# Assessment of climate change impact on productivity of different cereal crops in Varanasi, India

# M. K. YADAV, R. S. SINGH, K. K. SINGH<sup>1</sup>, R. K. MALL<sup>2</sup>, C. B. PATEL, S.K. YADAV<sup>3</sup> and M. K. SINGH<sup>4</sup>

Department of Geophysics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221 005 <sup>1</sup>Agromet Service Cell, India Meteorological Department, New Delhi 110003 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Environment & Sustainable Development, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005 <sup>3</sup>Central Potato Research Station, Shillong, Meghalaya 793009 <sup>4</sup>Department of Agronomy, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005 *E-mail : manojagro@gmail.com* 

# ABSTRACT

Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer (DSSAT v4.5) Cropping System Model (CSM) was used to study the impact of climate change and variability on productivity of different kharif (rice, maize, jowar and bajra) and rabi crops (wheat and barley) at Varanasi. Keeping in view the observed trends in climate variability, productivity of different kharif and rabi crops were simulated under plausible synthetic climatic scenarios of changes in temperature, solar radiation and carbon dioxide. Productivity of kharif crops viz. rice, maize, jowar and bajra and rabi crops viz. wheat, and barley decreased with an increase in temperature or a decrease in solar radiation above normal. However, productivity of different kharif and rabi crops increased under expected enhanced CO, concentrations. Highest productivity decreased in barley crop (40.7%) of rabi season and minimum in rice crop (5%) of kharif season with an increase of 3.0 °C in temperature from normal. Whereas, maximum productivity decreased in barley crop (5.0%) of rabi season and minimum in jowar crop (1.8%) of kharif season with a decrease of 2.5 per cent in solar radiation from normal. Highest productivity increase in barley crop (58.2%) of rabi season and lowest in jowar crop (4.2%) of kharif season were simulated under expected enhanced CO, concentration of 660 ppm. The maximum decrease in productivity of barley crop (45%) in rabi season and minimum in rice crop (7%) in kharif season were simulated when a decrease in temperature by 3 °C and solar radiation by 2.5 per cent from normal. Highest counter-balance on productivity of rice crop (13%) in kharif season and lowest in barja crop (-23%) of kharif season were simulated when an increase in temperature by 3 °C from normal under expected enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 660 ppm.

Key words: Crop simulation model DSSAT, climate change, cereals, rice, maize, wheat.

Rice, maize, *jowar* and *bajra* are the predominant *kharif* crops and wheat and barley are the predominant *rabi* crops of Varanasi district. Crop productivity which is highly dependent on climatic changes and variability will need to be maintained at a higher level to meet the future food demands of increasing population. Climatic changes and variability (temperature, radiation, rainfall and carbon dioxide) can affect the yields of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops through their direct as well as indirect effects such as weather-induced changes in incidence of insect-pests (Cammell and Knight, 1992), diseases (Fand *et al.*, 2012) and requirement or availability of water for irrigation (Panda *et al.*, 2003). The direct effects of increased levels of CO<sub>2</sub> are

generally beneficial to vegetation though global warming and other climatic changes may have a range of negative or positive impacts depending on complex interactions among managed and unmanaged ecosystems (Rosenzweig and Parry, 1994; Long *et al.*, 2006). The past two decades have witnessed globally a rapid increase in the awareness about climatic changes and triggered widespread apprehension amongst scientists and governments about their global implications (Cooper *et al.*, 2009; Byjesh *et al.*, 2010). According to IPCC (2007) the CO<sub>2</sub> levels will increase to 605-755 ppm by 2070 and warming of  $1.5^{\circ}$ C by 2015-50 and  $3.0^{\circ}$ C by 2050-2100. Hume and Cattle (1990) have reported that although the solar radiation received at the surface will be variable geographically, on an average it is expected to decrease slightly by about 1.0 per cent. Recent studies on changes in climate predicted by global climate models (GCMs) suggest that in addition to thermal stress due to global warming, stress on water availability in tropical Asia is likely to be exacerbated in future. In view of futuristic changes in climate, it is imperative to assess their impact on crop productivity for a given region. Simulation techniques are easy, time-saving and economical for studying the influence of climatic variability on growth and yield of the crops. Several such attempts have been made for predicting productivity of different crops under changing climatic conditions (Tubiello *et al.*, 2002; Hundal and Kaur, 2007; Zacharias *et al.*, 2014).

