	1017	9.87	8	938	₹. 6	897	817	755	724	52		8
Pome	grade	663	124	817	971	11.07	1225	13.41	æ.‡	1 6,62	16,66	1691	1313	11.50	1026	076	939	933	916	937	879	913	840	775	708	629	707		88
	Care	% ≁	₹. 8	13.28	18.68	25.49	31.68	14.04	19.64	G'0	6759	R	5788	32.96	6 84	t(97	89¥	+7.95	46.39	E:	1164	972 1	38.48	93.59	28.55	34 33	22.87		3
	Banana	1911	29.40	27.6	26.90	2712	2713	27.63	2938	31.6	33.38	3339	3916	17.51	26.51	2688	28.41	31.08	3131	R ⊼	6°.≭	373	33.58	33.62	3113	29.87	20.02		5
	Paper	20.29	13.18	26.50	3014	R ≭	38.64	4132	4C34	21.53	30.G	20.01	8. ⊼	19.95	1827	17,60	1793	18 <i>9</i> 7	1922	20.30	1991	21.59	20.70	19.85	18.83	18.69	2011		14
Bud :		-	2	m	÷	'n		r	60	0	9	1	11	a	ħ	2	1	17	18	ទ	30	11	22	ព	34	52	21	Water	Swin 2021

(Note: R=Rabi, K= Kharif, S= Summer, Period no. 1= 1-14th Jan and subsequent periods are fortnight of every subsequent two weeks)

Table 3: Water requirement (mm) of crops under microirrigation method

computed by Penman-Monteith method for thirty one years (1975- 2005). The was observed to vary between 2.85 mm to 8.33 mm day⁻¹.

Usually the surface irrigation systems are designed for 60% efficiency. Hence the values of water to be applied to different crops under surface irrigation method were estimated based on this efficiency. Similarly, the values of water to be applied to different crops under microirrigation method are estimated based on 95% efficiency. The depths of water to be applied to different crops under microirrigation methods are presented in Tables 3. The crop water requirement under microirrigation methods is less than surface irrigation methods. The saving in water for microirrigation method was observed to be maximum under pomegranate and lime (88%) and minimum for the summer groundnut (38%) when compared to the surface method of irrigation. Similar saving in water was also observed for other crops under microirrigation methods. The per cent saving in microirrigation methods was observed to be 75 % for papaya, 62 % for banana, 64 % for sugarcane, 73 % for grapes, 68 % for *kharif* soybean, 61 % for rabi tomato, 43 % for kharif groundnut, 51 % for rabi onion,65 % for cotton, 48% for gram, 53 % for potato, 70 % for kharif brinjal, 63 % for cabbage, 65 % for summer brinjal, 63 % for summer cucumber, 40 % for summer onion,

52 % for summer okra and 63 % for summer chilli when compared to the water requirement under surface method of irrigation. Thus there is a saving of at least 50% of water if drip irrigation method is used instead of surface irrigation method. This indicates that in case of water scarcity there is possibility of bringing additional area under irrigation by using the saved amount of water.

REFERENCE

- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith, M. (1998). Crop Evapotranspiration, Guideline for Computing Crop Water Requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO Rome, Italy, 300 pp.
- Doorenbos, J. and Pruitt, W.O. (1977). Guidelines for Predicting Crop Water Requirement. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24, FAO, Rome, Italy, 156 pp.
- Doorenbos, J. and Kassam A.H. (1979). Yield Response to Water. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 33, FAO, Rome, Italy, 193 pp.
- Patil, P.D. and Gorantiwar, S.D. (2009). Probability analysis of weekly crop evapotranspiration of Rahuri region.

Received : June 2010; Accepted: April 2011