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Taking into account the anticipated regional climatic changes, the effects of changes in temperature, solar radiation and carbon dioxide and interaction of temperature, solar radiation and carbon dioxide on yield of different kharif and rabi crops under Varanasi conditions were studied by using DSSAT v4.5. Summary of genetic coefficients of cultivars of different kharif and rabi crops used in simulation are given in Table 1. The model was calibrated and validated with experimental data of each crop. After validation of model the sensitivity analysis were carried out by changing in input weather parameters. Daily historical weather data at Varanasi (25°18' north latitude, 83°1' east longitude and 76 metre above mean sea level) were analysed to determine climatic variability trends by regressing yearly moving average weather parameter against time. On the basis of climatic variability trends observed in the district, plausible synthetic scenarios of normal and increase or decrease, and interactions of temperature (maximum and minimum) and solar radiation were generated for the simulation study. The widely accepted approach to analyse possible effects of different climatic parameters on crop growth and yield by specifying the incremental changes to climatic parameter and applying these changes uniformly to baseline/normal climate was employed in the present study (Hundal and Kaur, 2007). In this study one variable at a time was modified and its effect on productivity of different kharif and rabi crops were studied, while taking all the other climate variables to be normal. The major reason for using incremental variable scenarios is that they capture a wide range of potential changes. Subsequently, the combination of two variables was interactively modified to assess their combination effect on productivity of crops.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Effect of temperature change

When maximum and minimum temperatures were changed by  $\pm 3.0$  °C from normal, productivity of rice, maize, *jowar*, *bajra*, wheat and barley affected substantially (Table 2). In general, increasing temperature had negative and decreasing temperature had positive impact on productivity of different kharif and rabi crops. Highest productivity decreased in barley crop (40.7%) of rabi season and minimum in rice crop (5%) of kharif season were simulated with an increase of 3.0 °C in temperature from normal. The productivity of remaining crops decreased by 11.8 per cent in maize, 19.3 per cent in jowar, 37.0 per cent in bajra under kharif season and by 18.9 per cent in wheat under rabi season with an increase in both temperatures by 3.0 °C from normal. However, productivity increased by 13.1 per cent in rice, 22.9 per cent in maize, 25.0 per cent in jowar, 55.1 per cent in bajra during kharif season and by 6.3 per cent in wheat and 34.9 per cent in barley during rabi season with a decrease in both temperatures by 3.0 °C from normal. Yield reductions in different kharif and rabi crops due to increasing temperature are mediated through reduction in crop and grain filling duration and grain number. High temperature during flowering stage induces spikelet sterility which might be due to anther indehiscence in *kharif* crops. whereas, high temperature during reproductive stage reduces pollen viability, fertilization, seed set and grain filling in rabi crops (Manpreet Kaur et al., 2013; Saxena and Naresh Kumar, 2014; Dubey et al., 2014; Bapuji Rao et al., 2015; Zacharias et at., 2014; Mishra et al., 2015).

#### Effect of solar radiation change

The effect of 2.5 per cent decrease or increase in solar radiation from normal on productivity of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops are shown in Table 2. In general, crop productivity increased with an increase in radiation level above the normal value and vice versa. It is observed that increasing solar radiation had positive and decreasing solar radiation had negative impact on productivity of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops. Maximum productivity decrease in barley crop (5.0%) of *rabi* season and minimum in *jowar* crop (1.8%) of *kharif* season were simulated with a decrease of 2.5 per cent in solar radiation from normal. The productivity of remaining crops decreased by 2.3 per cent in rice, 2.1 per cent in maize, 4.1 per cent in *bajra* during *kharif* season, and by 2.2 per cent in wheat during *rabi* season with a decrease of 2.5 per cent in solar radiation from normal. Whereas,

| _          |                  |      |                | 3    |                  |       |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |
|------------|------------------|------|----------------|------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|------|----------------|-----|------------------|-----|
| Rice       |                  | e    | Maize          |      | Jowar            |       | Bajra                 |      | Wheat          |     | Barley           |     |
| (HUR 3022) |                  | 022) | (Kanchan)      |      | (CSV3)           |       | (PHB 14)              |      | (HUW 510)      |     | (K 125)          |     |
|            | P <sub>1</sub>   | 300  | P <sub>1</sub> | 275  | P <sub>1</sub>   | 440   | <b>P</b> <sub>1</sub> | 140  | $P_1V$         | 34  | $P_1V$           | 10  |
|            | $P_2R$           | 150  | $P_2$          | 0.5  | P <sub>2</sub> O | 13.5  | P <sub>2</sub> O      | 13.5 | $P_1D$         | 85  | P <sub>1</sub> D | 20  |
|            | P <sub>5</sub>   | 290  | P <sub>5</sub> | 500  | $P_2R$           | 190.1 | $P_2R$                | 155  | P <sub>5</sub> | 895 | P <sub>5</sub>   | 650 |
|            | P <sub>2</sub> O | 11.8 | $G_2$          | 800  | P <sub>5</sub>   | 620   | P <sub>5</sub>        | 580  | G <sub>1</sub> | 20  | G <sub>1</sub>   | 20  |
|            | $G_1$            | 45   | G <sub>3</sub> | 8    | $G_1$            | 9     | $G_1$                 | 2    | G <sub>2</sub> | 30  | G <sub>2</sub>   | 40  |
|            | $G_2$            | 0.02 | PHINT          | 38.9 | $G_2$            | 4.5   | $G_4$                 | 0.5  | G <sub>3</sub> | 1.4 | G <sub>3</sub>   | 1.5 |
|            | G <sub>3</sub>   | 1    |                |      | PHINT            | 59    | PHINT                 | 43   | PHINT          | 93  | PHINT            | 65  |
|            | $G_4$            | 0.8  |                |      | P <sub>3</sub>   | 177.5 |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |
|            |                  |      |                |      | $P_4$            | 81.5  |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |
|            |                  |      |                |      | P <sub>2</sub>   | 142   |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |
|            |                  |      |                |      | PANTH            | 687.5 |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |
|            |                  |      |                |      | PBASE            |       |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |
|            |                  |      |                |      | PSAT             |       |                       |      |                |     |                  |     |

Table 1: Genetic coefficients of different kharif and rabi crops used for simulation

**Rice:**  $P_1$ : Time period for vegetative phase,  $P_2R$ : Photoperiod sensitivity coefficient,  $P_5$ : Time period from grain filling to physiological maturity,  $P_2O$ : Critical day length,  $G_1$ : Potential spikelet number coefficient,  $G_2$ : Single grain weight,  $G_3$ : Tillering coefficient,  $G_4$ : Temperature tolerance coefficient, **Maize:**  $P_1$ : same as rice,  $P_2$ : Photoperiod sensitivity coefficient,  $P_5$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : Thermal time from to end of flag leaf expansion to anthesis,  $P_4$ : Thermal time from anthesis to beginning grain filling,  $P_2$ : Thermal time from the end of the juvenile stage to tassel initiation under short days, PANTH: Thermal time from the end of tassel initiation to anthesis, PSAT: Critical photoperiod, PBASE: Ceiling photoperiod, **Bajra:**  $P_1$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_2$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : formula time from the end of the juvenile stage to tassel initiation under short days, PANTH: Thermal time from the end of tassel initiation to anthesis, PSAT: Critical photoperiod, PBASE: Ceiling photoperiod, **Bajra:**  $P_1$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_4$ : formula time from the end of the system of the system of  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : same as rice,  $P_3$ : formula time from the end of the juvenile stage to tassel initiation under short days, PANTH: Thermal time from the end of tassel initiation to anthesis, PSAT: Critical photoperiod, PBASE: Ceiling photoperiod, **Bajra:**  $P_1$ : same as rice,  $P_2O$ : same as rice,  $P_2R$ :

| Weather         | Rice                   |        | Maize                  |        | Jowar          |        | Bajra          |        | Wheat                  |        | Barle y                |        |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| parameter       | yield                  | Change | yield                  | Change | yield          | Change | yield          | Change | yield                  | Change | yield                  | Change |
|                 | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    | $(kg ha^{-1})$ | (%)    | $(kg ha^{-1})$ | (%)    | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    |
| Temperature     |                        |        |                        |        |                |        |                |        |                        |        |                        |        |
| T-3             | 5701                   | 13.1   | 4246                   | 22.9   | 5809           | 25.0   | 7184           | 55.1   | 6402                   | 6.3    | 6477                   | 34.9   |
| T+0             | 5042                   | 0      | 3454                   | 0      | 4645           | 0      | 4631           | 0      | 6025                   | 0      | 4802                   | 0      |
| T+3             | 4790                   | -5.0   | 3047                   | -11.8  | 3747           | -19.3  | 2915           | -37.0  | 4884                   | -18.9  | 2849                   | -40.7  |
| Solar radiation | l                      |        |                        |        |                |        |                |        |                        |        |                        |        |
| S-2.5%          | 5066                   | -2.3   | 3507                   | -2.1   | 4648           | -1.8   | 4708           | -4.1   | 5646                   | -2.2   | 4474                   | -5.0   |
| S+0%            | 5188                   | 0      | 3583                   | 0      | 4735           | 0      | 4911           | 0      | 5775                   | 0      | 4712                   | 0      |
| S+2.5%          | 5280                   | 1.8    | 3657                   | 2.0    | 4818           | 1.8    | 5110           | 4.1    | 5890                   | 2.0    | 4942                   | 4.9    |
| Carbon dioxide  | e                      |        |                        |        |                |        |                |        |                        |        |                        |        |
| C330            | 4777                   | 0      | 3494                   | 0      | 4649           | 0      | 4606           | 0      | 5305                   | 0      | 3732                   | 0      |
| C445            | 5125                   | 7.3    | 3555                   | 1.7    | 4707           | 1.2    | 4830           | 4.9    | 5712                   | 7.7    | 4492                   | 20.4   |
| C660            | 5632                   | 17.9   | 3698                   | 5.8    | 4845           | 4.2    | 5294           | 14.9   | 6294                   | 18.7   | 5904                   | 58.2   |

Table 2: Effect of change in temperature, solar radiation and carbon dioxide on productivity of different kharif and rabi crops

 Table 3: Interaction effect of change in temperature (T) and solar radiation (S) on yield of different kharif and rabi crops

| Season | Crop   | Temperature | S-2.:                 | 5%     | S+09                  | %      | S+2.5%                               |        |  |
|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|--|
|        |        |             | Grain yield           | Change | Grain yield           | Change | Grain yield<br>(kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Change |  |
|        |        |             | (kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    | (kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    |                                      | (%)    |  |
| Kharif | Rice   | T-3         | 5535                  | 10     | 5740                  | 14     | 5828                                 | 16     |  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4964                  | -2     | 5043                  | 0      | 5120                                 | 2      |  |
|        |        | T+3         | 4700                  | -7     | 4779                  | -5     | 4891                                 | -3     |  |
|        | Maize  | T-3         | 4161                  | 20     | 4247                  | 23     | 4328                                 | 25     |  |
|        |        | T+0         | 3384                  | -2     | 3456                  | 0      | 3523                                 | 2      |  |
|        |        | T+3         | 2976                  | -14    | 3047                  | -12    | 3118                                 | -10    |  |
|        | Jowar  | T-3         | 5717                  | 23     | 5809                  | 25     | 5900                                 | 27     |  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4561                  | -2     | 4646                  | 0      | 4728                                 | 2      |  |
|        |        | T+3         | 3667                  | -21    | 3749                  | -19    | 3827                                 | -18    |  |
|        | Bajra  | T-3         | 6970                  | 50     | 7184                  | 55     | 7397                                 | 60     |  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4420                  | -5     | 4633                  | 0      | 4839                                 | 4      |  |
|        |        | T+3         | 2734                  | -41    | 2916                  | -37    | 3095                                 | -33    |  |
| Rabi   | Wheat  | T-3         | 6296                  | 4      | 6406                  | 6      | 6504                                 | 8      |  |
|        |        | T+0         | 5907                  | -2     | 6032                  | 0      | 6136                                 | 2      |  |
|        |        | T+3         | 4735                  | -22    | 4886                  | -19    | 5031                                 | -17    |  |
|        | Barley | T-3         | 6236                  | 30     | 6484                  | 35     | 6712                                 | 40     |  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4542                  | -5     | 4803                  | 0      | 5060                                 | 5      |  |
|        |        | T+3         | 2645                  | -45    | 2848                  | -41    | 3054                                 | -36    |  |

productivity was increased by 1.8 per cent in rice, 2.0 per cent in maize, 1.8 per cent in *jowar*, 4.1 per cent in *bajra* during *kharif* season, and by 2.0 per cent in wheat and 4.9 per cent in barley during *rabi* season with an increase in solar radiation by 2.5 per cent from normal. Increase in solar radiation favoured increase in the growth and yields, whereas decrease in solar radiation favoured reduction in growth and yields. Similar findings were also reported by Hundal and Kaur (2007), Mishra *et. al.* (2015).

#### Effect of carbon dioxide change

The effect of expected enhanced carbon dioxide change from normal on productivity of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops are shown in Table 2. Productivity of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops increased under expected enhanced  $CO_2$  concentrations. Productivity increased by 7.3 and 17.9 per cent in rice, by 1.7 and 5.8 per cent in maize, by 1.2 and 4.2 per cent in *jowar*, by 4.9 and 14.9 per cent in *bajra* during *kharif* season and by 7.7 and 18.7 per cent in wheat and by 20.4 and 58.2 per cent in barley under expected enhanced  $CO_2$  concentrations of 445 and 660 ppm, respectively from normal. The increasing yield with increase in  $CO_2$  level was attributed to greater LAI and net photosynthetic rates. Similar findings were also reported by Manpreet Kaur *et al.*  (2013), Zacharias *et at.* (2014), Saxena and Naresh Kumar (2014) and Dubey *et al.* (2014).

#### Interaction effect of temperature and solar radiation change

Productivity of different kharif and rabi crops as affected by change of  $\pm 3.0$  °C in temperature and  $\pm 2.5$  per cent in solar radiation from normal are presented in Table 3. The interactive effects of increasing temperature above normal and decreasing radiation below normal revealed a cumulative adverse effect on productivity of all kharif and rabi crops. The maximum decrease in productivity of barley crop (45%) in *rabi* season and minimum in rice crop (7%) in kharif season were simulated when a decrease in temperature by 3 °C and solar radiation by 2.5 per cent from normal. The productivity of remaining crops decreased by 14.0 per cent in maize, 21.0 per cent in jowar, 41.0 per cent in bajra during kharif season and by 22.0 per cent in wheat during rabi season when temperature increased by 3.0 °C and solar radiation decreased by 2.5 per cent from normal. While productivity increased by 16.0 per cent in rice, 25.0 in maize, 27.0 in jowar, 60.0 in bajra during kharif season and by 8.0 per cent in wheat and 40.0 per cent in barley during rabi season when temperature decreased by 3.0 °C and solar radiation increased by 2.5 per cent from normal. The

| Season | Crop   | Temperature | C330                  | )      | C445                  |     | C660                  |     |
|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|
|        |        |             | Grain yield           | Change | Grain yield Change    |     | Grain yield Change    |     |
|        |        |             | (kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)    | (kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%) | (kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%) |
| Kharif | Rice   | T-3         | 5324                  | 11     | 5645                  | 17  | 6134                  | 27  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4812                  | 0      | 4991                  | 4   | 5324                  | 11  |
|        |        | T+3         | 4193                  | -13    | 4739                  | -2  | 5438                  | 13  |
|        | Maize  | T-3         | 4191                  | 25     | 4220                  | 25  | 4326                  | 29  |
|        |        | T+0         | 3365                  | 0      | 3430                  | 2   | 3568                  | 6   |
|        |        | T+3         | 2927                  | -13    | 3014                  | -10 | 3201                  | -5  |
|        | Jowar  | T-3         | 5768                  | 26     | 5785                  | 27  | 5873                  | 29  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4560                  | 0      | 4614                  | 1   | 4762                  | 4   |
|        |        | T+3         | 3619                  | -21    | 3722                  | -18 | 3901                  | -14 |
|        | Bajra  | T-3         | 6929                  | 62     | 7118                  | 66  | 7505                  | 75  |
|        |        | T+0         | 4276                  | 0      | 4539                  | 6   | 5076                  | 19  |
|        |        | T+3         | 2612                  | -39    | 2832                  | -34 | 3301                  | -23 |
| Rabi   | Wheat  | T-3         | 6045                  | 7      | 6316                  | 12  | 6846                  | 21  |
|        |        | T+0         | 5635                  | 0      | 6002                  | 7   | 6438                  | 14  |
|        |        | T+3         | 4234                  | -25    | 4820                  | -14 | 5599                  | -1  |
|        | Barley | T-3         | 5409                  | 44     | 6307                  | 68  | 7716                  | 105 |
|        | -      | T+0         | 3755                  | 0      | 4554                  | 21  | 6095                  | 62  |
|        |        | T+3         | 2031                  | -46    | 2614                  | -30 | 3901                  | 4   |

Table 4: Interaction effect of change in temperature and carbon dioxide (C) on yield of different kharif and rabi crops

productivity of a crop can be taken as a product of rate of biomass accumulation (solar radiation-dependent) and growth duration (ambient air temperature-dependent). The results of the simulation indicate that these climatic variations could affect growth and yield of crops in the region. Highest potential productivity of a crop is therefore obtained in regions where crop duration is more under relatively low temperatures unless the radiation level is high. Increased shading associated with enhanced cloudiness may lead to spikelet sterility in *kharif* crops. Similar findings were also reported by Hundal and Kaur (2007), Byjesh *et al.* (2010) and Mishra *et al.* (2015).

#### Interaction effect of temperature and carbon dioxide change

Productivity of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops as affected by change of  $\pm 3.0$  °C in temperature and  $\pm 115$  and  $\pm 330$  ppm carbon dioxide concentration from normal are given in Table 4. The results of the simulation study for interactive effects of increasing temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration revealed that the adverse effect of increase in temperature on productivity of rice, maize, *jowar*, *bajra* and barley were counter-balanced by favourable effect of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> levels. Productivity decreased by 13.0 per cent in rice, 13.0 per cent in maize, 21.0 per cent in *jowar*, 39.0 per cent in *bajra* during *kharif* season and by 25.0 per cent in wheat and 46 per cent in barley from normal when temperature increased by 3.0 °C from normal at 330 ppm carbon dioxide concentration. However, productivity increased by 27.0 per cent in rice, 29.0 per cent in maize, 29.0 per cent in jowar, 75.0 per cent in bajra, 21.0 per cent in wheat and 105 per cent in barley from normal when temperature decreased by 3.0 °C from normal under expected enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 660 ppm. Highest counterbalance on productivity of rice crop (13%) in kharif season and lowest in barja crop (-23%) of kharif season were simulated when an increase in temperature by 3 °C from normal under expected enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 660 ppm. Field Crops benefit from elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations mainly due to increase in photosynthetic rates. However, increase in temperatures likely to offset this benefit due to CO<sub>2</sub> or even reduce the yields because cardinal temperatures influences crop physiological process and reproduction, thereby influencing grain yield. Similar findings were also reported by Manpreet Kaur et. al. (2013), Jacharias et at. (2014), Saxena and Naresh Kumar (2014) and Dubey et al. (2014).

#### CONCLUSION

The positive role of carbon dioxide in enhancing photosynthesis and productivity of plant is expected to

counteract the negative effects of increase in temperature and decrease in solar radiation. Such simulation studies can guide us in determining the effect of climate variability and changes on productivity of different *kharif* and *rabi* crops and can be used for crop yield forecasting and further policy planning by government.

### REFERENCES

- Byjesh, K., Kumar, S. N., and Aggarwal, P. K. (2010). Simulating impacts, potential adaptation and vulnerability of maize to climate change in India. *Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Glob. Change*, 15(5):413-431.
- Bapuji Rao B. P. Santhibhushan Chowdary, V. M. Sandeep, V. P. Sandeep, V. P. Pramod, V.U. and M. Rao (2015). Spatial analysis of the sensitivity of wheat yields to temperature, in India. *Agril. Forest Meteorol.*, 200:192-202
- Cammell, M. E. and Knight, J. D. (1992). Effects of climatic change on the population dynamics of crop pests. *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 22:117-162.
- Cooper, P., Rao, K. P. C., Singh, P., Dimes, J., Traore, P. S., Rao, K., Dixit, P. and Twomlow, S. J. (2009). Farming with current and future climate risk: Advancing a Hypothesis of Hope for rainfed agriculture in the semi-arid tropics. J. Semi-Arid Trop. Agric. Res., 7: 1-19.
- Dubey, S.K., Tripathi, S.K., Pranuthi, G. And Yadav, Reshu (2014). Impact of projected climate change on wheat varieties in Uttarakhand, India. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 16(1): 26-37.
- Fand, B. B., Kamble, A. L. and Kumar, M. (2012). Will climate change pose serious threat to crop pest management: A critical review?. *Int. J Sci. Res. Pub.*, 2(11): 2250-3153.
- Hume, C. J. and Cattle, H. (1990). The greenhouse effect: Meteorological mechanisms and models. *Outlook Agric.*, 19:17–23.
- Hundal, S. S. and Kaur P. (2007). Climate variability and its impact on cereal productivity in Indian Punjab. *Cur. Sci.*, 92(4): 506-512.

- IPCC (2007). The physical science basis of climate change-A report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Fourth Assessment report, Summery for policymakers. http://ipcc-wgl.ucar.edu/wgl/wgl-report.html.
- Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Leakey, A. D., Nosberger, J. and Ort, D. R. (2006). Food for thought: lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Science*, 312(5782): 1918-1921.
- Manpreet Kour, Singh, K.N., Mahender Singh, Thakur, N.P., Dileep Kachroo and Rohit Sharma (2013). Assessment of climate change and its impact on growth and yield of wheat under temperate and sub-tropical conditions. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 15(2): 142-146.
- Mishra, S.K., Shekh, A.M., V. Pandey, Yadav, S.B. and Patel, H.R. (2015). Sensitivity analysis of four wheat cultivars to varying photoperiod and temperature at different phonological stages using WOFOST model. J. Agrometeorol., 17(1):74-79.
- Panda, R. K., Behera, S. K. and Kashyap, P. S. (2003). Effective management of irrigation water for wheat under stressed conditions. *Agric. Water Man.*, 63(1): 37-56.
- Rosenzweig, C. and Parry, M. L. (1994). Potential impact of climate change on world food supply. *Nature*, 367(6459): 133-138.
- Saxena, R. and Naresh Kumar, S. (2014). Simulating the impact of projected climate change on rice (Oryza sativa L.) yield and adaptation strategies in major rice growing regions of India. J. Agrometeorol., 16(1): 18-25.
- Tubiello, F. N., Rosenzweig, C., Goldberg, R. A., Jagtap, S. and Jones, J. W. (2002). Effects of climate change on US crop production: simulation results using two different GCM scenarios. Part I: Wheat, potato, maize, and citrus. *Clim. Res.*,20(3):259-270.
- Zacharias, M., Naresh Kumar, S., Singh, S.D., Swaroopa Rani and Aggarwal, P.K. (2014). Assessment of impacts of climate change on rice and wheat in the Indo-Gangetic plains. J. Agrometeorol., 16(1): 9-17.